



2019/2135(INI)

12.11.2019

AMENDMENTS

1 - 326

Draft report
Arnaud Danjean
(PE641.445v02-00)

Implementation of the common security and defence policy - annual report
2018
(2019/2135(INI))

Amendment 1
Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Citation 1

Motion for a resolution

— having regard to the Treaty on European Union (TEU),

Amendment

— having regard to the Treaty on European Union (TEU) ***and the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,***

Or. de

Amendment 2
Geoffrey Van Orden, Anna Fotyga, Mazaly Aguilar, Charlie Weimers

Motion for a resolution
Citation 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- ***having regard to the enormous impact on potential EU defence capabilities of the departure of the UK, one of the most effective European military powers from the EU,***

Or. en

Amendment 3
Loucas Furlas, Stelios Kypouropoulos

Motion for a resolution
Citation 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- ***having regard to the Charter of the United Nations and the Helsinki Final Act of 1975 of the Organisation of Security and Cooperation in Europe,***

Or. en

Amendment 4
Loucas Furlas, Stelios Kypouropoulos

Motion for a resolution
Citation 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to its recommendations of 15 November 2017 to the Council, the Commission and the EEAS on the Eastern Partnership, in the run-up to the November 2017 Summit,*

Or. en

Amendment 5
Geoffrey Van Orden, Anna Fotyga, Mazaly Aguilar, Witold Jan Waszczykowski, Charlie Weimers

Motion for a resolution
Citation 21 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to Russia's illegal invasion and annexation of Crimea,*

Or. en

Amendment 6
Geoffrey Van Orden, Anna Fotyga, Mazaly Aguilar, Witold Jan Waszczykowski, Charlie Weimers

Motion for a resolution
Citation 21 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the Intermediate Range Nuclear Force (INF) Treaty, Russia's repeated violations including the development and deployment of 9M729 ground-launched cruise missile systems and the US and Russian withdrawal from*

the Treaty,

Or. en

Amendment 7

**Geoffrey Van Orden, Anna Fotyga, Mazaly Aguilar, Witold Jan Waszczykowski,
Charlie Weimers**

Motion for a resolution

Citation 21 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to Russia's violation of airspace and maritime borders of member states,*

Or. en

Amendment 8

Geoffrey Van Orden, Anna Fotyga, Mazaly Aguilar, Charlie Weimers

Motion for a resolution

Citation 21 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to China's increase in economic and military presence in the Mediterranean and African countries,*

Or. en

Amendment 9

Geoffrey Van Orden, Anna Fotyga, Mazaly Aguilar, Charlie Weimers

Motion for a resolution

Citation 21 e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the threat of domestic and foreign terrorism, primarily from groups such as ISIS and Al Qaeda,*

Amendment 10

Geoffrey Van Orden, Anna Fotyga, Mazaly Aguilar, Charlie Weimers

Motion for a resolution

Citation 21 f (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to new technologies such as artificial intelligence, space capabilities and quantum computing which present new opportunities for mankind, but also create new challenges in defence and foreign policy that require a clear strategy and consensus among allies,*

Or. en

Amendment 11

Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution

Citation 25 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the judgment of the Court of Justice of 24 June 2014 in Case C-658/11, European Parliament, supported by the Commission, against the Council of the European Union;*

Or. de

Amendment 12

Mick Wallace, Idoia Villanueva Ruiz, Luke Ming Flanagan, Manu Pineda

Motion for a resolution

Citation 25 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to Article 2(4) of the UN Charter,*

Or. en

Amendment 13

Nathalie Loiseau, Javier Nart, Bernard Guetta, Petras Auštrevičius, Christophe Grudler, Ilhan Kyuchyuk, Urmas Paet, Hilde Vautmans, Martin Horwood, Klemen Grošelj, Malik Azmani

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Notes the lasting deterioration in the Union's strategic environment in the face of multiple challenges directly or indirectly affecting the security of its Member States and citizens: armed conflicts immediately to the east and south of the European continent, jihadist terrorism, cyber attacks, uncontrolled migration, increasing threats to natural resources, climate change, etc.;

