



PE660.387v02-00

30.11.2020

AMENDMENTS

1 - 226

Draft motion for a resolution
Juan Fernando López Aguilar
(PE660.316v01-00)

on the EU Security Union Strategy

AM_Com_NonLegRE

Amendment 1

Javier Zarzalejos, Paulo Rangel

Draft motion for a resolution

Citation 2

Draft motion for a resolution

– having regard to the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union,

Amendment

– having regard to the Charter of Fundamental Rights, ***in particular articles 6, 7, 8, 11, 14, 21 and 24,***

Or. en

Amendment 2

Javier Zarzalejos, Paulo Rangel

Draft motion for a resolution

Citation 8 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

– ***having regard to the European Parliament resolution of November 2018 on Findings and recommendations of the Special Committee on Terrorism (2018/2044(INI)),***

Or. en

Amendment 3

Javier Zarzalejos, Paulo Rangel

Draft motion for a resolution

Citation 8 b (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

– ***having regard to the ruling of the Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU) of 6 October 2020 in the Joined Cases C-511/18, C-512/18 and C-520/18 La Quadrature du Net and Other,***

Or. en

Amendment 4

Caterina Chinnici, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Draft motion for a resolution

Citation 9 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to its resolution of 26 November 2019 on children's rights on the occasion of the 30th anniversary of the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child¹,*

¹ *Texts adopted, P9_TA(2019)0066*

Or. en

Amendment 5

Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Draft motion for a resolution

Citation 9

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to its resolution of 19 June 2020 on the anti-racism protests following the death of George Floyd²,*

deleted

² *Texts adopted, P9_TA(2020)0173*

Or. en

Amendment 6

Assita Kanko

Draft motion for a resolution

Citation 9 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to its resolution of 12 December 2018 entitled ‘findings and recommendations of the Special Committee on Terrorism,*

Or. en

Amendment 7
Rasa Juknevičienė

Draft motion for a resolution
Citation 9 b (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to its resolution of 19 September 2019 on the importance of European remembrance for the future of Europe,*

Or. en

Amendment 8
Saskia Bricmont

Draft motion for a resolution
Citation 10 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the Court of Justice case-law on mass surveillance and data retention,*

Or. en

Amendment 9
Fabienne Keller, Nathalie Loiseau, Dragoş Tudorache

Draft motion for a resolution

Citation 10 b (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

– ***having regard to the Commission communication of 12 September 2018 on a Europe that protects: an initiative to extend the competences of the European Public Prosecutor's Office to cross-border terrorist crimes,***

Or. en

Amendment 10

Javier Zarzalejos, Paulo Rangel

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital A

Draft motion for a resolution

A. whereas the Union's security policy must ***be*** grounded in the values upon which the EU was founded and which are enshrined in Article 2 of the TEU, including the principles of democracy and the rule of law, and the Charter of Fundamental Rights;

Amendment

A. whereas the Union security policy must ***remain*** grounded in the values upon which the EU was founded and enshrined in article 2 TEU, including the principles of democracy and the rule of law, and the Charter of Fundamental Rights;

Or. en

Amendment 11

Saskia Bricmont

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital A

Draft motion for a resolution

A. whereas the Union's security policy must be grounded in the values upon which the EU was founded and which are enshrined in Article 2 of the TEU, including the principles of democracy and the rule of law, and the Charter of

Amendment

A. whereas the Union security policy must ***remain*** grounded in the values upon which the EU was founded and which are enshrined in Article 2 of the TEU, including the principles of democracy, ***individual freedoms*** and rule of law, and

Fundamental Rights;

the Charter of Fundamental Rights,
including the right to a fair trial; whereas any restrictions of these rights have to comply with the principles of necessity (recognising that a securitised response to a social problem is not necessarily the adequate response), proportionality and legality; whereas the Court of Justice has ruled several times that blanket data retention and mass surveillance of electronic communications or of travel data is not compliant with the Charter of Fundamental Rights;

Or. en

Amendment 12

Fabienne Keller, Nathalie Loiseau, Malik Azmani, Dragoş Tudorache

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital A

Draft motion for a resolution

A. whereas the Union's security policy must be grounded in the values upon which the EU was founded and which are enshrined in Article 2 of the TEU, including the principles of democracy and the rule of law, and the Charter of Fundamental Rights;

Amendment

A. whereas the Union's security policy must be grounded in the values upon which the EU was founded and which are enshrined in Article 2 of the TEU, including the principles of democracy and the rule of law, and the Charter of Fundamental Rights; *whereas security is a fundamental right;*

Or. en

Amendment 13

Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital A

Draft motion for a resolution

A. whereas the Union's security policy must be grounded in the values upon which

Amendment

A. whereas the Union's security policy must be grounded in the values upon which

the EU was founded and which are enshrined in Article 2 of the TEU, including the principles of democracy and the rule of law, and the Charter of Fundamental Rights;

the EU was founded and which are enshrined in Article 2 of the TEU, including the principles of democracy and the rule of law, and the Charter of Fundamental Rights; *whereas any limitation on the exercise of these rights and freedoms must be provided for by law and respect the essence of those rights and freedoms. Subject to the principle of proportionality, limitations may be made only if they are necessary and genuinely meet objectives of general interest recognised by the Union or the need to protect the rights and freedoms of others;*

Or. en

Amendment 14
Saskia Bricmont

Draft motion for a resolution
Recital A a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

Aa. Whereas public security should be measured against real incidents and evidence, such as crime statistics, not against a insecurity “feeling”;

Or. en

Amendment 15
Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Draft motion for a resolution
Recital A a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

Aa. Whereas cooperation on counter-terrorism and preventing and countering radicalisation and violent extremism are the cornerstone for security within our borders; whereas thousands of foreign

fighters who had fought for the Islamic State (IS) in Syria are willing to return to Europe;

Or. en

Amendment 16
Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Draft motion for a resolution
Recital A a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

Aa. Whereas stricter external border controls are a key countermeasure to terrorist threats and illegal immigration;

Or. en

Amendment 17
Saskia Bricmont

Draft motion for a resolution
Recital B

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

B. whereas the new EU Security Union Strategy should *react* to the rapidly changing *landscape of threats* to European security; *whereas the Commission has identified cybercrime, including identity theft, cybersecurity, hybrid attacks, terrorist attacks and organised crime as the main challenges;*

B. whereas the new EU Security Union Strategy should *provide the appropriate responses* to the rapidly changing *challenges* to European security;

Or. en

Amendment 18
Javier Zarzalejos, Paulo Rangel

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital B

Draft motion for a resolution

B. whereas the new EU Security Union Strategy should ***react to the rapidly changing landscape of threats to European security***; whereas the Commission has identified cybercrime, including identity theft, cybersecurity, hybrid attacks, terrorist attacks and organised crime as the main challenges;

Amendment

B. whereas the new EU Security Union Strategy should ***equip the Union and its bodies, including the competent JHA agencies with adequate instruments, staff and funding to effectively address existing and emerging challenges in a rapidly changing European security threat landscape, also taking into consideration the consequences of the Covid-19 crisis***; whereas the Commission has identified cybercrime, including identity theft, and challenges to cybersecurity, hybrid attacks, terrorist attacks and organised crime ***to be the main threats to security***;

Or. en

Amendment 19 Rasa Juknevičienė

Draft motion for a resolution Recital B

Draft motion for a resolution

B. whereas the new EU Security Union Strategy should react to the rapidly changing landscape of threats to European security; whereas the Commission has identified cybercrime, including identity theft, cybersecurity, hybrid attacks, terrorist attacks and organised crime as the main challenges;

Amendment

B. whereas the new EU Security Union Strategy should react to the rapidly changing landscape of threats to European security; whereas the Commission has identified cybercrime, including identity theft, cybersecurity, hybrid attacks, terrorist attacks and organised crime as the main challenges; ***maintains that it is important to counteract various aspects of foreign interference into democratic processes such as disinformation, including media-like disinformation channels, distortion of historical facts, as well as indirect financing of political parties or foundations, corruption etc***;

Or. en

Amendment 20
Isabel Santos

Draft motion for a resolution
Recital B

Draft motion for a resolution

B. whereas the new EU Security Union Strategy should react to the rapidly changing landscape of threats to European security; whereas the Commission has identified cybercrime, including identity theft, cybersecurity, hybrid attacks, terrorist attacks and organised crime as the main challenges;

Amendment

B. whereas the new EU Security Union Strategy should react to the rapidly changing landscape of threats to European security, ***many of which redefined by the COVID-19 crisis***; whereas the Commission has identified cybercrime, including identity theft, cybersecurity, hybrid attacks, terrorist attacks and organised crime as the main challenges;

Or. en

Amendment 21
Nicolaus Fest

Draft motion for a resolution
Recital B

Draft motion for a resolution

B. whereas the new EU Security Union Strategy should react to ***the*** rapidly changing landscape ***of threats to European*** security; ***whereas the Commission has identified*** cybercrime, including identity theft, cybersecurity, hybrid attacks, terrorist attacks and organised crime ***as the main challenges***;

Amendment

B. whereas the new EU Security Union Strategy should react to rapidly changing ***European security threat*** landscape, ***also taking into consideration the consequences of the Covid-19 crisis, with main identified threats being*** cybercrime, including identity theft, ***and challenges to*** cybersecurity, hybrid attacks, ***Islamist*** terrorist attacks and organised crime;

Or. en

Amendment 22
Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft motion for a resolution
Recital B

Draft motion for a resolution

B. whereas the new EU Security Union Strategy should react to the rapidly changing landscape of threats to European security; whereas the Commission has identified cybercrime, including identity theft, cybersecurity, hybrid attacks, terrorist attacks and organised crime as the main challenges;

Amendment

B. whereas the new EU Security Union Strategy should react to rapidly changing European security threat landscape; whereas the Commission has identified cybercrime, including identity theft, and cybersecurity, hybrid attacks, terrorist attacks and ***serious organised crimes, ranging from such as trafficking in human beings, trade in firearms, drug trafficking, and financial, economic and environmental crime***, to be the main challenges;

Or. en

Amendment 23

Malik Azmani, Dragoş Tudorache, Olivier Chastel, Maite Pagazaurtundúa, Ondřej Kovařík, Ramona Strugariu, Fabienne Keller

Draft motion for a resolution
Recital B

Draft motion for a resolution

B. whereas the new EU Security Union Strategy should react to the rapidly changing landscape of threats to European security; whereas the Commission has identified cybercrime, including identity theft, cybersecurity, hybrid attacks, terrorist attacks and organised crime as the main challenges;

Amendment

B. whereas the new EU Security Union Strategy should react to the rapidly changing landscape of threats to European security; whereas the Commission has identified cybercrime, including identity theft, cybersecurity, hybrid attacks, terrorist attacks and organised crime as the main challenges; ***stresses the need to intensify the monitoring and protection of vital and critical communication infrastructure, especially on undersea optic fibre internet cables***;

Or. en

Amendment 24

Fabienne Keller, Nathalie Loiseau, Malik Azmani, Dragoş Tudorache

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital B a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ba. whereas an EU Security Strategy in a fully-functioning Schengen area also requires strong coordination and exchange of information between Member States and Frontex to ensure safe and well-managed external borders;

Or. en

Amendment 25

Assita Kanko

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital B a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ba. Whereas terrorist attacks continue to claim lives and cause great societal disruption; whereas it is extremely difficult to prevent terrorist plots when there is a trend towards single actors and sometimes short radicalisation and preparation time;

Or. en

Amendment 26

Saskia Bricmont

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital C

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

C. whereas 2019 saw a downward trend in terrorist attacks in the EU;

C. whereas 2019 saw a downward trend in terrorist attacks in the EU;

whereas the internet is one of the tools most used by terrorist organisations to spread terrorist content and recruit new members¹;

¹ Europol TE-SAT 2020, p. 24.

whereas terrorist attacks by right-wing extremists are on the rise;

¹ Europol TE-SAT 2020, p. 24.

Or. en

Amendment 27

Javier Zarzalejos, Ioan-Rareş Bogdan, Paulo Rangel

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital C

Draft motion for a resolution

C. whereas **2019 saw** a downward trend in terrorist attacks in the EU; whereas the internet is one of the **tools** most used by terrorist organisations to spread terrorist content and recruit new members¹;

¹ Europol TE-SAT 2020, p. 24.

Amendment

C. whereas ***there was*** a downward trend in ***terms of numbers of*** terrorist attacks in the EU ***in 2019 but the EU has recently witnessed three heinous attacks in France and Austria in which eight persons were killed and 22 wounded by radicalised Islamists;*** whereas the internet is one of the most used instruments by terrorist organisations to spread terrorist content¹, recruit new members ***and incite violence;***

¹ Based on Europol Te-Sat 2020, pg. 24

Or. en

Amendment 28

Cornelia Ernst

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital C

Draft motion for a resolution

C. whereas 2019 saw a downward trend in terrorist attacks in the EU; whereas the internet is one of the tools most used by terrorist organisations to spread terrorist content and recruit new members³;

³ Europol TE-SAT 2020, p. 24.

Amendment

C. whereas there was a downward trend in terrorist attacks in the EU in 2019; ***whereas several attacks committed by right-wing extremists were not officially recognised as terrorist attacks;***³

³ Europol TE-SAT 2020, p. 66.

Or. en

Amendment 29

Assita Kanko

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital C

Draft motion for a resolution

C. whereas 2019 saw a downward trend in terrorist attacks in the EU; whereas the internet is one of the tools most used by terrorist organisations to spread terrorist content and recruit new members;

Amendment

C. whereas ***2018 and*** 2019 saw a downward trend in terrorist attacks in the EU; ***whereas this trend was primarily due to declining ethno-nationalist and separatist attacks; whereas the threat of jihadi terrorism remains high and whereas the threat of both left-wing and right-wing terrorism is increasing in recent years;*** whereas the internet is one of the tools most used by terrorist organisations to spread terrorist content and recruit new members;

Or. en

Amendment 30

Dragoș Tudorache, Fabienne Keller, Ondřej Kovařík, Maite Pagazaurtundúa, Ramona Strugariu, Sophia in 't Veld, Olivier Chastel, Malik Azmani

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital C

Draft motion for a resolution

C. whereas 2019 saw a downward trend in terrorist attacks in the EU; whereas the internet is one of the tools most used by terrorist organisations to spread terrorist content and recruit new members;

Amendment

C. whereas 2019 saw a downward trend in terrorist attacks in the EU; ***whereas the threat of Jihadist terrorism attacks remain a major concern, along with the recent multiplication of right wing and left wing extremists attacks; whereas terrorism in all its forms and manifestations must be condemned and addressed;*** whereas the internet is one of the tools most used by terrorist organisations to spread terrorist content and recruit new members;

Or. en

Amendment 31
Nicolaus Fest

Draft motion for a resolution
Recital C

Draft motion for a resolution

C. whereas ***2019 saw a downward trend in*** terrorist attacks in the EU; whereas the internet is one of the ***tools*** most used ***by terrorist organisations*** to spread terrorist content ***and recruit new members***⁵;

⁵ Europol TE-SAT 2020, p. 24.

Amendment

C. whereas ***Islamist terrorism is still a major threat as several deadly terrorist attacks were carried out*** in the EU in ***2020***; whereas the internet is one of the most used ***instruments*** to spread terrorist content;⁵

⁵ Europol TE-SAT 2020, p. 24.

Or. en

Amendment 32
Nicola Procaccini

Draft motion for a resolution
Recital C

Draft motion for a resolution

C. whereas 2019 saw a downward trend in terrorist attacks in the EU; whereas the internet is one of the tools most used by terrorist organisations to spread terrorist content and recruit new members;

Amendment

C. whereas 2019 saw a downward trend in terrorist attacks in the EU; ***whereas this trend has recently stopped due to a surge in Islamist terrorist attacks in the EU***; whereas the internet is one of the tools most used by terrorist organisations to spread terrorist content and recruit new members;

Or. en

Amendment 33
Maria Grapini

Draft motion for a resolution
Recital C

Draft motion for a resolution

C. whereas 2019 saw a downward trend in terrorist attacks in the EU; whereas the internet is one of the tools most used by terrorist organisations to spread terrorist content and recruit new members;

Amendment

C. whereas 2019 saw a downward trend in terrorist attacks in the EU; whereas the internet is one of the tools most used by terrorist organisations to spread terrorist content and recruit new members; ***whereas the internet can allow the extremists, or the terrorists around the world to connect with each other in a way that is often difficult to follow***;

Or. en

Amendment 34
Nicola Procaccini

Draft motion for a resolution
Recital C a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ca. whereas the recent Islamist terrorist attacks occurred in Europe proved that perpetrators often use

migration routes to illegally enter EU;

Or. en

Amendment 35
Saskia Bricmont

Draft motion for a resolution
Recital C a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ca. whereas new forms of organised criminal activity continue to emerge in Europe exploiting changing societal vulnerabilities and most organised crime groups are involved in several criminal businesses; whereas profits of organised crime groups are estimated at 110 billion EUR per year in the EU⁶, but only about 1 per cent of these profits are confiscated; whereas there is a strong link between organised crime and corruption;

⁶ *Communication from the Commission of 24 July 2020 on the EU Security Union Strategy, pg 19*

Or. en

Amendment 36
Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft motion for a resolution
Recital C a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ca. whereas new forms of organised criminal activity continue to emerge in Europe exploiting changing societal vulnerabilities and most organised crime groups are involved in multiple criminal activities; whereas profits of organised crime groups are estimated at 110 billion

EUR per year in the EU, but only about one per cent of these profits are confiscated; whereas there is a strong link between organised crime and corruption;

Or. en

Amendment 37

Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital D

Draft motion for a resolution

D. whereas in 2019, the Commission opened infringement procedures against 23 Member States for non-compliance with Directive 2011/93/EU of 13 December 2011 on combating the sexual abuse and sexual exploitation of children and child pornography;

Amendment

D. whereas in 2019, the Commission opened infringement procedures against 23 Member States for non-compliance with Directive 2011/93/EU of 13 December 2011 on combating the sexual abuse and sexual exploitation of children and child pornography; *whereas Member States have made substantial progress in implementing the Directive but challenges remain, notably with regard to prevention, criminal law as well as protection, support and assistance to victims;*

Or. en

Amendment 38

Javier Zarzalejos, Paulo Rangel

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital D

Draft motion for a resolution

D. whereas in 2019, the Commission opened infringement procedures against 23 Member States for non-compliance with Directive 2011/93/EU of 13 December 2011 on combating the sexual abuse and sexual exploitation of children and child pornography⁷;

Amendment

D. whereas in 2019, the Commission opened infringement procedures against 23 Member States for non-compliance *regarding* the Directive 2011/93 on combating the sexual abuse and sexual exploitation of children and child pornography⁷; *whereas Member States*

have made substantial progress in implementing the Directive but challenges remain, notably with regard to prevention, criminal law as well as protection, support and assistance to victims;

⁷ COM(2020) 619 final, Report from the Commission on Directive (EU) 2017/541 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 March 2017 on combating terrorism: https://ec.europa.eu/home-affairs/sites/homeaffairs/files/e-library/documents/policies/crisis-and-terrorism/report_on_transposition_of_directive_2017.541.on_combatting_terrorism.pdf

⁷ COM(2020) 619 final, Report from the Commission on Directive (EU) 2017/541 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 March 2017 on combating terrorism: https://ec.europa.eu/home-affairs/sites/homeaffairs/files/e-library/documents/policies/crisis-and-terrorism/report_on_transposition_of_directive_2017.541.on_combatting_terrorism.pdf

Or. en

Amendment 39

Fabienne Keller, Nathalie Loiseau, Malik Azmani, Dragoş Tudorache

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital D a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

Da. whereas major gaps of implementation of Directive (EU) 2017/541 on combating terrorism have been reported in a majority of Member States, according to a Commission's report published on 30 September 2020⁸;

⁸ COM(2020) 619 final

Or. en

Amendment 40

Nicolaus Fest

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital D a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

Da. whereas due to the Covid-19 crisis, criminals are finding new ways to illegally smuggle irregular migrants into the EU, shifting routes and abusing economic vulnerabilities;

Or. en

Amendment 41
Nicola Procaccini

Draft motion for a resolution
Recital E

Draft motion for a resolution

E. whereas sexual exploitation continues to be the most prevalent motive behind trafficking in human beings in the EU, while an increase in trafficking for labour exploitation has been reported in several Member States;

Amendment

E. whereas sexual exploitation continues to be the most prevalent motive behind trafficking in human beings in the EU, while an increase in trafficking for labour exploitation has been reported in several Member States; ***whereas human trafficking is deeply interconnected with migrant smuggling, since exploitation and abuse may arise during transit or at destination, even in those cases where there was initial consensus;***

Or. en

Amendment 42
Javier Zarzalejos, Paulo Rangel

Draft motion for a resolution
Recital E

Draft motion for a resolution

E. whereas sexual exploitation continues to be the most prevalent ***motive*** behind trafficking in human beings in the EU, while an increase in trafficking for

Amendment

E. whereas sexual exploitation continues to be the most prevalent ***purpose*** behind trafficking in human beings in the EU, while an increase in trafficking for

labour exploitation has been reported in several Member States⁹;

⁹ Third report on the progress made in the fight against trafficking in human beings (2020) as required under Article 20 of Directive 2011/36/EU on preventing and combating trafficking in human beings and protecting its victims (SWD(2020)0226), p. 3.

labour exploitation has been reported in several Member States⁹;

⁹ Third report on the progress made in the fight against trafficking in human beings (2020) as required under Article 20 of Directive 2011/36/EU on preventing and combating trafficking in human beings and protecting its victims {SWD(2020) 226 final}, pg. 3

Or. en

Amendment 43 **Assita Kanko**

Draft motion for a resolution **Recital E**

Draft motion for a resolution

E. whereas sexual exploitation continues to be the most prevalent motive behind trafficking in human beings in the EU, while an increase in trafficking for labour exploitation has been reported in several Member States;

Amendment

E. whereas sexual exploitation continues to be the most prevalent motive behind trafficking in human beings in the EU, while an increase in trafficking for labour exploitation has been reported in several Member States; ***whereas the number of prosecutions and convictions remains low in relation to the reported number of victims and whereas the covid-19 pandemic has even exacerbated this problem as it causes delays in identifying victims and hinders access to justice, assistance and support; whereas the crime is increasingly online;***

Or. en

Amendment 44 **Jorge Buxadé Villalba**

Draft motion for a resolution **Recital E**

Draft motion for a resolution

E. Whereas sexual exploitation continues to be the most prevalent motive behind trafficking in human beings in the EU, while an increase in trafficking for labour exploitation has been reported in several Member States¹¹;

¹¹ Third report on the progress made in the fight against trafficking in human beings (2020) as required under Article 20 of Directive 2011/36/EU on preventing and combating trafficking in human beings and protecting its victims (SWD(2020)0226), p. 3.

Amendment

E. Whereas sexual exploitation continues to be the most prevalent motive behind trafficking in human beings in the EU, while an increase in trafficking for labour exploitation has been reported in several Member States¹¹ ***whereas migrant smuggling can lead to human trafficking, since exploitation and abuse may emerge during transit or at destination, even in the presence of initial consensus; whereas girls and adult women are overwhelmingly targeted and trafficked for sexual exploitation; whereas the "Facilitators Package" (Council Directive 2002/90/EC and Council Framework Decision 2002/946/JHA) is an important instrument adopted to combat trafficking in human beings and sexual exploitation;***

¹¹ Third report on the progress made in the fight against trafficking in human beings (2020) as required under Article 20 of Directive 2011/36/EU on preventing and combating trafficking in human beings and protecting its victims (SWD(2020)0226), p. 3.

Or. en

Amendment 45
Javier Zarzalejos, Paulo Rangel

Draft motion for a resolution
Recital F

Draft motion for a resolution

F. whereas the illicit drug market in the EU has an estimated retail value of around EUR 30 billion per year and profits from trafficking in illicit drugs represent a major source of income for organised crime groups;

Amendment

F. whereas, ***according to reports by EMCDDA and Europol***, the illicit drug market in the EU ***is increasingly complex, adaptive and innovative and generates*** an estimated retail value of around EUR 30 billion per year; and profits from

trafficking in illicit drugs represent a major source of income for organised crime groups; *whereas in Europe drugs-related deaths appear to be stable at more than 9 000 fatalities annually*;

Or. en

Amendment 46

Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital F

Draft motion for a resolution

F. whereas the illicit drug market in the EU has an estimated retail value of around EUR 30 billion per year and profits from trafficking in illicit drugs represent a major source of income for organised crime groups;

Amendment

F. whereas, *according to reports by EMCDDA and Europol*, whereas the illicit drug market in the EU has an estimated retail value of around EUR 30 billion per year and profits from trafficking in illicit drugs represent a major source of income for organised crime groups; *whereas in Europe drugs-related deaths appear to be stable at more than 9 000 fatalities annually; whereas drug use remains an important public health issue*;

Or. en

Amendment 47

Nicola Procaccini

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital F

Draft motion for a resolution

F. whereas the illicit drug market in the EU has an estimated retail value of around EUR 30 billion per year and profits from trafficking in illicit drugs represent a major source of income for organised crime groups;

Amendment

F. whereas the illicit drug market in the EU has an estimated retail value of around EUR 30 billion per year and profits from trafficking in illicit drugs represent a major source of income for organised crime groups; *whereas the income resulted from the drug market is often used by*

*organised crime groups, including
terroristic groups, to finance other illicit
activities;*

Or. en

Amendment 48
Saskia Bricmont

Draft motion for a resolution
Recital F

Draft motion for a resolution

F. whereas the illicit drug market in the EU has an estimated retail value of around EUR 30 billion per year and profits from trafficking in illicit drugs represent a major source of income for organised crime groups;

Amendment

F. whereas the illicit drug market in the EU has an estimated retail value of around EUR 30 billion per year and profits from trafficking in illicit drugs represent a major source of income for organised crime groups; ***whereas drug use is an important and growing public health issue;***

Or. en

Amendment 49
Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft motion for a resolution
Recital F a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

Fa. whereas in 2019 Europol continued providing Member States with operational analysis and the processing of contributions, as well as proactive support to high-profile investigations covering the three areas which pose a continuous threat to the internal security of the Union, namely cybercrime, serious and organised crime and terrorism;

Or. en

Amendment 50
Saskia Bricmont

Draft motion for a resolution
Recital G a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ga. whereas the implementation of the Procedural Safeguards Directives, adopted to ensure the fairness of criminal proceedings, is not satisfactory, impacting on mutual trust and cooperation between judicial authorities;

Or. en

Amendment 51
Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft motion for a resolution
Recital H

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

H. whereas the COVID-19 crisis has significantly exacerbated certain crimes, such as the production and distribution of child sexual abuse material (CSAM) online; whereas domestic violence, especially against women and children, has increased significantly during this period; whereas as a result of the crisis criminals are finding new ways to abuse the vulnerability of irregular migrants, shifting smuggling routes and abusing economic vulnerabilities;

H. whereas the COVID-19 crisis has significantly exacerbated certain crimes, such as the production and distribution of child sexual abuse material (CSAM) online, ***with reports estimating CSAM having increased by 25% in some Member States***; whereas domestic violence, especially against women and children, has increased significantly during this period; ***whereas the pandemic has proven to have an important impact on serious and organised crime and terrorism landscape in Europe, in areas such as cybercrime, /goods counterfeiting and organised property crime¹²***; whereas as a result of the crisis criminals are finding new ways to abuse the vulnerability of irregular migrants, shifting smuggling routes and abusing economic vulnerabilities; ***whereas***

*the crisis causes delays and hinders
access to justice, assistance and support;*

*¹²Europol's report, How COVID-19-
related crime infected Europe during
2020 (11 November 2020)*

Or. en

Amendment 52
Javier Zarzalejos, Paulo Rangel

Draft motion for a resolution
Recital H

Draft motion for a resolution

H. whereas the COVID-19 crisis has ***significantly*** exacerbated ***certain crimes, such as the production and distribution*** of child sexual abuse material (CSAM) online; ***whereas domestic violence, especially against women and children, has increased significantly during this period; whereas as a result of the crisis criminals are finding new ways to abuse the vulnerability of irregular migrants, shifting smuggling routes and abusing economic vulnerabilities;***

Amendment

H. whereas the Covid-19 crisis has exacerbated ***the spreading*** of child sexual abuse material (CSAM) online, ***with reports of CSAM having increased by 25% in some Member States¹⁵; whereas the US National Center for Missing and Exploiting Children (NCMEC) has reported a 106 % increase of global CSAM within one month; whereas the real figure is expected to be significantly higher than the reported figure;***

¹⁵ Commission Communication on the EU strategy for a more effective fight against child sexual abuse, pg. 1

Or. en

Amendment 53
Cornelia Ernst

Draft motion for a resolution
Recital H

Draft motion for a resolution

H. whereas the COVID-19 crisis has significantly exacerbated certain crimes, such as the production and distribution of child sexual abuse material (CSAM) online; whereas domestic violence, especially against women and children, has increased significantly during this period; whereas as a result of the crisis criminals are finding new ways to abuse the vulnerability of irregular migrants, shifting smuggling routes and abusing economic vulnerabilities;

Amendment

H. whereas the COVID-19 crisis has significantly exacerbated certain crimes, such as the production and distribution of child sexual abuse material (CSAM) online; whereas domestic violence, especially against women and children ***who live with their abuser***, has increased significantly during this period; whereas as a result of the crisis criminals are finding new ways to abuse the vulnerability of irregular migrants, shifting smuggling routes and abusing economic vulnerabilities;

Or. en

Amendment 54
Isabel Santos

Draft motion for a resolution
Recital H

Draft motion for a resolution

H. whereas the COVID-19 crisis has significantly exacerbated certain crimes, such as the production and distribution of child sexual abuse material (CSAM) online; whereas domestic violence, especially against women and children, has increased significantly during this period; whereas as a result of the crisis criminals are finding new ways to abuse the vulnerability of irregular migrants, shifting smuggling routes and abusing economic vulnerabilities;

Amendment

H. whereas the COVID-19 crisis has significantly exacerbated certain crimes, such as the production and distribution of child sexual abuse material (CSAM) online; whereas domestic violence, especially against women and children, has increased significantly during this period; ***whereas new forms of drug dealing are being explored, making it harder for law enforcement authorities to act upon***; whereas as a result of the crisis criminals are finding new ways to abuse the vulnerability of irregular migrants, shifting smuggling routes and abusing economic vulnerabilities;

Or. en

Amendment 55
Saskia Bricmont

Draft motion for a resolution
Recital H

Draft motion for a resolution

H. whereas the COVID-19 crisis has significantly exacerbated certain crimes, such as the production and distribution of child sexual abuse material (CSAM) online; whereas domestic violence, especially against women and children, has increased significantly during this period; whereas as a result of the crisis criminals are finding new ways to abuse the vulnerability of irregular migrants, shifting smuggling routes and abusing economic vulnerabilities;

Amendment

H. whereas the COVID-19 crisis has significantly exacerbated certain crimes, such as the production and distribution of child sexual abuse material (CSAM) online; ***whereas between 70% and 85% of children know their abuser and the vast majority of children are victims of people they trust;*** whereas domestic violence, especially against women and children, has increased significantly during this period; whereas as a result of the crisis ***the situation of irregular migrants has been aggravated giving even more opportunities to criminals to find*** new ways to abuse the vulnerability of irregular migrants, shifting smuggling routes and abusing economic vulnerabilities;

Or. en

Amendment 56
Saskia Bricmont

Draft motion for a resolution
Recital H a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Ha. whereas hate speech, racism, xenophobia and sexism, both online and offline, play a role in the creation of insecurity, harassment, cyber-harassment and gender-based violence; whereas according to FRA surveys and reports, 1 in 5 women are victims of cyber-harassment; whereas 1 in 3 women have experienced physical or sexual violence in Europe, creating important insecurity for women all over Europe; whereas reports

Amendment

of domestic violence cases against women and children have dramatically increase since the start of the pandemic;

Or. en

Amendment 57
Saskia Bricmont

Draft motion for a resolution
Recital H b (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

Hb. whereas cybercrime and consumer fraud have risen during the Covid-19 crisis;

Or. en

Amendment 58
Saskia Bricmont

Draft motion for a resolution
Recital H c (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

Hc. whereas the “right to security” in Article 6 of the Charter, in line with Article 7 ECHR, refers to security from unreasonable arrests, searches, and other state interventions, not to a right to public security; whereas public security policies must be in line with privacy or other fundamental rights;

Or. en

Amendment 59
Saskia Bricmont

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital H d (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

Hd. Whereas 18 Member States have adopted measures to release people from prisons between March and June 2020 as a result of the Covid19 pandemic,¹³ recognising the threat to health and life of people detained and working in prisons, in particular in the prisons suffering from chronic overcrowding where social distancing measures and access to health services are impracticable;

¹³[https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/BRIE/2020/651976/EPRS_BRI\(2020\)651976_EN.pdf](https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/BRIE/2020/651976/EPRS_BRI(2020)651976_EN.pdf).