Amendment

1. Notes the lasting deterioration in the Union's strategic environment in the face of multiple challenges directly or indirectly affecting the security of its Member States and citizens: armed conflicts ***and fragile states*** immediately to the east and south of the European continent, ***terrorism and particularly jihadist terrorism***, cyber attacks, ***foreign interference in European political and electoral processes, tensions over EU Member States' energy supply, the rise of organised crime (drug, arms and human trafficking) at the borders and with Europe as its target, weakening of disarmament efforts and international arms control regimes***, uncontrolled migration, increasing threats to natural resources, climate change, etc.;

Or. fr

Amendment 14

Hannah Neumann

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1. Notes the lasting deterioration in the Union's strategic environment in the face of multiple challenges directly or indirectly affecting the security of its Member States and citizens: armed conflicts immediately to the east and south of the European continent, jihadist terrorism, cyber attacks, uncontrolled **migration**, increasing threats to natural resources, climate change, etc.;

1. Notes the lasting deterioration in the Union's strategic environment in the face of multiple challenges directly or indirectly affecting the security of its Member States and citizens: armed conflicts immediately to the east and south of the European continent, ***the collapse of nuclear non-proliferation and disarmament, massive and regular violations of human rights law and international humanitarian law including war crimes, crimes against humanity***, jihadist terrorism, ***the rise of authoritarianism***, cyber attacks, ***the uncontrolled spread of lethal and non-lethal security and military technology***, increasing threats to natural resources, climate change, etc.;

Or. en

Amendment 15

Jérôme Rivière, Thierry Mariani, Nicolas Bay

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Notes the lasting deterioration in the Union's strategic environment in the face of multiple challenges directly or indirectly affecting the security of its Member States and citizens: armed conflicts immediately to the east and south of the European continent, jihadist terrorism, cyber attacks, uncontrolled migration, increasing threats to natural resources, climate change, etc.;

Amendment

1. Notes the lasting deterioration in the Union's strategic environment in the face of multiple challenges directly or indirectly affecting the security of its Member States and citizens: armed conflicts immediately to the east and south of the European continent, jihadist terrorism, cyber attacks, uncontrolled migration, increasing threats to natural resources, climate change, ***presence of foreign influence networks defending interests contrary to those of the Member States***, etc.;

Or. fr

Amendment 16

Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Ilhan Kyuchyuk, Petras Auštrevičius, Javier Nart, Hilde Vautmans, Malik Azmani, Michal Šimečka, Frédérique Ries

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Notes the lasting deterioration in the Union's strategic environment in the face of multiple challenges directly or indirectly affecting the security of its Member States and citizens: armed conflicts immediately to the east and south of the European continent, jihadist terrorism, cyber attacks, uncontrolled migration, increasing threats to natural resources, climate change, etc.;

Amendment

1. Notes the lasting deterioration in the Union's strategic environment in the face of multiple challenges directly or indirectly affecting the security of its Member States and citizens: armed conflicts immediately to the east and south of the European continent, jihadist terrorism, cyber attacks, uncontrolled migration ***and in particular migration facilitated by transnational organized crime networks***, increasing threats to natural resources, climate change, etc.;

Or. en

Amendment 17

Rasa Juknevičienė, Andrius Kubilius, Sandra Kalnietė

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Notes the lasting deterioration in the Union's ***strategic*** environment in the face of multiple challenges directly or indirectly affecting the security of its Member States and citizens: armed conflicts ***immediately to the east and south of*** the European continent, jihadist terrorism, cyber attacks, uncontrolled migration, increasing threats to natural resources, climate change, etc.;

Amendment

1. Notes the lasting deterioration in the Union's ***security*** environment in the face of multiple challenges directly or indirectly affecting the security of its Member States and citizens: armed conflicts ***in*** the European continent ***and in its vicinity***, jihadist terrorism, cyber attacks, ***hybrid threats, foreign interference into domestic elections, disinformation***, uncontrolled migration, increasing threats to natural resources, climate change, etc.;