Or. en

Amendment 60

Javier Zarzalejos, Paulo Rangel

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital I a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ia. whereas online sexual coercion and extortion of children is facilitated by the widespread availability of online devices and a growing number of children and teenagers fall victim to online grooming which leads them to produce indecent material that is later used for sexual exploitation, in particular by means of extortion;

Or. en

Amendment 61

Javier Zarzalejos, Paulo Rangel

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital J a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ja. whereas domestic violence, especially against women and children, has increased significantly during this period;

Or. en

Amendment 62

Javier Zarzalejos, Paulo Rangel

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital K a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ka. whereas as a result of the crisis criminals are finding new ways to abuse the vulnerability of irregular migrants, shifting smuggling routes and abusing economic vulnerabilities;

Or. en

Amendment 63

Javier Zarzalejos, Paulo Rangel

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital L a(new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

La. whereas technological developments such as end-to-end encryption and the Darkweb, while offering benefits to data protection and cybersecurity, have facilitated the communication between criminals across borders, while making it more difficult for offenses to be detected and criminal offenders to be identified and prosecuted;

Amendment 64
Javier Zarzalejos, Paulo Rangel

Draft motion for a resolution
Recital M a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ma. whereas the investigation of most crimes involves data that is stored electronically ('e-evidence'); whereas competent authorities frequently face practical difficulties in obtaining the relevant data as it is often stored by service providers established outside the territory of the Member State where the crime was committed or where the offender resides; whereas procedure under the existing tools such as mutual legal assistance and the European Investigation Order are rather slow and the relevant data has often been deleted by the time the request reaches the service provider; whereas the co-legislators are currently discussing a legislative package on e-evidence;

Or. en

Amendment 65
Javier Zarzalejos, Paulo Rangel

Draft motion for a resolution
Recital N a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

Na. whereas the CJEU in its ruling in the joint case of La Quadrature du Net and Others has maintained its jurisprudence in Tele21 that only "targeted" retention of data limited to specific persons or a specific geographical

area is allowed; whereas the Court however specified that IP addresses assigned to the source of a communication, may be subject to a generalised and indiscriminate retention for the purpose of combating serious crime and serious threats to public security, subject to strict safeguards; whereas the Court furthermore confirmed the principle of national procedural autonomy regarding the admissibility and assessment of evidence in national courts¹⁴;

¹⁴ judgment of the CJEU in joined Cases C-203/15 and C-698/15 ('Tele2')

Or. en

Amendment 66
Javier Zarzalejos, Paulo Rangel

Draft motion for a resolution
Recital O a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

Oa. whereas in 2019 Europol continued providing Member States with operational analysis and the processing of contributions, while continuing to provide proactive support to high-profile investigations covering the three areas which pose a continuous threat to the internal security of the Union, namely cybercrime, serious and organised crime and terrorism;

Or. en

Amendment 67
Javier Zarzalejos, Paulo Rangel

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital P a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

Pa. whereas in 2019, the Prüm Network had over 9.2 million DNA profiles available for comparison throughout all Member States' databases, with more than 2.2 million DNA searches made in that year; whereas there were almost 400 000 fingerprint searches and 10 000 verified hits and more than 16 million VRD searches in 2019¹⁵;

Or. en

Amendment 68

Javier Zarzalejos, Paulo Rangel

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital Q a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

Qa. Whereas judicial cooperation in criminal matters is a key element in the security framework; whereas it is the foundation for establishing an area of freedom, security and justice; whereas its incorrect functioning could have devastating effects on the functioning of the Schengen area;

Or. en

Amendment 69

Javier Zarzalejos, Paulo Rangel

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital R a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ra. Whereas judicial cooperation in criminal matters in the Union is based on the principle of mutual recognition of judgments and judicial decisions; whereas mutual recognition is a consequence of mutual trust between Member States and reinforcing trust is key for the instruments of judicial cooperation to operate smoothly;

Or. en

Amendment 70

Domènec Ruiz Devesa, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph -1 (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

-1. Considers that the present and future of the European project rest on the idea of an open society that rejects exclusionary identity base nationalisms, intolerance, xenophobia, racism, anti-Semitism, Islamophobia, and religious fundamentalism whenever they undermine the European idea of a human rights based policy;

Or. en

Amendment 71

Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

1. Welcomes the new Security Union Strategy and highlights the need for the

1. Takes note of the new Security Union Strategy and highlights the need for

effective implementation of existing EU legislation and, where gaps in the regulatory framework have been identified, for follow-up in the form of legislative and non-legislative initiatives; stresses the need to evaluate the effectiveness of existing measures and that any new legislative proposal must be accompanied by a comprehensive impact assessment;

the effective implementation of existing EU legislation and, where gaps in the regulatory framework have been identified, for follow-up in the form of legislative and non-legislative initiatives; stresses the need to evaluate the effectiveness of existing measures and that any new legislative proposal must be accompanied by a comprehensive impact assessment;

Or. en

Amendment 72

Javier Zarzalejos, Paulo Rangel

Draft motion for a resolution Paragraph 1

Draft motion for a resolution

1. Welcomes the new Security Union Strategy and highlights the need for the effective implementation of existing EU legislation ***and, where gaps in the regulatory framework have been identified, for follow-up in the form of legislative and non-legislative initiatives; stresses the need to evaluate the effectiveness of existing measures and*** that any new legislative proposal must be accompanied by a comprehensive impact assessment;

Amendment

1. Welcomes the new Security Union Strategy and highlights the need for effective implementation of existing EU legislation ***in this area; agrees with the Commission that*** legislative and non-legislative initiatives ***are needed in several areas***; reiterates that any new legislative proposal has to be accompanied by an impact assessment;

Or. en

Amendment 73

Saskia Bricmont

Draft motion for a resolution Paragraph 1

Draft motion for a resolution

1. ***Welcomes*** the new Security Union Strategy and highlights the need for the

Amendment

1. ***Takes note of*** the new Security Union Strategy and highlights the need for

effective implementation of existing EU legislation and, where gaps in the regulatory framework have been identified, for follow-up in the form of legislative and non-legislative initiatives; stresses the need to evaluate the effectiveness of existing measures and that any new legislative proposal must be accompanied by a comprehensive impact assessment;

the effective implementation of existing EU legislation and, where gaps in the regulatory framework have been identified, for follow-up in the form of legislative and non-legislative initiatives; stresses the need to evaluate the effectiveness of existing measures and that any new legislative proposal must be accompanied by a comprehensive impact assessment, ***including on fundamental rights impact and risks of discrimination; expresses concern about the lack of democratic oversight over intelligence services and their transnational cooperation in Europe and the increasing use of information used through surveillance measures in criminal investigations and proceedings; highlights the key role of FRA in evaluating respect for fundamental rights; recalls the need for ex-post impact assessments on the effectiveness of measures, including the respect for fundamental rights, the principle of equality before the law and rule of law;***

Or. en

Amendment 74 **Cornelia Ernst**

Draft motion for a resolution **Paragraph 1**

Draft motion for a resolution

1. ***Welcomes*** the new Security Union Strategy and highlights the need for the effective implementation of existing EU legislation and, where gaps in the regulatory framework have been identified, for follow-up in the form of legislative and non-legislative initiatives; stresses the need to evaluate the effectiveness of existing measures and that any new legislative proposal must be accompanied by a comprehensive impact assessment;

Amendment

1. ***Takes note of*** the new Security Union Strategy and highlights the need for the effective implementation of existing EU legislation and, where gaps in the regulatory framework have been identified, for follow-up in the form of legislative and non-legislative initiatives; stresses the need to evaluate the effectiveness of existing measures and that any new legislative proposal must be accompanied by a ***thorough, comprehensive and unbiased***

impact assessment;

Or. en

Amendment 75
Nicolaus Fest

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Draft motion for a resolution

1. Welcomes the new Security Union Strategy and highlights the need for the effective implementation of existing EU legislation and, where gaps in the regulatory framework ***have been*** identified, for follow-up ***in the form of*** legislative and ***non-legislative initiatives***; stresses ***the need to evaluate the effectiveness of existing measures and*** that any new legislative proposal ***must*** be accompanied by ***a comprehensive*** impact assessment;

Amendment

1. Welcomes the new Security Union Strategy and highlights the need for effective implementation of existing EU legislation and, where gaps in the regulatory framework ***were*** identified, for follow-up ***by*** legislative initiatives; stresses that any new legislative proposal ***has to*** be accompanied by ***an*** impact assessment;

Or. en

Amendment 76
Assita Kanko

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Draft motion for a resolution

1. Welcomes the new Security Union Strategy and highlights the need for the effective implementation of existing EU legislation and, where gaps in the regulatory framework have been identified, for follow-up in the form of legislative and non-legislative initiatives; stresses the need to evaluate the effectiveness of existing measures and that any new legislative proposal must be

Amendment

1. Welcomes the new Security Union Strategy and highlights the need for the effective implementation of existing EU legislation and, where gaps in the regulatory framework have been identified, for follow-up in the form of legislative and non-legislative initiatives; stresses the need to evaluate the effectiveness of existing measures and that any new legislative proposal must be

accompanied by a comprehensive impact assessment;

accompanied by a comprehensive impact assessment; *stresses, furthermore, that measures in the framework of the Security Union Strategy must be sufficiently flexible to respond to constantly changing circumstances and criminal organisations changing their modus operandi;*

Or. en

Amendment 77
Maria Grapini

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Draft motion for a resolution

1. Welcomes the new Security Union Strategy and highlights the need for the effective implementation of existing EU legislation and, where gaps in the regulatory framework have been identified, for follow-up in the form of legislative and non-legislative initiatives; stresses the need to evaluate the effectiveness of existing measures and that any new legislative proposal must be accompanied by a comprehensive impact assessment;

Amendment

1. Welcomes the new Security Union Strategy and highlights the need for the effective implementation of existing EU legislation and, where gaps in the regulatory *and enforcement* framework have been identified, for follow-up in the form of legislative and non-legislative initiatives; stresses the need to evaluate the effectiveness of existing measures and that any new legislative proposal must be accompanied by a comprehensive impact assessment;

Or. en

Amendment 78
Dragoş Tudorache, Malik Azmani, Ondřej Kovařík, Maite Pagazaurtundúa, Ramona Strugariu, Fabienne Keller, Sophia in 't Veld, Olivier Chastel

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

1a. Stresses that new and evolving technologies such as artificial intelligence, big data, and the IoT permeate all aspects of our security and create novel security challenges and threats; recalls that Europe is technologically dependent and lagging behind in the development of artificial intelligence and new technologies; calls on the Commission to proactively plan for the research, development, and deployment of new technologies for ensuring our security, in full respect for fundamental rights and European values;

Or. en

Amendment 79

Fabienne Keller, Nathalie Loiseau, Malik Azmani, Dragoş Tudorache

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

1a. Calls on the Commission to propose an ambitious European Democracy Action Plan to address, in particular, the major challenge of disinformation as a threat to internal security; proposes to set up an European Agency to protect democracies;

Or. en

Amendment 80

Fabienne Keller, Malik Azmani, Ondřej Kovařík, Maite Pagazaurtundúa, Ramona Strugariu, Dragoş Tudorache, Sophia in 't Veld, Olivier Chastel

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 b (new)

1b. *Highlights that 5G infrastructure is a strategic component of future European Security and a key component of European strategic resilience; calls on the Commission to elaborate a plan for building European 5G, including funding for its development in Europe and a plan to phase out and replace 5G technology from third countries who do not respect fundamental rights and European values;*

Or. en

Amendment 81

Javier Zarzalejos, Paulo Rangel

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

2. Notes that the terrorist threat in the EU remains high; calls on the Commission to submit the new EU counter-terrorism agenda, addressing terrorist threats based on various drivers such as those mentioned in the Europol TE-SAT reports;

2. *Highlights that terrorism, irrespective of its nature (jihadi, right-wing, left wing), can seriously threaten democratic societies; deplores the many victims, particularly of right-wing extremism and jihadi-attacks, over the past years; emphasises the important work of law-enforcement authorities which have led to many attacks being foiled but notes that the terrorist threat in the EU remains high; calls on the Commission to submit a new Counter-Terrorism Agenda for the EU, addressing the various drivers of terrorist threats, notably those mentioned in the Europol TE-SAT reports; reiterates that the fight against terrorism requires a coordinated response and cooperation within and between Member States; reiterates its call for new approaches to cooperation, based on mutual trust, and information exchange between competent authorities, including intelligence services, which hold valuable*

information to effectively terrorism;

Or. en

Amendment 82

Nicolaus Fest

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Draft motion for a resolution

2. Notes that the terrorist threat in the EU remains **high**; calls on the Commission to submit the new **EU** counter-terrorism agenda, addressing terrorist threats based on various drivers such as those mentioned in the Europol TE-SAT reports;

Amendment

2. Notes that the terrorist threat in the EU remains **acute, with jihadist terrorism being the main concern**; calls on the Commission to submit the new Counter-Terrorism Agenda **for the EU**, addressing **the** terrorist threats based on various drivers such as those mentioned in the Europol TE-SAT reports;

Or. en

Amendment 83

Dragoş Tudorache, Malik Azmani, Ondřej Kovařík, Maite Pagazaurtundúa, Ramona Strugariu, Fabienne Keller

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Draft motion for a resolution

2. Notes that the terrorist threat in the EU remains high; **calls on** the Commission to submit the new EU counter-terrorism agenda, addressing terrorist threats based on various drivers such as those mentioned in the Europol TE-SAT reports;

Amendment

2. Notes that the terrorist threat in the EU remains high; **welcomes** the Commission's **intention** to submit the new EU counter-terrorism agenda, addressing terrorist threats based on various drivers such as those mentioned in the Europol TE-SAT reports **and calls for it to be a fully comprehensive agenda that would include measures and actions on coordination, cooperation and information exchange between Member States, on terrorism financing, on countering radicalisation offline and**

online, on prevention and education, on the fight against hate speech, racism and intolerance and on the protection and support to the victims of terrorism. Strongly calls on the Commission to systematically conduct impact assessments on any future counter-terrorism legislative proposals;

Or. en

Amendment 84

Fabienne Keller, Nathalie Loiseau, Malik Azmani, Olivier Chastel, Dragoş Tudorache

Draft motion for a resolution Paragraph 2

Draft motion for a resolution

2. Notes that the terrorist threat in the EU remains high; calls on the Commission to submit the new EU counter-terrorism agenda, addressing terrorist threats based on various drivers such as those mentioned in the Europol TE-SAT reports;

Amendment

2. Notes that the terrorist threat in the EU remains high; ***recalls that terrorists targets our common values and European citizens' way of life; urges the Commission to ensure full and swift implementation of Directive (EU) 2017/541 on combating terrorism in all Member States;*** calls on the Commission to submit the new EU counter-terrorism agenda, addressing terrorist threats based on various drivers such as those mentioned in the Europol TE-SAT reports;

Or. en

Amendment 85

Domènec Ruiz Devesa, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Draft motion for a resolution Paragraph 2

Draft motion for a resolution

2. Notes that the terrorist threat in the EU remains high; calls on the Commission to submit the new EU counter-terrorism

Amendment

2. Notes that the terrorist threat in the EU remains high; calls on the Commission ***to put forward a*** new EU counter-terrorism

agenda, addressing terrorist threats based on various drivers such as those mentioned in the Europol TE-SAT reports;

Pact, endorsed by the European Parliament political groups and adopted by the Council, addressing terrorist threats based on various drivers such as those mentioned in the Europol TE-SAT reports;

Or. en

Amendment 86
Balázs Hidvéghi

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Draft motion for a resolution

2. Notes that the terrorist threat in the EU remains high; calls on the Commission to submit the new EU counter-terrorism agenda, addressing terrorist threats based on various drivers such as those mentioned in the Europol TE-SAT reports;

Amendment

2. Notes that the terrorist threat in the EU remains high; calls on the Commission to submit the new EU counter-terrorism agenda, addressing terrorist threats based on various drivers such as those mentioned in the Europol TE-SAT reports; ***stresses the need for a more coordinated approach from the Union to address the issue of mixed migration flows used by foreign terrorist fighters to enter the European Union; underlines that in order to reduce security risks, it is essential to strengthen the control of external borders while preserving the integrity of the Schengen area;***

Or. en

Amendment 87
Cornelia Ernst

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Draft motion for a resolution

2. Notes that the terrorist threat in the EU remains high; calls on the Commission to submit the new EU counter-terrorism

Amendment

2. Notes that the terrorist threat in the EU remains high, ***in particular due to the widespread emergence of violent right-***

agenda, addressing terrorist threats based on various drivers such as those mentioned in the Europol TE-SAT reports;

wing extremist paramilitary groups and networks; calls on the Commission to submit the new EU counter-terrorism agenda, addressing terrorist threats based on various drivers such as those mentioned in the Europol TE-SAT reports;

Or. en

Amendment 88
Maria Grapini

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Draft motion for a resolution

2. Notes that the terrorist threat in the EU remains high; calls on the Commission to submit the new EU counter-terrorism agenda, addressing terrorist threats based on various drivers such as those mentioned in the Europol TE-SAT reports;

Amendment

2. Notes that the terrorist threat in the EU remains high *and that it is therefore necessary to intensify coordination and cooperation efforts · at national, regional and international level*; calls on the Commission to submit the new EU counter-terrorism agenda, addressing terrorist threats based on various drivers such as those mentioned in the Europol TE-SAT reports;

Or. en

Amendment 89
Caterina Chinnici

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Draft motion for a resolution

2. Notes that the terrorist threat in the EU remains high; calls on the Commission to submit the new EU counter-terrorism agenda, addressing terrorist threats based on various drivers such as those mentioned in the Europol TE-SAT reports;

Amendment

2. Notes that the terrorist threat in the EU remains high; calls on the Commission to submit the new EU counter-terrorism agenda, addressing terrorist threats based on various drivers such as those mentioned in the Europol TE-SAT reports; *stresses the need to take into consideration the*

extension of the powers of the European Public Prosecutor's Office to investigate and prosecute cross-border terrorism;

Or. en

Amendment 90
Nicola Procaccini

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Draft motion for a resolution

2. Notes that the terrorist threat in the EU remains high; calls on the Commission to submit the new EU counter-terrorism agenda, addressing terrorist threats based on various drivers such as those mentioned in the Europol TE-SAT reports;

Amendment

2. Notes that the terrorist threat in the EU remains high; calls on the Commission to submit the new EU counter-terrorism agenda, addressing terrorist threats based on various drivers such as those mentioned in the Europol TE-SAT reports, ***with a particular focus on Islamist terrorism;***

Or. en

Amendment 91
Saskia Bricmont

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

2a. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to implement a holistic approach to preventing and countering radicalisation, which should combine security, education, social, cultural and anti-discrimination policies; repeats its call on the Commission to make more effective use of EU funds for this end by ensuring the complementarity and coherence between in particular the ISF and other funds and programmes, and by developing methodologies to assess the

effectiveness of the programmes;

Or. en

Amendment 92

Fabienne Keller, Nathalie Loiseau, Olivier Chastel, Dragoş Tudorache

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

2a. Recalls that fighting the financing of terrorism is one key priority to dismantle criminal and terrorist organisations and their sources of financing; calls on the Council and the Commission to take concrete actions towards the extension of the competence of EPPO to the fight against terrorism;

Or. en

Amendment 93

Fabienne Keller, Nathalie Loiseau, Olivier Chastel, Dragoş Tudorache

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

2a. Calls on the Member States to step-up their efforts in coordinating actions at the external borders to improve the governance of the Schengen area, including for crisis management in the field of security, notably by creating a new Council configuration on internal security;

Or. en

Amendment 94

Assita Kanko

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

2a. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to implement a holistic approach to preventing and countering radicalisation, which should combine security, education and social policies; repeats its call on the Commission to make more effective use of EU funds for this end by ensuring the complementarity and coherence between in particular the ISF and other funds and programmes, and by developing methodologies to assess the effectiveness of the programmes; points out that best practices at Member States' level include grassroots community work, community policing, language and values integration, lifelong education;

Or. en

Amendment 95

Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

2a. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to implement a holistic approach to preventing and countering radicalisation, which should combine security, education, social, cultural and anti-discrimination policies and involve all relevant stakeholders, including grassroots community initiatives; repeats its call on the Commission to make more effective use of EU funds for this end and develop methodologies to assess the

effectiveness of the programmes;

Or. en

Amendment 96

Nicolaus Fest

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

2a. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to implement a holistic approach to preventing and countering radicalisation and religious extremism, which should combine security, education and social policies; notes that many of the so-called de-radicalisation initiatives have proved to be ineffective;

Or. en

Amendment 97

Javier Zarzalejos, Ioan-Rareş Bogdan, Paulo Rangel

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

3. Reiterates that, while not the only factor, the presence of terrorist content online has proven to be a catalyst for the radicalisation of individuals, some of whom have committed terrorist acts; stresses the need to introduce and implement a combination of legislative and non-legislative measures, ***with full respect for fundamental rights***, in order to address this phenomenon;

3. Reiterates that, while not the only factor, terrorist content online has proven to be a catalyst for the radicalisation of individuals, some of whom have committed terrorist ***offenses as defined in Directive (EU) 2017/541; emphasises, therefore, the need to strengthen the capabilities of Europol's EU Internet referral Unit (EU IRU), which detects and investigates terrorist and violent extremist content and flags it with relevant partners***; stresses the urgent need to introduce and implement a combination of legislative, non-legislative measures

involving the hosting service providers whose services are misused to disseminate terrorist content to address this phenomenon;

Or. en

Amendment 98

Domènec Ruiz Devesa, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Draft motion for a resolution

3. Reiterates that, while not the only factor, the presence of terrorist content online has proven to be a catalyst for the radicalisation of individuals, some of whom have committed terrorist acts; stresses the need to introduce and implement a combination of legislative and non-legislative measures, with full respect for fundamental rights, in order to address *this phenomenon*;

Amendment

3. Reiterates that, while not the only factor, the presence of terrorist content online has proven to be a catalyst for the radicalisation of individuals, some of whom have committed terrorist acts; *considers that the fight against social inequalities is crucial to tackle the root causes of radicalisation*; stresses the need to introduce and implement a combination of legislative and non-legislative measures, with full respect for fundamental rights, in order to address *these phenomena*;

Or. en

Amendment 99

Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Draft motion for a resolution

3. Reiterates that, while not the only factor, the presence of terrorist content online has proven to be a catalyst for the radicalisation of individuals, some of whom have committed terrorist acts; stresses the need to introduce and implement a combination of legislative and

Amendment

3. Reiterates that, while not the only factor, the presence of terrorist content online has proven to be a catalyst for the radicalisation of individuals, some of whom have committed terrorist acts; stresses the need to introduce and implement a combination of legislative and

non-legislative measures, with full respect for fundamental rights, in order to address this phenomenon;

non-legislative measures, with full respect for fundamental rights, in order to address this phenomenon; ***calls for the swift adoption of the proposal for a Terrorist Content Online Regulation;***

Or. en

Amendment 100

Dragoş Tudorache, Malik Azmani, Ondřej Kovařík, Maite Pagazaurtundúa, Ramona Strugariu, Fabienne Keller, Olivier Chastel

Draft motion for a resolution Paragraph 3

Draft motion for a resolution

Reiterates that, while not the only factor, the presence of terrorist content online has proven to be a catalyst for the radicalisation of individuals, some of whom have committed terrorist acts; ***stresses the need to introduce and implement a combination of legislative and non-legislative measures, with full respect for fundamental rights, in order to address this phenomenon;***

Amendment

3. Reiterates that, while not the only factor, the presence of terrorist content online has proven to be a catalyst for the radicalisation of individuals, some of whom have committed terrorist acts; ***stresses the need to achieve fast detection and full removal of terrorist content online on the basis of clear legal provisions including safeguards and human review and underlines that, although some progress has been made in this regard, there is a need to scale up the companies' engagement; calls for transparent mechanisms to allow online terrorist content to be identified and reported swiftly and to allow citizens to flag such content; calls for the reinforcement of the EUROPOL Internet Referral Unit;***

Or. en

Amendment 101 Birgit Sippel

Draft motion for a resolution Paragraph 3

Draft motion for a resolution

3. Reiterates that, while not the only factor, the presence of terrorist content online has proven to be a catalyst for the radicalisation of individuals, some of whom have committed terrorist acts; stresses the need to introduce and implement a combination of legislative and non-legislative measures, ***with full respect for fundamental rights, in order to address this phenomenon;***

Amendment

3. Reiterates that, while not the only factor, the presence of terrorist content online has proven to be a catalyst for the radicalisation of individuals, some of whom have committed terrorist acts; stresses the need to introduce and implement a combination of legislative and non-legislative measures ***seeking to contribute to the protection of public security and which should establish appropriate and robust safeguards to ensure protection of the fundamental rights at stake. These safeguards should include the rights to respect for private life and to the protection of personal data, the right to effective judicial protection, the right to freedom of expression, including the freedom to receive and impart information, the freedom to conduct a business, and the principle of non-discrimination;***

Or. en

Amendment 102
Marina Kaljurand

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Draft motion for a resolution

3. Reiterates that, while not the only factor, the presence of terrorist content online has proven to be a catalyst for the radicalisation of individuals, some of whom have committed terrorist acts; stresses the need to introduce and implement a combination of legislative and non-legislative measures, ***with full respect for fundamental rights, in order to address this phenomenon;***

Amendment

3. Reiterates that, while not the only factor, the presence of terrorist content online has proven to be a catalyst for the radicalisation of individuals, some of whom have committed terrorist acts; stresses the need to introduce and implement a combination of legislative and non-legislative measures ***seeking to contribute to the protection of public security. These measures should establish appropriate and robust safeguards to ensure protection of the fundamental***

rights at stake, including the rights to respect for private life and to the protection of personal data, the right to effective judicial protection, the right to freedom of expression, including the freedom to receive and impart information, the freedom to conduct a business, and the principle of non-discrimination;

Or. en

Amendment 103
Assita Kanko

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Draft motion for a resolution

3. Reiterates that, while not the only factor, the presence of terrorist content online has proven to be a catalyst for the radicalisation of individuals, some of whom have committed terrorist acts; stresses the need to introduce and implement a combination of legislative and non-legislative measures, with full respect for fundamental rights, in order to address this phenomenon;

Amendment

3. Reiterates that, while not the only factor, the presence of terrorist content online has proven to be a catalyst for the radicalisation of individuals, *particularly young people*, some of whom have committed terrorist acts; stresses the need to introduce and implement a combination of legislative and non-legislative measures, with full respect for fundamental rights, in order to address this phenomenon;

Or. en

Amendment 104
Cornelia Ernst

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Draft motion for a resolution

3. Reiterates that, while not the only factor, the presence of terrorist content online has proven to be a catalyst for the radicalisation of individuals, some of

Amendment

3. Reiterates that, while not the only factor, the presence of terrorist content online has proven to be a catalyst for the radicalisation of individuals, some of

whom have committed terrorist acts;
stresses the need to introduce and
implement a combination of legislative and
non-legislative measures, with full respect
for fundamental rights, in order to address
this phenomenon;

whom have committed terrorist acts;
stresses the need to introduce and
implement a combination of legislative and
non-legislative measures, with full respect
for fundamental rights ***and constitutional
standards***, in order to address this
phenomenon;

Or. en

Amendment 105
Saskia Bricmont

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Draft motion for a resolution

3. Reiterates that, while not the only
factor, the presence of terrorist content
online has proven to be a catalyst for the
radicalisation of individuals, some of
whom have committed terrorist acts;
stresses the need to ***introduce and
implement a combination of legislative
and non-legislative measures, with full
respect for fundamental rights, in order*** to
address this phenomenon;

Amendment

3. Reiterates that, while not the only
factor, the presence of terrorist content
online has proven to be a catalyst for the
radicalisation of individuals, some of
whom have committed terrorist acts;
stresses the need to address this
phenomenon, ***with full respect for
fundamental rights***;

Or. en

Amendment 106
Maria Grapini

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Draft motion for a resolution

3. Reiterates that, while not the only factor,
the presence of terrorist content online has
proven to be a catalyst for the
radicalisation of individuals, some of
whom have committed terrorist acts;
stresses the need to introduce and

Amendment

3. Reiterates that, while not the only factor,
the presence of terrorist content online has
proven to be a catalyst for the
radicalisation of individuals, some of
whom have committed terrorist acts;
stresses the need to introduce and

implement a combination of legislative and non-legislative measures, with full respect for fundamental rights, in order to address this phenomenon;

implement a combination of legislative and non-legislative measures, with full respect for fundamental rights, in order to address **and prevent** this phenomenon;

Or. en

Amendment 107

Dragoş Tudorache, Malik Azmani, Ondřej Kovařík, Maite Pagazaurtundúa, Ramona Strugariu, Fabienne Keller, Olivier Chastel

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

3a. Highlights that fake news and disinformation, especially when enhanced by new technologies such as artificial intelligence and deep fakes, whether deployed by state or non-state actors, represent a fundamental threat to our democracy and security; is dismayed by the Commission's superficial treatment of this grave security threat; calls on the Commission to make combatting fake news and disinformation a central pillar of our Security Union Strategy, including by allocating adequate funding;

Or. en

Amendment 108

Rasa Juknevičienė

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

3a. Acknowledges that countering hybrid threats that aim to weaken social cohesion and undermine trust in institutions, as well as enhancing EU resilience are an important element of the

Amendment 109
Rasa Juknevičienė

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 b (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

3b. Welcomes the key measures for countering hybrid threats set out by the Commission- from early detection, analysis, awareness, building resilience and prevention to crisis response and consequence management – mainstreaming hybrid considerations into broader policy-making. Also encourages inclusion of deterrence aspect into the EU approach on countering hybrid threats;

Or. en

Amendment 110
Rasa Juknevičienė

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 c (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

3c. Takes note of the Commission plan to complete the review of the Network and Information Systems Directive and outline strategic cybersecurity priorities to ensure the EU can anticipate and respond to evolving threats; acknowledges the need for a Joint Cyber Unit as a platform for structured and coordinated cooperation;

Or. en

Amendment 111
Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

3a. Takes note of the call made by the Council President for the creation of an European institute to train imams to curb hate speech and prevent terrorism; stresses, however, that there is still much to be done in the fight against radical Islamism in Europe; calls on the Commission to work together with the Council on an effective strategy to end radical Islamism in Europe;

Or. en

Amendment 112
Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

3c. Reminds that freedom of religion and freedom of expression are fundamental rights, which are set out in articles 10 and 11 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union; calls on the EU and its Member States to uphold these fundamental rights in the light of the recent religiously motivated terrorist attacks;

Or. en

Amendment 113
Assita Kanko

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

3a. Calls on the Member States to fully implement Directive (EU) 2016/681 on the use of passenger name record (PNR) data for the prevention, detection, investigation and prosecution of terrorist offences and serious crime; calls for the Directive to be updated and include other cross-border modes of transport such as international train and ferry services;

Or. en

Amendment 114
Ioan-Rareş Bogdan, Javier Zarzalejos

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

3a. Recalls that countering hybrid threats remains a very complex challenge that the EU and the Member States are confronted with. Stresses in this regard the need for a stronger cooperation between the Member States and for a better coordination at EU level, between all the actors, in order to counter these threats;

Or. en

Amendment 115
Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Draft motion for a resolution

4. Welcomes the agenda for tackling organised crime announced by the Commission; reiterates its previous calls for the revision of Council Framework Decision 2008/841/JHA of 24 October 2008 on the fight against organised crime¹⁴ and the need to establish a common definition of organised crime;

¹⁴ OJ L 300, 11.11.2008, p. 42.

Amendment

4. ***Believes that organised criminal groups can be more efficiently dismantled by depriving them of the profits from crime and ensuring further measures on freezing and confiscating assets; notes that emerging criminal activities such as environmental crime or trafficking in cultural goods should not be overlooked as they often correspond with in funding other criminal activities;*** welcomes the agenda for tackling organised crime announced by the Commission; reiterates its previous calls for the revision of Council Framework Decision 2008/841/JHA of 24 October 2008 on the fight against organised crime¹⁴ and the need to establish a common definition of organised crime;

¹⁴ OJ L 300, 11.11.2008, p. 42.

Or. en

Amendment 116
Cornelia Ernst

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Draft motion for a resolution

4. Welcomes the agenda for tackling organised crime announced by the Commission; reiterates its previous calls for the revision of Council Framework Decision 2008/841/JHA of 24 October 2008 on the fight against organised crime¹⁴ and the need to establish a common definition of organised crime;

Amendment

4. Welcomes the agenda for tackling organised crime announced by the Commission; reiterates its previous calls for the revision of Council Framework Decision 2008/841/JHA of 24 October 2008 on the fight against organised crime¹⁴ and the need to establish a common definition of organised crime; ***Believes that organised criminal groups can be more efficiently dismantled by depriving them of the profits from crime and by using the confiscated assets to compensate victims***

or for other social purposes; notes that emerging criminal activities such as environmental crime or trafficking in cultural goods should not be overlooked as they often concur in funding other criminal activities;

¹⁶ OJ L 300, 11.11.2008, p. 42.

¹⁶ OJ L 300, 11.11.2008, p. 42.

Or. en

Amendment 117
Javier Zarzalejos, Paulo Rangel

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Draft motion for a resolution

4. Welcomes the agenda for tackling organised crime announced by the Commission; reiterates its previous calls for the revision of Council Framework Decision 2008/841/JHA of 24 October 2008 on the fight against organised crime¹⁶ and the need to establish a common definition of organised crime;

¹⁶ OJ L 300, 11.11.2008, p. 42.