Or. en

Amendment 18

Arba Kokalari

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Notes the lasting deterioration in the Union's strategic environment in the face of multiple challenges directly or indirectly affecting the security of its Member States and citizens: armed conflicts immediately to the east and south of the European continent, jihadist terrorism, cyber attacks, uncontrolled migration, increasing threats to natural resources, climate change, etc.;

Amendment

1. Notes the lasting deterioration in the Union's strategic environment in the face of multiple challenges directly or indirectly affecting the security of its Member States and citizens: armed conflicts immediately to the east and south of the European continent, ***hybrid warfare against European countries***, jihadist terrorism, cyber attacks, uncontrolled migration, increasing threats to natural resources, climate change, etc.;

Or. en

Amendment 19

Mick Wallace, Idoia Villanueva Ruiz, Luke Ming Flanagan, Manu Pineda

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Notes the lasting deterioration in the Union's strategic environment in the face of multiple challenges directly or indirectly affecting the security of its Member States and citizens: armed conflicts immediately to the east and south of the European continent, ***jihadist terrorism***, cyber attacks, ***uncontrolled migration, increasing threats to natural resources***, climate change, etc.;

Amendment

1. Notes the lasting deterioration in the Union's strategic environment in the face of multiple challenges directly or indirectly affecting the security of its Member States and citizens: armed conflicts immediately to the east and south of the European continent, cyber attacks, ***terrorism***, climate change, etc.;

Or. en

Amendment 20

Kinga Gál

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Notes the lasting deterioration in the Union's strategic environment in the face of multiple challenges directly or indirectly affecting the security of its Member States and citizens: armed conflicts immediately to the east and south of the European continent, jihadist terrorism, cyber attacks, uncontrolled migration, increasing threats to natural resources, climate change, etc.;

Amendment

1. Notes the lasting deterioration in the Union's strategic environment in the face of multiple challenges directly or indirectly affecting the security of its Member States and citizens: armed conflicts immediately to the east and south of the European continent, jihadist terrorism, ***return of foreign fighters***, cyber attacks, uncontrolled migration, increasing threats to natural resources, climate change, etc.;

Or. en

Amendment 21

Urmas Paet, Petras Auštrevičius, Hilde Vautmans, Klemen Grošelj, Malik Azmani, Michal Šimečka

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Notes the ***lasting*** deterioration in the Union's strategic environment in the face of multiple challenges directly or indirectly affecting the security of its Member States and citizens: armed conflicts immediately to the east and south of the European continent, jihadist terrorism, cyber attacks, uncontrolled migration, increasing threats to natural resources, climate change, etc.;

Amendment

1. Notes the deterioration in the Union's strategic environment in the face of multiple challenges directly or indirectly affecting the security of its Member States and citizens: armed conflicts immediately to the east and south of the European continent, jihadist terrorism, cyber attacks, ***hybrid threats***, uncontrolled migration, increasing threats to natural resources, ***energy insecurity***, climate change, etc.;

Or. en

Amendment 22

Witold Jan Waszczykowski

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Notes the lasting deterioration in the Union's strategic environment in the face of multiple challenges directly or indirectly affecting the security of its Member States and citizens: armed conflicts immediately to the east and south of the European continent, jihadist terrorism, cyber attacks, uncontrolled migration, increasing threats to natural resources, climate change, etc.;

Amendment

1. Notes the lasting deterioration in the Union's strategic environment in the face of multiple challenges directly or indirectly affecting the security of its Member States and citizens: armed conflicts immediately to the east and south of the European continent, jihadist terrorism, cyber attacks, ***foreign interference***, uncontrolled migration, increasing threats to natural resources, climate change, etc.;

Or. en

Amendment 23
Kris Peeters

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Notes the lasting deterioration in the Union's strategic environment in the face of multiple challenges directly or indirectly affecting the security of its Member States and citizens: armed conflicts immediately to the east and south of the European continent, jihadist terrorism, cyber attacks, uncontrolled migration, increasing threats to natural resources, climate change, etc.;