Amendment

4. ***Believes that more efforts are needed to effectively dismantle organised criminal groups and to deprive them of their profits; stresses, in this regard, the need for further measures on freezing and confiscating assets, including on non-conviction based assets; underlines that emerging criminal activities such as environmental crime, organised property crime or trafficking in cultural goods should not be overlooked as they often concur in funding other criminal activities;*** welcomes the ***announced*** Agenda for tackling organised crime; reiterates its previous calls for a revision of the Council Framework Decision 2008/841/JHA on the fight against organised crime¹⁶ and the need for setting out a common definition of organised crime;

¹⁶ OJ L 300, 11.11.2008, p. 42.

Or. en

Amendment 118
Saskia Bricmont

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Draft motion for a resolution

4. ***Welcomes*** the agenda for tackling organised crime announced by the Commission; reiterates its previous calls for the revision of Council Framework Decision 2008/841/JHA of 24 October 2008 on the fight against organised crime¹⁶ and the need to establish a common definition of organised crime;

¹⁶ OJ L 300, 11.11.2008, p. 42.

Amendment

4. ***Believes that organised criminal groups can be more efficiently dismantled by depriving them of the profits from crime and ensuring further measures on freezing and confiscating assets ; notes that emerging criminal activities such as environmental crime or trafficking in cultural goods should not be overlooked as they often concur in funding other criminal activities; looks forward to*** the agenda for tackling organised crime announced by the Commission; reiterates its previous calls for the revision of Council Framework Decision 2008/841/JHA of 24 October 2008 on the fight against organised crime¹⁶ and the need to establish a common definition of organised crime;

¹⁶ OJ L 300, 11.11.2008, p. 42.

Or. en

Amendment 119
Caterina Chinnici

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Draft motion for a resolution

4. Welcomes the agenda for tackling organised crime announced by the Commission; reiterates its previous calls for the revision of Council Framework Decision 2008/841/JHA of 24 October 2008 on the fight against organised crime¹⁶ and the need to establish a common

Amendment

4. Welcomes the agenda for tackling organised crime announced by the Commission; reiterates its previous calls for the revision of Council Framework Decision 2008/841/JHA of 24 October 2008 on the fight against organised crime¹⁶ and the need to establish a common

definition of organised crime;

definition of organised crime; *such common definition should get over the present reference to the penalties provided for in national laws, and take into consideration also the use of violence, corruption or intimidation by criminal groups to obtain the control of economic activities, or public procurement, or to affect democratic process;*

¹⁶ OJ L 300, 11.11.2008, p. 42.

¹⁶ OJ L 300, 11.11.2008, p. 42.

Or. en

Amendment 120
Maria Grapini

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Draft motion for a resolution

4. Welcomes the agenda for tackling organised crime announced by the Commission; reiterates its previous calls for the revision of Council Framework Decision 2008/841/JHA of 24 October 2008 on the fight against organised crime¹⁶ and the need to establish a common definition of organised crime;

¹⁶ OJ L 300, 11.11.2008, p. 42.

Amendment

4. Welcomes the agenda for tackling organised crime announced by the Commission; reiterates its previous calls for the revision of Council Framework Decision 2008/841/JHA of 24 October 2008 on the fight against organised crime¹⁶ and the need to establish a common definition of organised crime *and of common measures to combat it as well;*

¹⁶ OJ L 300, 11.11.2008, p. 42.

Or. en

Amendment 121
Caterina Chinnici

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

4a. Recalls that only about 1% of the profits of organised crime groups are confiscated; calls on the Member States to step up cooperation and information exchange in financial investigations and in freezing and confiscating profits of criminal activities;

Or. en

Amendment 122
Saskia Bricmont

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

4a. Welcomes the Commission communication of 7 May 2020 on an Action Plan for a comprehensive Union policy on preventing money laundering and terrorist financing, which sets the scene for further improvements, in particular in the enforcement and implementation of the existing legislation; reiterates the need for better cooperation between the administrative, judicial and law-enforcement authorities within the EU, and in particular the Member States' Financial Intelligence Units (FIUs); stresses the importance of continued functioning of the fiu.net; welcomes the Commission decision to open infringements procedures against Cyprus and Malta for their investor citizenship schemes; strongly calls on the Commission to present a legislative proposal to prohibit "golden" visas and passports in the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 123

Malik Azmani, Dragoş Tudorache, Moritz Körner, Michal Šimečka, Olivier Chastel, Maite Pagazaurtundúa, Ondřej Kovařík, Ramona Strugariu, Nathalie Loiseau, Fabienne Keller, Sophia in 't Veld

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

4a. Reiterates that in our open and democratic societies civil society organisations and religious institutions sometimes receive funding from third countries; underlines that our freedoms and values come with responsibilities; stresses that foreign financing of organisations and institutions in the EU should never result in direct or indirect undermining of our common democratic values; stresses the importance of transparency of foreign financing of institutions and organisations in the EU, with special attention to countries not sharing our democratic freedoms and values; calls on Member States to assess how to increase transparency of foreign financing to the EU in order to enhance cooperation and sharing of information on possibly unwanted interference;

Or. en

Amendment 124

Saskia Bricmont

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 b (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

4b. Reiterates its call on the EU institutions and the Member States to resolutely fight systemic corruption and to devise effective instruments for preventing, combating and sanctioning corruption and fighting fraud, as well as

regularly monitoring the use of public funds; calls therefore on the Commission to immediately resume its annual anti-corruption monitoring and reporting, with reference to all Member States and to the EU institutions; emphasises that an infiltration into the economy by organised crime groups could be facilitated by corruption and stresses that the organised crime groups must be prevented from abusing the EU recovery funds; insists on appropriate funding for the European Public Prosecutor's Office in order to be able to deal with this;

Or. en

Amendment 125

Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

*4a. Welcomes the Commission communication of 7 May 2020 on an Action Plan for a comprehensive Union policy on preventing money laundering and terrorist financing, which sets out further improvements in the EU's response to these crimes, in particular in the enforcement and implementation of the existing legislation; reiterates the need for better cooperation between the administrative, judicial and law-enforcement authorities within the EU, and in particular the Member States' Financial Intelligence Units (FIUs), including within the framework of the *fiu.net*;*

Or. en

Amendment 126

Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 b (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

4b. Reiterates its call on the EU institutions, the relevant EU agencies and bodies and the Member States to resolutely fight systemic corruption and to devise effective instruments for preventing, combating and sanctioning corruption and fighting fraud, as well as regularly monitoring the use of public funds; calls therefore on the Commission to immediately resume its annual anti-corruption monitoring and reporting, with reference to all Member States and to the EU institutions, agencies and bodies; emphasises that an infiltration into the economy by organised crime groups could be facilitated by corruption and fraud and stresses that the organised crime groups must be prevented from abusing the EU recovery funds;

Or. en

Amendment 127

Birgit Sippel

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

5. Stresses the urgent need to step up efforts to prevent, detect and report child sexual abuse online and offline and to take down CSAM online; takes note of the EU strategy for a more effective fight against child sexual abuse; calls on the Member States to fully implement Directive 2011/93/EU and takes note of the Commission's intention to present a new

5. Stresses the urgent need to step up efforts to prevent, detect and report child sexual abuse online and offline and to take down CSAM online; takes note of the EU strategy for a more effective fight against child sexual abuse; calls on the Member States to fully implement Directive 2011/93/EU; ***expects that the Commission will live up to its promise to present a new***

legislative proposal in addition to the recent proposal for ePrivacy Directive derogations;

comprehensive legislative proposal to effectively tackle child sexual abuse online before June 2021 which proposal will be in full compliance with fundamental rights and will be accompanied by a thorough impact assessment; notes that the recent proposal for ePrivacy Directive derogation is a temporary solution designed to be used as a short-term fix to allow continuation of the voluntary measures applied by the companies to detect, report and remove after the end of the transposition deadline of the European Electronic communication Code end of 2020; in this regard, regrets that the Commission was not able to take more coordinated approach by presenting the comprehensive legal framework on tackling the child sexual abuse online earlier and avoid using temporary legislation despite the fact that shortcomings in the applications of Article 25 of Directive 2011/93 in the area of removal and blocking have been identified by the EP back in 2017 in its resolution of 14 December 2017 on the implementation of Directive 2011/93/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 December 2011 on combating the sexual abuse and sexual exploitation of children and child pornography (2015/2129(INI));

Or. en

Amendment 128
Javier Zarzalejos, Paulo Rangel

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Draft motion for a resolution

5. Stresses the urgent need to step up efforts to prevent, detect and report child sexual abuse online and offline and to take

Amendment

5. Stresses the urgent need to step up efforts *at Union and national level to address the evolving phenomenon of child*

down CSAM online; takes note of the EU strategy for a more effective fight against child sexual abuse; ***calls on the Member States to fully implement Directive 2011/93/EU and takes note of the Commission's intention to present a new legislative proposal in addition to the recent proposal for ePrivacy Directive derogations;***

sexual abuse online and offline, including actions to prevent, detect and report child sexual abuse online and offline and to take down child sexual abuse material (CSAM) online ***and to improve the investigation and prosecution of related offenses;***

Or. en

Amendment 129

Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft motion for a resolution Paragraph 5

Draft motion for a resolution

5. Stresses the urgent need to step up efforts to prevent, detect and report child sexual abuse online and offline and to take down CSAM online; takes note of the EU strategy for a more effective fight against child sexual abuse; calls on the Member States to fully implement Directive 2011/93/EU and takes note of the Commission's intention to present a new legislative proposal in addition to the recent proposal for ePrivacy Directive derogations;

Amendment

5. Stresses the urgent need to step up efforts to prevent, detect and report child sexual abuse online and offline and to take down CSAM online; takes note of the EU strategy for a more effective fight against child sexual abuse; calls on the Member States to fully implement Directive 2011/93/EU and takes note of the Commission's intention to present a new legislative proposal in addition to the recent proposal for ePrivacy Directive derogations; ***stresses that these measures need to be complemented by a public awareness campaign designed in cooperation with all relevant stakeholders;***

Or. en

Amendment 130

Fabienne Keller, Nathalie Loiseau, Malik Azmani

Draft motion for a resolution Paragraph 5

Draft motion for a resolution

5. Stresses the urgent need to step up efforts to prevent, detect and report child sexual abuse online and offline and to take down CSAM online; takes note of the EU strategy for a more effective fight against child sexual abuse; calls on the Member States to fully implement Directive 2011/93/EU and takes note of the Commission's intention to present a new legislative proposal in addition to the recent proposal for ePrivacy Directive derogations;

Amendment

5. Stresses the urgent need **for a strong legal basis in order** to step up efforts to prevent, detect and report child sexual abuse online and offline and to take down CSAM online; takes note of the EU strategy for a more effective fight against child sexual abuse; calls on the Member States to fully implement Directive 2011/93/EU and takes note of the Commission's intention to present a new legislative proposal in addition to the recent proposal for ePrivacy Directive derogations;

Or. en

Amendment 131
Cornelia Ernst

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Draft motion for a resolution

5. Stresses the **urgent** need to step up efforts to prevent, detect and report child sexual abuse online and offline and to take down CSAM online; takes note of the EU strategy for a more effective fight against child sexual abuse; calls on the Member States to fully implement Directive 2011/93/EU and takes note of the Commission's intention to present a new legislative proposal in addition to the recent proposal for ePrivacy Directive derogations;

Amendment

5. Stresses the need to step up efforts to prevent, detect and report child sexual abuse online and offline and to take down CSAM online; takes note of the EU strategy for a more effective fight against child sexual abuse; calls on the Member States to fully implement Directive 2011/93/EU and takes note of the Commission's intention to present a new legislative proposal in addition to the recent proposal for ePrivacy Directive derogations;

Or. en

Amendment 132
Assita Kanko

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Draft motion for a resolution

5. Stresses the urgent need to step up efforts to prevent, detect and report child sexual abuse online and offline and to take down CSAM online; takes note of the EU strategy for a more effective fight against child sexual abuse; calls on the Member States to fully implement Directive 2011/93/EU and takes note of the Commission's intention to present a new legislative proposal in addition to the recent proposal for ePrivacy Directive derogations;

Amendment

5. Stresses the urgent need to step up efforts to prevent, detect and report child sexual abuse online and offline and to take down CSAM online; takes note of the EU strategy for a more effective fight against child sexual abuse; calls on the Member States to fully implement Directive 2011/93/EU and takes note of the Commission's intention to present a new legislative proposal in addition to the recent proposal for ePrivacy Directive derogations; ***calls on the Commission and Council to coordinate a public awareness campaign that works hand in hand with children's rights organisations and educates children, their parents, and teachers on dangers online; believes that this must be complemented with a third country component as a significant share of CSAM originates in non-EU countries;***

Or. en

Amendment 133
Saskia Bricmont

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Draft motion for a resolution

5. Stresses the urgent need to step up efforts to prevent, detect and report child sexual abuse online and offline and to take down CSAM online; takes note of the EU strategy for a more effective fight against child sexual abuse; calls on the Member States to fully implement Directive 2011/93/EU and takes note of the Commission's intention to present a new legislative proposal in addition to the recent proposal for ePrivacy Directive

Amendment

5. Stresses the urgent need to step up efforts to prevent, detect and report child sexual abuse online and offline and to take down CSAM online; takes note of the ***Commission communication of 24 July 2020 on an*** EU strategy for a more effective fight against child sexual abuse; calls on the Member States to fully implement Directive 2011/93/EU and ***calls on Member States to provide appropriate human and financial resources to fully***

derogations;

apply this Directive as a matter of urgency; takes note of the Commission's intention to present a new legislative proposal in addition to the recent proposal for ePrivacy Directive derogations; ***calls for better protection of children, including their personal data and privacy, on the internet and asks Member States to support existing networks and campaigns; points out that end-to-end encryption is a security measure that also protects children;***

Or. en

Amendment 134

Maria Grapini

Draft motion for a resolution Paragraph 5

Draft motion for a resolution

5. Stresses the urgent need to step up efforts to prevent, detect and report child sexual abuse online and offline and to take down CSAM online; takes note of the EU strategy for a more effective fight against child sexual abuse; calls on the Member States to fully implement Directive 2011/93/EU and takes note of the Commission's intention to present a new legislative proposal in addition to the recent proposal for ePrivacy Directive derogations;

Amendment

5. Stresses the urgent need to step up efforts to prevent, detect and report child sexual abuse online and offline and to take down CSAM online ***and to block those websites;*** takes note of the EU strategy for a more effective fight against child sexual abuse; calls on the Member States to fully implement Directive 2011/93/EU and takes note of the Commission's intention to present a new legislative proposal in addition to the recent proposal for ePrivacy Directive derogations;

Or. en

Amendment 135

Javier Zarzalejos, Paulo Rangel

Draft motion for a resolution Paragraph 5 a (new)

5a. Deplores that the criminal code in several Member States foresees very low penalties for the possession of CSAM which do not constitute an effective deterrent; calls on the Member States to reassess these penalties and to make the necessary legislative changes to swiftly bring their legal codes in line with the provisions of Directive 2011/93/EU¹⁷; urges the Commission to assess whether Directive 2011/93/EU needs to be strengthened by including provisions in relation to victims' protection and support and prevention;

¹⁷ Directive 2011/93/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 December 2011 on combating the sexual abuse and sexual exploitation of children and child pornography, and replacing Council Framework Decision 2004/68/JHA,

Or. en

Amendment 136
Javier Zarzalejos, Paulo Rangel

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 b (new)

5b. Welcomes the EU strategy for a more effective fight against child sexual abuse in this regard; calls on the Member States to fully implement Directive 2011/93 (EU) and takes note of the intention of the Commission to present a new legislative proposal requiring service providers to detect and report child sexual abuse online in full respect of fundamental rights to ensure that all

providers take actions in this regard;

Or. en

Amendment 137

Javier Zarzalejos, Paulo Rangel

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5 c (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

5c. Reminds the Commission of its call for the designation of an EU representative for children's rights who should serve as a point of reference for all EU matters and policy areas related to children; welcomes the Commission's plan to work towards the possible creation of a European centre to prevent and counter child sexual abuse;

Or. en

Amendment 138

Dragoş Tudorache, Malik Azmani, Ondřej Kovařík, Maite Pagazaurtundúa, Ramona Strugariu, Fabienne Keller, Sophia in 't Veld, Olivier Chastel

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

5 a. Stresses that education, including the development of critical and scientific thinking skills, digital skills, online safety skills, and basic cybersecurity hygiene skills, is critical to medium- and long-term prevention and key in reducing radicalization and disenfranchisement that leads to criminal activity;

Or. en

Amendment 139

Dragoş Tudorache, Malik Azmani, Ondřej Kovařík, Maite Pagazaurtundúa, Ramona Strugariu, Fabienne Keller, Sophia in 't Veld, Olivier Chastel

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5 b (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

5b. Stresses that gender equality is a crucial aspect of our internal security as a means to promote education, combat radicalization, reduce domestic violence, prevent sexual abuse and child abuse; calls on the Commission to include measures to support gender equality as an important prevention component of its security strategy;

Or. en

Amendment 140

Saskia Bricmont

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

5a. Reiterates the need for effective protection and assistance to vulnerable victims of trafficking in human beings, including their reintegration and possible compensation by using the seized and confiscated instrumentalities and the proceeds from the trafficking offences; points out the need for training of law enforcement personnel on psychological aspects of trafficking, and for a gender and child friendly approach that implements anti-discrimination;

Or. en

Amendment 141
Caterina Chinnici

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

5a. Welcomes the Commission's decision to include in the EU strategy for a more effective fight against child sexual abuse of 24 July 2020, the creation of a European centre to prevent and counter child sexual abuse, as requested in the European Parliament resolution of 26 November 2019 on children's rights, as pivot of a European coordinated and multi-stakeholder approach including law enforcement, prevention and assistance to victims of child sexual abuse;

Or. en

Amendment 142
Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

6. Notes that **migrant** smuggling is often intertwined with other forms of organised crime; expects the 2021-2025 EU Action Plan against Migrant Smuggling to propose actions to improve the capacity to prevent, identify, investigate and prosecute **migrant** smuggling networks;

6. Notes that **criminal** smuggling is often intertwined with other forms of organised crime; expects the 2021-2025 EU Action Plan against Migrant Smuggling to propose actions to improve the capacity to prevent, identify, investigate and prosecute **criminal** smuggling networks; **takes the view that among its key aspects, the Action Plan should address the use of social media platforms and online messaging platforms used by smugglers for advertisement of the services and recruitment of customers; particular attention should be paid to unaccompanied minors, who constitute a highly vulnerable group and face various**

risks, including violence, abuse, and exploitation, along migration routes towards and within the EU¹⁸;

¹⁸ *European Migrant Smuggling Center (Europol)- 4th ANNUAL ACTIVITY REPORT – 2020*

Or. en

Amendment 143
Cornelia Ernst

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Draft motion for a resolution

6. Notes that migrant smuggling is often intertwined with other forms of organised crime; expects the 2021-2025 EU Action Plan against Migrant Smuggling to propose actions to improve the capacity to prevent, identify, investigate and prosecute migrant smuggling networks;

Amendment

6. Notes that migrant smuggling is often intertwined with other forms of organised crime; expects the 2021-2025 EU Action Plan against migrant smuggling to propose actions to improve the capacity to prevent, identify, investigate and prosecute migrant smuggling networks; *reminds the European Commission and Member States of the urgent need to increase safe and legal pathways for people to seek protection and to migrate to the EU; calls on Member States not to criminalise humanitarian assistance to people in distress at sea in line with the Commission's 2020 guidance;*

Or. en

Amendment 144
Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Draft motion for a resolution

6. Notes that migrant smuggling is often intertwined with other forms of organised crime; expects the 2021-2025 EU Action Plan against Migrant Smuggling to propose actions to improve the capacity to prevent, identify, investigate and prosecute migrant smuggling networks;

Amendment

6. Notes that migrant smuggling is often intertwined with other forms of organised crime; expects the 2021-2025 EU Action Plan against Migrant Smuggling to propose actions to improve the capacity to prevent, identify, investigate and prosecute migrant smuggling networks; ***calls on the Member States to effectively implement the "Facilitators Package" (Council Directive 2002/90/EC and Council Framework Decision 2002/946) to combat the human smugglers and their accomplices, in order to protect children, girls, and other vulnerable groups from sexual exploitation and abuse; highlights the need to properly monitor and to put an end to the actions being carried out by some non-governmental organizations (NGOs), which may ultimately facilitate the smuggling process unknowingly;***

Or. en

Amendment 145

Birgit Sippel

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Draft motion for a resolution

6. Notes that ***migrant*** smuggling is often intertwined with other forms of organised crime; expects the 2021-2025 EU Action Plan against Migrant Smuggling to propose actions to improve the capacity to prevent, identify, investigate and prosecute ***migrant*** smuggling networks;

Amendment

6. Notes that ***criminal*** smuggling is often intertwined with other forms of organised crime; expects the 2021-2025 EU Action Plan against Migrant Smuggling to propose actions to improve the capacity to prevent, identify, investigate and prosecute ***criminal*** smuggling networks;

Or. en

Amendment 146

Maria Grapini

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Draft motion for a resolution

6. Notes that migrant smuggling is often intertwined with other forms of organised crime; expects the 2021-2025 EU Action Plan against Migrant Smuggling to propose actions to improve the capacity to prevent, identify, investigate and prosecute migrant smuggling networks;

Amendment

6. Notes that criminal smuggling is often intertwined with other forms of organised crime; expects the 2021-2025 EU Action Plan against Migrant Smuggling to propose actions to improve the capacity to prevent, identify, investigate and prosecute criminal smuggling networks ***and to improve border control as well;***

Or. en

Amendment 147

Javier Zarzalejos, Paulo Rangel

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

6a. Reiterates the need for effective protection and assistance to vulnerable victims of trafficking in human beings with particular attention to unaccompanied minors; insists on the need of including their reintegration in society and possible compensation by using the seized and confiscated instrumentalities and the proceeds from trafficking offences;

Or. en

Amendment 148

Javier Zarzalejos, Paulo Rangel

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 b (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

6b. Highlights the role of EU agencies and entities, notably Europol's Europol Migrant Smuggling Centre (EMSC), in supporting Member States' efforts to disrupt irregular migration facilitated by criminal organisations and to dismantle complex migrant smuggling networks, as well as the importance of the joint strategic intelligence reports prepared with Frontex and EASO in tackling the migrant smuggling activities;

Or. en

Amendment 149

Nicola Procaccini

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

6a. Stresses that a more structured surveillance system for departures and a naval blockade off the coast of Northern Africa, are the only ways to eradicate migrant smuggling and human trafficking; notes that such measures would also help to detect possible perpetrators of terroristic attacks before they try to reach the European territory;

Or. en

Amendment 150

Assita Kanko

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

6a. *Is of the opinion that a stricter detention regime must be applied at the EU's external borders for irregular migrants who are not eligible for an asylum procedure or have received a negative outcome and have to return; stresses that detention is also a way to prevent vulnerable irregular migrants from falling into the hands of traffickers or from suffering from labour exploitation; believes that for detention to be effective, detention conditions need to improve, more regular checks on the ground by the Commission are needed, as well as proper oversight on how the money that is given to Member States is being spent;*

Or. en

Amendment 151

Assita Kanko

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 b (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

6b. *Stresses that good cooperation with neighbouring and other third countries is crucial in the fight against migrant smuggling and trafficking; notes the huge differences in cooperation among third countries; believes that cooperation in this area should be linked to cooperation on trade, development and financial assistance;*

Or. en

Amendment 152

Assita Kanko

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 c (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

6c. Urges Council and Commission to increase the use of sanctions and restrictions on malign foreign actors that fund or promote not only terrorism, but also radical ideologies; calls for a thorough evaluation of the current sanctions regime and its impact; calls for a thorough investigation of third country funding of EU-based political wings of foreign political organisations and their funding of mosques and cultural centres within the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 153
Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

6a. Calls on the EU to explore the possibility of strengthening the role of civilian CSDP missions in the fight against illegal migration including assistance to host States in developing a policy to address the security challenges related to illegal immigration to and from their territories, including the fight against smuggling of migrants and trafficking in human beings;

Or. en

Amendment 154
Saskia Bricmont

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

6a. Is concerned about the lack of financial and human resources for social protection, police and justice services; points out that crime prevention requires investments into community work, not in surveillance or more repression;

Or. en

Amendment 155
Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

6a. Welcomes the adoption of the 2020-2025 EU action plan on firearms trafficking, including proper indicators and reporting provisions and encompassing the south-east Europe partners (Western Balkans, Moldova and Ukraine), while stepping up cooperation with the MENA region countries; welcomes the Commission's intention to introduce systematic and harmonised collection of data on seizures of firearms;

Or. en

Amendment 156
Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 b (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

6b. Calls for swift implementation of

the preparatory action proposed by the European Parliament on efficient monitoring of the darknet at EU level;

Or. en

Amendment 157
Saskia Bricmont

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 b (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

6b. Welcomes the adoption of the 2020-2025 EU action plan on firearms trafficking, including proper indicators and reporting provisions and encompassing the south-east Europe partners (Western Balkans, Moldova and Ukraine), while stepping up cooperation with the MENA region countries; welcomes the Commission's intention to introduce systematic and harmonised collection of data on seizures of firearms;

Or. en

Amendment 158
Nicolaus Fest

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

7. Welcomes the Commission proposal to confirm, through the adoption of a new EU Agenda on Drugs for the **next five** years, the Union and Member States' commitment to protecting citizens' health and security from drug-related threats; takes the view that the Union drugs policy should continue to pursue an integrated, balanced, multidisciplinary, and evidence-

7. Welcomes the Commission proposal to confirm, through the adoption of a new EU Agenda on Drugs for the **5** years **to come**, the Union and Member States' commitment to protecting citizens' health and security from drugs-related threats; takes the view that the Union drugs policy should continue to pursue an integrated, balanced, multidisciplinary,

and human rights-based approach; insists that Union action in the illicit drugs domain should devote comparable attention and resources to both the supply and the demand side of the phenomenon *and calls for an increased focus on prevention in the EU action plan;*

evidence- and human rights-based approach; insists that Union action in the illicit drugs domain should devote comparable attention and resources to both the supply and demand side of the phenomenon; *supports the participation of civil society and other relevant stakeholders in the ongoing discussions on the Commission Communication on the EU Agenda and Action Plan on Drugs 2021-2025;*

Or. en

Amendment 159

Caterina Chinnici, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Draft motion for a resolution Paragraph 7

Draft motion for a resolution

7. Welcomes the Commission proposal to confirm, through the adoption of a new EU Agenda on Drugs for the next five years, the Union and Member States' commitment to protecting citizens' health and security from drug-related threats; takes the view that the Union drugs policy should continue to pursue an integrated, balanced, multidisciplinary, and evidence- and human rights-based approach; insists that Union action in the illicit drugs domain should devote comparable attention and resources to both the supply and the demand side of the phenomenon and calls for an increased focus on prevention in the EU action plan;

Amendment

7. Welcomes the Commission proposal to confirm, through the adoption of a new EU Agenda on Drugs for the next five years, the Union and Member States' commitment to protecting citizens' health and security from drug-related threats; takes the view that the Union drugs policy should continue to pursue an integrated, balanced, multidisciplinary, and evidence- and human rights-based approach; insists that Union action in the illicit drugs domain should devote comparable attention and resources to both the supply and the demand side of the phenomenon and calls for an increased focus on prevention in the EU action plan, *also by means of awareness-raising campaigns dedicated especially to young people;*

Or. en

Amendment 160

Javier Zarzalejos, Paulo Rangel

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Draft motion for a resolution

7. Welcomes the Commission proposal to confirm, through the adoption of a new EU Agenda on Drugs for the ***next five years***, the Union and Member States' commitment to protecting citizens' health and security from drug-related threats; takes the view that the Union drugs policy should continue to pursue an integrated, balanced, multidisciplinary, and evidence- and human rights-based approach; insists that Union action in the illicit drugs domain should devote comparable attention and resources to both the supply and the demand side of the phenomenon and ***calls for an increased focus on prevention in the EU action plan***;

Amendment

7. Welcomes the Commission proposal to confirm, through the adoption of a new EU Agenda on Drugs for the five years ***to come***, the Union and Member States' commitment to protecting citizens' health and security from drug-related threats; takes the view that the Union drug policy should continue to pursue an integrated, balanced, multidisciplinary, evidence- and human rights-based approach ***and be closely coordinated with the Union's external action***; insists that Union action in the illicit drugs domain should devote comparable attention and resources to both the supply and demand side of the phenomenon; ***supports the participation of civil society and other relevant stakeholders in the ongoing discussions on the Commission Communication on the EU Agenda and Action Plan on Drugs 2021-2025; takes the view that the Union and national responses to drug-related challenges should be designed with the widest possible involvement of those concerned, including drug users; calls for the response to drug trafficking to be stepped up as drug trafficking poses a threat to internal security in the Union and leads to challenges for society and law enforcement; calls for the extension of the EMCDDA mandate to cover all addictions and be reflected with appropriate budgetary needs***;

Or. en

Amendment 161
Balázs Hidvéghi

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Draft motion for a resolution

7. Welcomes the Commission proposal to confirm, through the adoption of a new EU Agenda on Drugs for the next five years, the Union and Member States' commitment to protecting citizens' health and security from drug-related threats; takes the view that the Union drugs policy should continue to pursue an integrated, balanced, multidisciplinary, and evidence- and human rights-based approach; insists that Union action in the illicit drugs domain should devote comparable attention and resources to both the supply and the demand side of the phenomenon and calls for an increased focus on prevention in the EU action plan;

Amendment

7. Welcomes the Commission proposal to confirm, through the adoption of a new EU Agenda on Drugs for the next five years, the Union and Member States' commitment to protecting citizens' health and security from drug-related threats; takes the view that the Union drugs policy should continue to pursue an integrated, balanced, multidisciplinary, and evidence- and human rights-based approach; insists that Union action in the illicit drugs domain should devote comparable attention and resources to both the supply and the demand side of the phenomenon and calls for an increased focus on prevention in the EU action plan;
underlines the high importance of drug prevention and health promotion for children and young people;

Or. en

Amendment 162
Saskia Bricmont

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Draft motion for a resolution

7. Welcomes the Commission proposal to confirm, through the adoption of a new EU Agenda on Drugs for the next five years, the Union and Member States' commitment to protecting citizens' health and security from drug-related threats; takes the view that the Union drugs policy should continue to pursue an integrated, balanced, multidisciplinary, and evidence- and human rights-based approach; insists that Union action in the illicit drugs domain should devote comparable attention and resources to both the supply and the demand side of the phenomenon

Amendment

7. ***Notes*** the Commission proposal to confirm, through the adoption of a new EU Agenda on Drugs for the next five years, the Union and Member States' commitment to protecting citizens' health and security from drug-related threats; takes the view that the Union drugs policy should continue to pursue an integrated, balanced, multidisciplinary, and evidence- and human rights-based approach ***and should be designed with the involvement of those concerned, including drug users; supports the participation of civil society and other relevant stakeholders in the***

and calls for an increased focus on prevention in the EU action plan;

ongoing discussions on the Commission Communication on the EU Agenda and Action Plan on Drugs 2021-2025; insists that Union action in the illicit drugs domain should devote comparable attention and resources to both the supply and the demand side of the phenomenon and calls for an increased focus on *rehabilitation and* prevention in the EU action plan;

Or. en

Amendment 163

Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

7a. *Supports the participation of civil society and other relevant stakeholders in the ongoing discussions on the Commission Communication on the EU Agenda and Action Plan on Drugs 2021-2025; takes the view that the Union and national responses to drugs-related challenges should be designed with the widest possible involvement of those concerned, including drug users; calls for the extension of the EMCDDA mandate to cover all addictions;*

Or. en

Amendment 164

Assita Kanko

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7 a (new)

7a. Believes that EU agencies and Member States must continue to be able to exchange information, including personal data, among themselves and with international partners; this information needs to be accurate and timely for the system to work efficiently; a push towards full interoperability must remain a political goal in this area;

Or. en

Amendment 165

Saskia Bricmont

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Draft motion for a resolution

8. Takes note of the Commission's plan to revise the mandate of Europol to enable it to become a hub for law enforcement information exchange and cooperation in the fight against terrorism and serious and organised crime in the EU; stresses that the revision of the Europol mandate should fully align the agency's data protection regime with Regulation (EU) 2018/1725¹⁹;

Amendment

8. Takes note of the Commission's plan to revise the mandate of Europol to enable it to become a hub for law enforcement information exchange and cooperation in the fight against terrorism and serious and organised crime in the EU; stresses that the revision of the Europol mandate should fully align the agency's data protection regime with Regulation (EU) 2018/1725¹⁹; ***recalls that under Article 68 of the current Europol Regulation, by 1 May 2022 and every five years thereafter, the Commission shall ensure that an evaluation assessing, in particular, the impact, effectiveness and efficiency of Europol and of its working practices is carried out; is strongly concerned that a proposal to review the mandate of Europol will be presented before the first implementation and review cycle of five years is complete and without having carried out a fully-fledged evaluation of Europol's current mandate,***

¹⁹ OJ L 295, 21.11.2018, p. 39.