Amendment

1. Notes the lasting deterioration in the Union's strategic environment in the face of multiple challenges directly or indirectly affecting the security of its Member States and citizens: armed conflicts immediately to the east and south of the European continent, ***hybrid warfare***, jihadist terrorism, cyber attacks, uncontrolled migration, increasing threats to natural resources, climate change, etc.;

Or. en

Amendment 24
Joachim Schuster

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1. Notes the lasting deterioration in the Union's strategic environment in the face of multiple challenges directly or indirectly affecting the security of its Member States and citizens: armed conflicts immediately to the east and south of the European continent, *jihadist* terrorism, cyber attacks, *uncontrolled migration*, increasing threats to natural resources, climate change, etc.;

1. Notes the lasting deterioration in the Union's strategic environment in the face of multiple challenges directly or indirectly affecting the security of its Member States and citizens: armed conflicts immediately to the east and south of the European continent, terrorism, cyber attacks, increasing threats to natural resources, climate change, etc.;

Or. en

Amendment 25

Attila Ara-Kovács, Juozas Olekas, Giuliano Pisapia, Claudiu Manda, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Nikos Androulakis

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Notes the lasting deterioration in the Union's strategic environment in the face of multiple challenges directly or indirectly affecting the security of its Member States and citizens: armed conflicts immediately to the east and south of the European continent, *jihadist* terrorism, cyber attacks, *uncontrolled migration*, increasing threats to natural resources, climate change, etc.;

Amendment

1. Notes the lasting deterioration in the Union's strategic environment in the face of multiple challenges directly or indirectly affecting the security of its Member States and citizens: armed conflicts immediately to the east and south of the European continent, terrorism, cyber attacks, *disinformation campaigns*, increasing threats to natural resources, climate change, etc.;

Or. en

Amendment 26

Raphaël Glucksmann

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Notes the lasting deterioration in the Union's strategic environment in the face of multiple challenges directly or

Amendment

1. Notes the lasting deterioration in the Union's strategic environment in the face of multiple challenges directly or

indirectly affecting the security of its Member States and citizens: armed conflicts immediately to the east and south of the European continent, jihadist terrorism, cyber attacks, **uncontrolled migration**, increasing threats to natural resources, climate change, etc.;

indirectly affecting the security of its Member States and citizens: armed conflicts immediately to the east and south of the European continent, jihadist terrorism, cyber attacks, increasing threats to natural resources, climate change, etc.;

Or. en

Amendment 27

Bernhard Zimniok, Lars Patrick Berg

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Notes the lasting deterioration in the Union's strategic environment in the face of multiple challenges directly or indirectly affecting the security of its Member States and citizens: armed conflicts immediately to the east and south of the European continent, jihadist terrorism, cyber attacks, uncontrolled migration, increasing threats to natural resources, **climate change, etc.**;

Amendment

1. Notes the lasting deterioration in the Union's strategic environment in the face of multiple challenges directly or indirectly affecting the security of its Member States and citizens: armed conflicts immediately to the east and south of the European continent, jihadist terrorism, cyber attacks, uncontrolled migration, increasing threats to natural resources;

Or. en

Amendment 28

Hannah Neumann

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Considers that instability and unpredictability on the Union's borders and in its immediate neighbourhood (north Africa, the Middle East, Ukraine, the Caucasus, the Balkans, etc.) pose a direct threat to the security of the continent;

Amendment

2. Considers that instability and unpredictability on the Union's borders and in its immediate neighbourhood (north Africa, the Middle East, Ukraine, the Caucasus, the Balkans, etc.) pose a direct threat to the security of the continent;

stresses the inextricable link between internal and external security;

stresses the inextricable link between internal and external security; ***believes that the Union's priority should be to spread the adherence to universal values, principles and rules beyond its borders in order to foster stability, security and sustainable socio-economic development; reiterates its urgent call for making swift progress as regards the membership prospect for Western Balkan countries also for security considerations;***