¹⁹ OJ L 295, 21.11.2018, p. 39.

Or. en

Amendment 166
Javier Zarzalejos, Paulo Rangel

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Draft motion for a resolution

8. ***Takes note of*** the Commission's plan to revise the mandate of Europol to ***enable*** it to become a hub for law enforcement information exchange and cooperation in the fight against terrorism and serious and organised crime in the EU; stresses that the revision of the Europol mandate should fully align the agency's data protection regime with Regulation (EU) 2018/1725¹⁹;

¹⁹ OJ L 295, 21.11.2018, p. 39.

Amendment

8. ***Supports*** the Commission plan to revise the mandate of Europol to ***allow*** it to become ***the Union's*** hub for law enforcement information exchange and cooperation in the field of fight against terrorism and serious and organised crime in the EU; stresses that the revision of the Europol mandate should ***provide Europol with additional competences, including the possibility to request Member States to launch cross-border investigations and to cooperate more effectively with service providers, especially in the area of cybercrime, and third countries; considers further that, in line with its function as an information hub, Europol should be granted access to relevant information systems and databases in the area of police cooperation; believes that the revision should also be used to*** fully align the data protection regime of the Agency with Regulation (EU) 2018/1725¹⁹;

¹⁹ OJ L 295, 21.11.2018, p. 39.

Or. en

Amendment 167
Fabienne Keller, Nathalie Loiseau, Malik Azmani, Dragoş Tudorache

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Draft motion for a resolution

8. ***Takes note of the*** Commission's plan to revise the mandate of Europol to enable it to become a hub for law enforcement information exchange and cooperation in the fight against terrorism and serious and organised crime in the EU; stresses that the revision of the Europol mandate should fully align the agency's data protection regime with Regulation (EU) 2018/1725¹⁹;

Amendment

8. ***Stresses that*** Commission's plan to revise the mandate of Europol ***is a key opportunity to upgrade the agency as a central European actor for security and*** to enable it to become a hub for law enforcement information exchange and cooperation in the fight against terrorism and serious and organised crime in the EU; stresses that the revision of the Europol mandate should ***allow the Agency for collecting, storing and analysing a wider range of data from national law enforcement authorities and private parties, while providing a new framework*** to fully align the agency's data protection regime with Regulation (EU) 2018/1725¹⁹ ***and provide stronger mechanisms to protect fundamental rights; considers that Europol should be granted a greater role of initiative in the field of cross-border investigations, while working in close cooperation and in support to Member States;***

¹⁹ OJ L 295, 21.11.2018, p. 39.

Or. en

Amendment 168
Assita Kanko

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Draft motion for a resolution

8. Takes note of the Commission's plan to revise the mandate of Europol to enable it to become a hub for law enforcement information exchange and

Amendment

8. Takes note of the Commission's plan to revise the mandate of Europol to enable it to become a hub for law enforcement information exchange and

cooperation in the fight against terrorism and serious and organised crime in the EU; stresses that the revision of the Europol mandate should fully align the agency's data protection regime with Regulation (EU) 2018/1725¹⁹;

¹⁹ OJ L 295, 21.11.2018, p. 39.

cooperation in the fight against terrorism and serious and organised crime in the EU; stresses that the revision of the Europol mandate should fully align the agency's data protection regime with Regulation (EU) 2018/1725¹⁹; ***Stresses furthermore that Europol should not take over national competences, but rather complete and coordinate at European level; underlines the fact that, for Europol to live up to its potential, it need adequate resourcing;***

¹⁹ OJ L 295, 21.11.2018, p. 39.

Or. en

Amendment 169

Dragoş Tudorache, Ondřej Kovařík, Maite Pagazaurtundúa, Ramona Strugariu, Fabienne Keller, Sophia in 't Veld

Draft motion for a resolution Paragraph 8

Draft motion for a resolution

8. ***Takes note of*** the Commission's plan to revise the mandate of Europol to enable it to become a hub for law enforcement information exchange and cooperation in the fight against terrorism and serious and organised crime in the EU; stresses that the revision of the Europol mandate should fully align the agency's data protection regime with Regulation (EU) 2018/1725¹⁹;

Amendment

8. ***Welcomes*** the Commission's plan to revise the mandate of Europol to enable it to become a hub for law enforcement information exchange and cooperation in the fight against terrorism and serious and organised crime in the EU; ***strongly calls for the reinforcement and upgrade of EUROPOL as a central European actor for security and police and law enforcement cooperation and for additional human, technical and financial resources for Europol to be better equipped to respond quickly to ever-changing threats; calls for a proper right of initiative of Europol to start, conduct and coordinate cross-border investigations and execute law enforcement activities in and with the Member States and to proactively engage in and handle cases on its own; Stresses***

that such an upgrade should go along with enhanced political accountability as well as enhanced judicial control and parliamentary scrutiny, with a strong focus on accountability, transparency and respect for fundamental rights; stresses that the revision of the Europol mandate should fully align the agency's data protection regime with Regulation (EU) 2018/1725¹⁹;

¹⁹ OJ L 295, 21.11.2018, p. 39.

¹⁹ OJ L 295, 21.11.2018, p. 39.

Or. en

Amendment 170

Cornelia Ernst

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

8a. Is concerned of the initiative of the Council to increase the ability of law enforcement, with the support of service providers, to access encrypted data and communications; stresses in this regard the crucial importance of encryption in all areas of public and private life for the protection of fundamental rights, critical infrastructure, civil society, and industries; Warns that actions to protect our security should not end up undermining our IT-security;

Or. en

Amendment 171

Javier Zarzalejos, Paulo Rangel

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

8a. *Points to the fact that, while criminals swiftly adapt to new developments and exploit emerging technologies for illicit purposes, law-enforcement authorities often lack the tools and expertise to keep pace; calls, therefore, on Member States and CEPOL to provide high-quality training in relevant areas to law-enforcement authorities; urges that a regulatory solution needs to be found to enable lawful and targeted law-enforcement access to data in compliance with fundamental rights; stresses that this should include technical and operational solutions which allow law-enforcement to access encrypted content where this is necessary, proportionate and legal without weakening or prohibiting encryption or compromising cybersecurity and data protection; highlights that these solutions have to be subject to legal safeguards and transparency to prevent misuse;*

Or. en

Amendment 172
Saskia Bricmont

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

8a. *Is concerned about the lack of transparency and oversight for the cooperation of Europol with third countries; calls for better parliamentary oversight and enhanced scrutiny by the European Data Protection Supervisor;*

Or. en

Amendment 173
Saskia Bricmont

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 b (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

8b. Is concerned about increasing cooperation of law enforcement agencies with the private sectors; recalls that any exchange of data needs to have a legal basis in Union and Member State law; reminds that private entities should not be the ones deciding about restrictions of fundamental rights; calls for better oversight over any private-public cooperation in the security field;

Or. en

Amendment 174
Saskia Bricmont

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 c (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

8c. Is concerned about the increasing use of artificial intelligence by law enforcement agencies, in particular the risks of discrimination, unfairness and injustice from the use of predictive and profiling systems by law enforcement and other authorities in Europe;

Or. en

Amendment 175
Sophia in 't Veld, Moritz Körner, Michal Šimečka, Dragoș Tudorache, Malik Azmani, Hilde Vautmans, Olivier Chastel

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Draft motion for a resolution

9. Takes note of the possible modernisation of the legislative framework of the Prüm decisions; demands that this is properly evaluated, and that any such proposal would be accompanied by a thorough impact assessment, including fundamental rights implications; stresses that any new solution has to respect the principles of necessity and proportionality, the EU acquis on data protection and fundamental rights;

Amendment

9. Takes note of the possible modernisation of the legislative framework of the Prüm decisions; ***recalls the importance of publicly available and accurate data in order to prove its real effectiveness; calls on the Commission to gather these data from all participating Member States in order to*** properly evaluate the ***current Prüm framework and to allow for meaningful democratic scrutiny***; demands that any such **new** proposal ***contains the obligation for Member States to provide these data to the Commission, which shall be used for regular publicly available review reports,*** and would be accompanied by a thorough impact assessment, including fundamental rights implications; stresses that any new solution has to respect the principles of necessity and proportionality, the EU acquis on data protection and fundamental rights;

Or. en

Amendment 176
Javier Zarzalejos

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Draft motion for a resolution

9. Takes note of the possible modernisation of the legislative framework of the Prüm decisions; demands ***that this is properly evaluated and that*** any such proposal is accompanied by a thorough impact assessment, taking into account fundamental rights implications; stresses that any new solution must respect the principles of necessity and proportionality,

Amendment

9. ***Underlines that the Prüm framework has significantly accelerated information exchanges between law-enforcement authorities and thereby contributed to making the fight against serious cross border crime and terrorism more effective and efficient; acknowledges the shortcomings and potential improvements which identified***

the EU acquis on data protection and fundamental rights;

by various experts and inter alia attributed to insufficient data quality; takes note of the possible modernisation of the legislative framework of the Prüm decisions *with a view to allow for the exchange of additional categories of data that are already stored in national criminal or other databases for criminal investigations;* demands that any proposal would have to be accompanied by a thorough impact assessment, including fundamental rights implications; stresses that any new solution has to respect the principles of necessity and proportionality, the EU acquis on data protection and *provide for robust safeguards to protect* fundamental rights;

Or. en

Amendment 177
Cornelia Ernst

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Draft motion for a resolution

9. Takes note of the possible modernisation of the legislative framework of the Prüm decisions; demands that this is properly evaluated and that any such proposal is accompanied by a thorough impact assessment, taking into account fundamental rights implications; stresses that any new solution must respect the principles of necessity and proportionality, the EU acquis on data protection and fundamental rights;

Amendment

9. Takes note of the possible modernisation of the legislative framework of the Prüm decisions; demands that this is properly evaluated and that any such proposal is accompanied by a thorough impact assessment, taking into account fundamental rights implications; ***Believes that such a modernisation should not entail the automatic exchange of additional categories of biometric data;*** stresses that any new solution must respect the principles of necessity and proportionality, the EU acquis on data protection and fundamental rights;

Or. en

Amendment 178

Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

9a. Notes the intention of the Commission to come up with a new version of the API Directive to be compliant with the Treaty of Lisbon provisions and the data protection acquis; expects this revision to be accompanied by a thorough impact assessment, including fundamental rights implications;

Or. en

Amendment 179

Javier Zarzalejos, Paulo Rangel

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

9a. Highlights that Advanced Passenger Information Directive²⁰ ('API Directive') has contributed to more effective border controls and the identification of persons posing security threats; notes the intention of the Commission to come up with a new version of the API Directive to be compliant with the Treaty of Lisbon provisions and the data protection acquis; expects this revision to be accompanied by a thorough impact assessment, including fundamental rights implications;

²⁰ Directive 2004/82/EC of 29 April 2004 on the obligation of carriers to communicate passenger data

Or. en

Amendment 180
Saskia Bricmont

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

10. Recalls that several EU legislative initiatives have been finalised in recent years in order to improve the efficiency of border management and police cooperation, including a new architecture of EU information systems and their interoperability, and that attention should now be focused on their implementation, with full respect for fundamental rights;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 181
Javier Zarzalejos, Paulo Rangel

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

10. Recalls that several EU legislative initiatives have been finalised in recent years in order to improve the efficiency of border management and police cooperation, including a new architecture of EU information systems and their interoperability, and that attention should now be focused on their implementation, with full respect for fundamental rights;

10. Stresses that important EU legislative initiatives have been finalised in recent years in order to improve the efficiency of border management and police cooperation, including a new architecture of EU information systems and their interoperability, and that attention should now be focussed on their implementation in order to preserve internal security in the absence of internal border checks within the Schengen area, to prevent irregular migration and facilitate bona fide travel into the Union;

Or. en

Amendment 182

Fabienne Keller, Nathalie Loiseau, Malik Azmani, Dragoş Tudorache

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Draft motion for a resolution

10. Recalls that several EU legislative initiatives have been finalised in recent years in order to improve the efficiency of border management and police cooperation, including a new architecture of EU information systems and their interoperability, and that attention should now be focused on their implementation, with full respect for fundamental rights;

Amendment

10. Recalls that several EU legislative initiatives have been finalised in recent years in order to improve the efficiency of border management and police cooperation, including a new architecture of EU information systems and their interoperability, and that attention should now be focused on their **timely** implementation, with full respect for fundamental rights;

Or. en

Amendment 183

Javier Zarzalejos, Paulo Rangel

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Draft motion for a resolution

11. Acknowledges the work of Eurojust in supporting and coordinating the work of national judicial authorities in investigating and prosecuting transnational crime; calls for **increased** efforts to promote mutual trust among judicial authorities and facilitate the exchange of information and communication within the judicial sector in the European Union;

Amendment

11. Acknowledges the work of Eurojust in supporting and coordinating the work of national judicial authorities in investigating and prosecuting transnational crime; calls for **further** efforts to promote mutual trust between judicial authorities and **to** facilitate **and accelerate** the exchange of information and communication within the judicial sector in the European Union; **stresses that judicial cooperation in criminal matters is lagging behind in digitalisation; calls on the Commission and the Member States to provide judicial authorities with financial support to secure the highest analytical standards and state of the art digital tools to**

proccess increasingly large and complex amounts of information and to facilitate and accelerate their cooperation and allow for secure exchange and pooling of information;

Or. en

Amendment 184

Saskia Bricmont

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Draft motion for a resolution

11. Acknowledges the work of Eurojust in supporting and coordinating the work of national judicial authorities in investigating and prosecuting transnational crime; calls for increased efforts to promote mutual trust among judicial authorities and facilitate the exchange of information and communication within the judicial sector in the European Union;

Amendment

11. Acknowledges the work of Eurojust in supporting and coordinating the work of national judicial authorities in investigating and prosecuting transnational crime; calls for increased efforts to promote mutual trust among judicial authorities, ***including through the effective implementation of the Procedural Roadmap Directives***, and ***to*** facilitate the exchange of information and communication within the judicial sector in the European Union; ***points out that on-going procedures on the rule of law situation in several Member States make such exchange, and police and judicial cooperation in general, more difficult;***

Or. en

Amendment 185

Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Draft motion for a resolution

11. Acknowledges the work of Eurojust in supporting and coordinating the work of

Amendment

11. Acknowledges the work of Eurojust in supporting and coordinating the work of

national judicial authorities in investigating and prosecuting transnational crime; calls for increased efforts to promote mutual trust among judicial authorities and facilitate the exchange of information and communication within the judicial sector in the European Union;

national judicial authorities in investigating and prosecuting transnational crime; calls for increased efforts to promote mutual trust among judicial authorities and facilitate the exchange of information and communication within the judicial sector in the European Union; ***stresses the need to improve the Council Framework Decision on the European Arrest Warrant in order to prevent criminals to do “forum shopping” within the Union;***

Or. en

Amendment 186

Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft motion for a resolution Paragraph 11

Draft motion for a resolution

11. Acknowledges the work of Eurojust in supporting and coordinating the work of national judicial authorities in investigating and prosecuting transnational crime; calls for increased efforts to promote mutual trust among judicial authorities and facilitate the exchange of information and communication within the judicial sector in the European Union;

Amendment

11. Acknowledges the work of Eurojust in supporting and coordinating the work of national judicial authorities in investigating and prosecuting transnational crime; calls for increased efforts to promote mutual trust among judicial authorities and facilitate the exchange of information and communication within the judicial sector in the European Union; ***stresses that, while resources have been invested to digitalise law enforcement, judicial cooperation in criminal matters is lagging behind and would benefit from investment so as to facilitate cooperation and secure exchange and pooling of information;***

Or. en

Amendment 187

Cornelia Ernst

Draft motion for a resolution Paragraph 11

Draft motion for a resolution

11. Acknowledges the work of Eurojust in supporting and coordinating the work of national judicial authorities in investigating and prosecuting transnational crime; calls for increased efforts to promote mutual trust among judicial authorities and facilitate the exchange of information and communication within the judicial sector in the European Union;

Amendment

11. Acknowledges the work of Eurojust in supporting and coordinating the work of national judicial authorities in investigating and prosecuting transnational crime; calls for increased efforts to promote mutual trust among judicial authorities and facilitate the exchange of information and communication within the judicial sector in the European Union; ***In this regard, stresses that mutual trust relies on common understanding of EU values enshrined in Art. 2 TEU, including the rule of law, of which independence of judiciaries and fight against corruption are essential components;***

Or. en

Amendment 188

Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

11a. Reiterates its call for further action to strengthen the training of law enforcement on strategies to fight against racism and discrimination, and to prevent, identify and ban racial and ethnic profiling; calls on the Member States to invest in this field and cooperate with Cepol and the European Judicial Training Network;

Or. en

Amendment 189

Javier Zarzalejos, Paulo Rangel

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

11a. Takes note of the CJEU ruling in the joint cases La Quadrature du Net and Others; welcomes the confirmation by the Court of the possibility of a generalised and indiscriminate retention of IP addresses and subscriber data, since these are indispensable for the investigation of cybercrime, such as child sexual abuse; highlights that any data retention should be subject to the safeguards defined by the Court, namely purpose limitation, a limited retention period and strict access conditions;

Or. en

Amendment 190

Javier Zarzalejos, Paulo Rangel

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11 b (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

11b. Reiterates its call for further action to strengthen the training of law enforcement on strategies to fight against racism and discrimination; invites the Member States to invest in this field and cooperate with Cepol and the European Judicial Training Network;

Or. en

Amendment 191

Javier Zarzalejos, Paulo Rangel

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11 c (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

11c. Request to strengthen Eurojust to link judicial and law enforcement authorities; calls on the Commission to ensure adequate funding for Eurojust and European Judicial Network (EJN) for facilitating and coordinating the judicial cooperation instruments in criminal matters; demands to promote the consistency and clarity of EU legislation;

Or. en

Amendment 192

Javier Zarzalejos, Paulo Rangel

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11 d (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

11d. Points out the importance to strength judicial cooperation between Member States; stress the need to enhance mutual recognition of decisions and judgements;

Or. en

Amendment 193

Javier Zarzalejos, Paulo Rangel

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11 e (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

11e. Calls on the Commission to continue assessing the transposition of the judicial cooperation instruments in criminal matters; calls on Member States to implement the judicial cooperation legal instruments on criminal matters

timely and correctly;

Or. en

Amendment 194

Dragoş Tudorache, Ondřej Kovařík, Maite Pagazaurtundúa, Fabienne Keller, Sophia in 't Veld

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

11a. Stresses that there is a direct and strong link between corruption and vulnerability to security threats such as drug trafficking, trafficking and exploitation of human beings, terrorism, and foreign interference; is concerned about the Commission's significant omission of the role of the European Public Prosecutor's Office (EPPO) in enhancing our Security Union; urges the Commission to strengthen the role and increase funding for the EPPO, expanding its mandate to include prosecuting terrorist offences and transnational organized crime networks;

Or. en

Amendment 195

Cornelia Ernst

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

11a. Calls for an end to racial and ethnic profiling in all its forms in law enforcement, crime prevention and counter-terrorism measures, and for the official prohibition of practices of

unlawful discrimination and violence;

Or. en

Amendment 196
Assita Kanko

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

11a. Welcomes the Commission communication of 7 May 2020 on an Action Plan for a comprehensive Union policy on preventing money laundering and terrorist financing, which sets the scene for further improvements, in particular in the enforcement and implementation of the existing legislation; reiterates the need for better cooperation between the administrative, judicial and law-enforcement authorities within the EU, and in particular the Member States' Financial Intelligence Units (FIUs); stresses the importance of continued functioning of the *fiu.net*; believes that the EU should lead the way on much needed FAPT reforms; believes that the money laundering directive must be thoroughly evaluated and, if necessary, reviewed;

Or. en

Amendment 197
Assita Kanko

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11 b (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

11b. Welcomes the adoption of the 2020-2025 EU action plan on firearms

trafficking, including improved indicators and reporting provisions and encompassing for the first time the south-east European partners (Western Balkans, Moldova and Ukraine), while stepping up cooperation with the MENA region countries; welcomes the Commission's intention to introduce systematic and harmonised collection of data on seizures of firearms; calls for swift implementation of the preparatory action proposed by the European Parliament on permanent monitoring of the darknet and for other actions preventing the trafficking of firearms in the darknet;

Or. en

Amendment 198
Saskia Bricmont

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

11a. Welcomes the establishment of the EPPO; calls on the Commission and Member States to ensure sufficient human and financial resources for its functioning and to ensure its independence and its effective functioning in national judicial procedures;

Or. en

Amendment 199
Assita Kanko

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11 c (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

11c. Reiterates its call for further action to strengthen the training of law enforcement on strategies to fight against racism and discrimination; invites the Member States to invest in this field and cooperate with Cefpol and the European Judicial Training Network; underlines that there is a continued need for training regarding trends in radicalisation, terrorism and money laundering;

Or. en

Amendment 200

Fabienne Keller, Nathalie Loiseau, Malik Azmani, Olivier Chastel, Dragoş Tudorache

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

11a. Is deeply concerned by the lack of resources allocated to EU agencies acting in the field of security to comply fully with their mandate; calls for more adequate funding to EU agencies in the next 2021-2027 EU budget;

Or. en

Amendment 201

Nicolaus Fest

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

11a. Reiterates its call for further action to strengthen the training of law enforcement;

Amendment 202

Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Draft motion for a resolution

12. Calls on the Member States to ensure the full and correct implementation of the Victims' Rights Directive and other EU rules on victims' rights; welcomes the adoption of the Victim's Rights Strategy and the creation of the post of Commission Coordinator for Victims' Rights;

Amendment

12. Calls on the Member States to ensure the full and correct implementation of the Victims' Rights Directive and other EU rules on victims' rights; welcomes the adoption of the Victim's Rights Strategy and the creation of the post of Commission Coordinator for Victims' Rights; ***reiterates its call for special attention to be paid to specifically vulnerable victims and for possible compensation by using the seized and confiscated instrumentalities and the proceeds of crimes;***

Amendment 203

Javier Zarzalejos

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Draft motion for a resolution

12. Calls on the Member States to ensure the full and correct implementation of the Victims' Rights Directive and other EU rules on victims' rights; welcomes the adoption of the Victim's Rights Strategy and the creation of the post of Commission Coordinator for Victims' Rights;

Amendment

12. Calls on the Member States to ensure full and correct implementation of the Victims' Rights Directive and other EU rules on victims' rights; welcomes the adoption of the Victim's Rights Strategy and the creation of the post of Commission's Coordinator for Victims' Rights; ***reiterates its call for special attention to be paid to specifically vulnerable victims and victims of terrorism;***

Amendment 204
Saskia Bricmont

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Draft motion for a resolution

12. Calls on the Member States to ensure the full and correct implementation of the Victims' Rights Directive and other EU rules on victims' rights; welcomes the adoption of the Victim's Rights Strategy and the creation of the post of Commission Coordinator for Victims' Rights;

Amendment

12. Calls on the Member States to ensure the full and correct implementation of the Victims' Rights Directive and other EU rules on victims' rights; welcomes the adoption of the Victim's Rights Strategy and the creation of the post of Commission Coordinator for Victims' Rights; ***reiterates its call to ensure sustainable funding for victim support services and the protection and support of all victims of crime, with a special attention to vulnerable victims, including victims of State abuse; expresses strong concern regarding crimes being underreported and victims suffering secondary victimisation due to the conduct of police officers; calls on the Commission to focus its guidelines on the conduct of police officers when victims are reporting, in line with the Commission communication of 18 September 2020 on "A Union of equality: EU anti-racism action plan 2020-2025";***

Amendment 205
Nicolaus Fest

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Draft motion for a resolution

12. Calls on the Member States to ensure the full and correct implementation

Amendment

12. Calls on the Member States to ensure full and correct implementation of

of the Victims' Rights Directive and other EU rules on victims' rights; welcomes the adoption of the Victim's Rights Strategy and the creation of *the post of* Commission Coordinator for Victims' Rights;

the Victims' Rights Directive and other EU rules on victims' rights; welcomes the adoption of the Victim's Rights Strategy and the creation of Commission's Coordinator for Victims' Rights;

Or. en

Amendment 206

Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

12a. Reiterates the need for effective protection and assistance to vulnerable victims of trafficking in human beings, including their reintegration in society; points out the need for training of law enforcement personnel on psychological aspects of trafficking, and for a gender and child friendly approach that implements anti-discrimination;

Or. en

Amendment 207

Assita Kanko

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

12a. Underlines that European citizens and businesses must be able to use new technologies such as 5G mobile networks with a reasonable degree of security concerning their personal or company data; acknowledges that there is a discussion in the Member States regarding the choice of network provider; stresses that also in the case of EU

systems and databases, the weakness of one Member State becomes the weakness of all;

Or. en

Amendment 208
Javier Zarzalejos, Paulo Rangel

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

*12a. Welcomes the Commission communication of 7 May 2020 on an Action Plan for a comprehensive Union policy on preventing money laundering and terrorist financing, which sets out further improvements in the EU's response to these crimes, in particular in the enforcement and implementation of the existing legislation; reiterates the need for better cooperation between the administrative, judicial and law-enforcement authorities within the EU, and in particular the Member States' Financial Intelligence Units (FIUs), including within the framework of the *fiu.net*; believes that the visibility of existing cooperation models in the security area such as EMPACT should be increased;*

Or. en

Amendment 209
Javier Zarzalejos, Paulo Rangel

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 b (new)

12b. Reiterates its call on the EU institutions, the relevant EU agencies and bodies and the Member States to resolutely fight systemic corruption and to devise effective instruments for preventing, combating and sanctioning corruption and fighting fraud, as well as regularly monitoring the use of public funds; calls therefore on the Commission to immediately resume its annual anti-corruption monitoring and reporting, with reference to all Member States and to the EU institutions and bodies; emphasises that an increased infiltration into the economy by organised crime groups can be expected as a result of the Covid-19 crisis and could be facilitated by corruption and fraud; stresses therefore that EU funding under the new MFF and the Recovery Plan must be effectively prevented from corruption and fraud by organised crime groups;

Or. en

**Amendment 210
Saskia Bricmont**

**Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 a (new)**

12a. Reiterates its call for further action to strengthen the training of law enforcement on strategies to fight against racism and discrimination, and to prevent, identify and ban racial and ethnic profiling and violence; calls on the Member States to invest in this field and cooperate with Cefpol and the European Judicial Training Network;

Or. en

Amendment 211
Saskia Bricmont

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 b (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

12b. Calls on the Commission to tackle the chronic overcrowding of European prisons by proposing minimum standards on detention conditions to prevent further criminalisation of detainees and radicalisation in prisons and on pre-trial detention, in order to limit recourse to imprisonment to a measure of last resort in compliance with fundamental rights to liberty and the presumption of innocence;

Or. en

Amendment 212
Sophia in 't Veld, Moritz Körner, Nathalie Loiseau, Michal Šimečka, Dragoș Tudorache, Malik Azmani, Ramona Strugariu, Fabienne Keller

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

12a. Regrets the systematic lack of full and timely implementation of EU security measures by the Member States; considers that security measures must be not only implemented by the letter of the law, but also by its spirit; notes that if security measures are systematically not being implemented fully and on time, they risk to be void and may not result in more security and as a consequence not fulfil the requirements of necessity and proportionality anymore; calls on the Commission to start infringement procedures immediately after the deadline of transposition or after a breach has

been identified;

Or. en

Amendment 213

Sophia in 't Veld, Moritz Körner, Michal Šimečka, Malik Azmani, Hilde Vautmans

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12 b (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

12b. Stresses the importance of evidence of the effectiveness of existing EU security measures; points out that the extent to which the restriction of fundamental rights can be considered necessary and proportionate, depends on the effectiveness of these policies, proven by publicly available quantitative and qualitative evidence; regrets that the Commission has so far made available only anecdotal evidence of security measures, but no quantitative evidence;

Or. en

Amendment 214

Sophia in 't Veld, Moritz Körner, Michal Šimečka, Malik Azmani, Ramona Strugariu, Olivier Chastel

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12 c (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

12c. Urges the Commission to put forward a legislative proposal to replace the Directive for data protection in the context of law enforcement by a Regulation, in order to better defend citizens' fundamental rights in cross-border law enforcement cooperation and to reflect the adoption of a range of security measures, creating new powers

for law enforcement and security agencies;

Or. en

Amendment 215

Sophia in 't Veld, Moritz Körner, Nathalie Loiseau, Michal Šimečka, Dragoș Tudorache, Malik Azmani, Ramona Strugariu, Hilde Vautmans, Fabienne Keller

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12 d (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

12d. Recalls that Member States with residence and citizenship by investment schemes facilitate corruption and money-laundering, thereby importing security risks into the Union; welcomes the infringement procedures against Malta and Cyprus; reiterates its call on the Commission to fully use its right of legislative initiative and put forward a legislative proposal to ban or regulate these schemes;

Or. en

Amendment 216

Sophia in 't Veld, Michal Šimečka, Malik Azmani, Ramona Strugariu, Hilde Vautmans, Olivier Chastel, Moritz Körner

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12 e (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

12e. Calls on the Commission to regularly evaluate existing security policies and agreements, and bring them, where necessary, in line with CJEU case law; Takes the view that the PNR agreements with US and Australia must be urgently modified to be compliant with the CJEU case law, and considers the

*Commission refusal to act accordingly
constitutes a grave omission;*

Or. en

Amendment 217

Sophia in 't Veld, Moritz Körner, Nathalie Loiseau, Michal Šimečka, Dragoș Tudorache, Malik Azmani, Ramona Strugariu, Hilde Vautmans, Fabienne Keller, Olivier Chastel

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12 f (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

12f. Stresses that EU police and justice cooperation is as strong as its weakest link; considers that rule of law deficiencies in certain Member States and unlawful or unreliable practices have devastating implications for instruments such as the European Arrest Warrant, e-Evidence, ECRIS, and interoperability of JHA information systems;

Or. en

Amendment 218

Sophia in 't Veld, Moritz Körner, Nathalie Loiseau, Michal Šimečka, Dragoș Tudorache, Malik Azmani, Ramona Strugariu, Hilde Vautmans, Fabienne Keller, Olivier Chastel

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12 g (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

12g. Stresses that sufficient capacity for processing information by law enforcement is a vital part of the entire chain of security efforts in the Union as a whole; points out that insufficient capacity in one or more Member States seriously weakens the effectiveness of EU security policies; calls on the Commission to do everything in its competences to ensure adequate capacity for processing

information in the Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 219

Sophia in 't Veld, Moritz Körner, Michal Šimečka, Malik Azmani, Ramona Strugariu, Olivier Chastel

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12 h (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

12h. Regrets the lack of transparency of EU funding for private companies setting up (parts of) security systems; calls on the Commission to proactively publish lobby contacts, representations and consultations with companies bidding in transparent and open tender procedures; furthermore calls on the Commission to be fully transparent as to which companies are providing which products or services, possible risk assessments done prior to the deployment of the product or service, the amount of EU funding, the time period, desired outcomes, audit moments, and non-EU jurisdictions they made be subject to;

Or. en

Amendment 220

Sophia in 't Veld, Moritz Körner

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12 i (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

12i. Stresses that encryption contributes to citizens' privacy and to the security of IT systems, and that it is indispensable for a.o. investigative journalists and whistleblowers to report

wrongdoing; points out that backdoors may severely compromise the strength and efficiency of encryption, which may be abused by criminals and non-EU external state actors seeking to destabilise our society;

Or. en

Amendment 221
Saskia Bricmont

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 c (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

12c. Calls on the Commission to add gender based violence on the list of EU serious crime under article 83(1); calls on the Commission to ratify the Istanbul Convention; calls on the Commission and Member States to prioritize fight against domestic violence by providing necessary human and financial resources for support services, specialised law enforcement units and prosecution; calls on Member States to provide updated data;

Or. en

Amendment 222
Saskia Bricmont

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 d (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

12d. Calls for a moratorium on new Commission proposals for mass surveillance or bulk data collection on the entire population, be it on communications, Internet use, travel, biometrics or other citizens data; commits

to abolishing the practice of routine, automated and untargeted data collection, storage and matching; and to ensuring the effectiveness of law enforcement by limiting data collection and monitoring to people who are suspected of committing or preparing a crime, with required judicial approval and oversight;

Or. en

Amendment 223
Saskia Bricmont

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 e (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

12e. Calls on the Fundamental Rights Agency to systematically examine all current and future surveillance powers and programmes as to their effectiveness, cost, adverse side effects, alternatives and compatibility with fundamental rights;

Or. en

Amendment 224
Saskia Bricmont

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 f (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

12f. Stresses the importance of funding research but notes with concern the research and development of ethically and legally questionable technologies like INDECT, CleanIT and iBorderCtrl; underlines that the EU shall not fund technologies that interfere with fundamental rights;

Or. en

Amendment 225

Maria Grapini

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

12a. Calls on the European Commission to inform the Member States and monitor the application of the requirements of European security regulations;

Or. en

Amendment 226

Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12 b (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

12b. Calls on the Commission to ensure proper funding and staffing for EU Agencies and bodies in the area of Justice and Home Affairs in order for the EU to deliver on the Strategy;

Or. en