Or. en

Amendment 29

Loucas Fourlas, Stelios Kypouropoulos

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Considers that instability and unpredictability on the Union's borders and in its immediate neighbourhood (north Africa, the Middle East, Ukraine, the Caucasus, the Balkans, etc.) pose a direct threat to the security of the continent; stresses the inextricable link between internal and external security;

Amendment

2. Considers that instability and unpredictability on the Union's borders and in its immediate neighbourhood (north Africa, the Middle East, Ukraine, the Caucasus, the Balkans, etc.) pose a direct threat to the security of the continent; stresses the inextricable link between internal and external security; ***stresses the importance of resolution of conflicts in the framework or in support of existing agreed negotiating formats based on the norms and principles of international law, the UN Charter and OSCE 1975 Helsinki Final Act;***

Or. en

Amendment 30

Jérôme Rivière, Thierry Mariani, Nicolas Bay

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. **Considers** that instability and unpredictability on the Union's borders and in its immediate neighbourhood (north Africa, the Middle East, Ukraine, the Caucasus, the Balkans, etc.) pose a direct threat to the security of the continent; stresses the inextricable link between internal and external security;

Amendment

2. **Criticises the fact** that instability and unpredictability on the Union's borders and in its immediate neighbourhood (north Africa, the Middle East, Ukraine, the Caucasus, the Balkans, etc.) pose a direct threat to the security of the continent; stresses the inextricable link between internal and external security; **is concerned about some Member States' support for forces causing instability in the immediate neighbourhood (for example, the destruction of Libya, the destabilisation of Egypt and the severance of diplomatic relations with Syria);**

Or. fr

Amendment 31

Mick Wallace, Idoia Villanueva Ruiz, Luke Ming Flanagan, Manu Pineda

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Considers that instability and unpredictability on the Union's borders and in its immediate neighbourhood (north Africa, the Middle East, Ukraine, the Caucasus, the Balkans, etc.) pose a direct threat to the security of the continent; stresses the inextricable link between internal and external security;

Amendment

2. Considers that instability and unpredictability on the Union's borders and in its immediate neighbourhood (north Africa, the Middle East, Ukraine, the Caucasus, the Balkans, etc.) pose a direct threat to the security of the continent; stresses the inextricable link between internal and external security; **stresses the role played by a number of EU states in undermining the external security situation through EU foreign and trade policy;**

Or. en

Amendment 32

Arba Kokalari

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Considers that instability and unpredictability on the Union's borders and in its immediate neighbourhood (north Africa, the Middle East, Ukraine, the Caucasus, the Balkans, etc.) pose a direct threat to the security of the continent; stresses the inextricable link between internal and external security;

Amendment

2. Considers that instability and unpredictability on the Union's borders and in its immediate neighbourhood (north Africa, the Middle East, Ukraine, the Caucasus, the Balkans, etc.) pose a direct threat to the security of the continent; ***acknowledges that active engagement in the neighbourhood is in the interest of the European Union;*** stresses the inextricable link between internal and external security;

Or. en

Amendment 33

Martin Horwood, Phil Bennion, Klemen Grošelj

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Considers that instability and unpredictability on the Union's borders ***and*** in its immediate neighbourhood (north Africa, the Middle East, Ukraine, the Caucasus, the Balkans, etc.) pose a direct threat to the security of the continent; stresses the inextricable link between internal and external security;

Amendment

2. Considers that instability and unpredictability on the Union's borders, ***both*** in its immediate neighbourhood (north Africa, the Middle East, Ukraine, the Caucasus, the Balkans, etc.), ***as well as in its extended neighbourhood (Sahel, Horn of Africa, etc)***, pose a direct threat to the security of the continent; stresses the inextricable link between internal and external security;

Or. en

Amendment 34

Rasa Juknevičienė, Andrius Kubilius, Sandra Kalnietė

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2. Considers that instability and unpredictability on the Union's borders and in its immediate neighbourhood (north Africa, the Middle East, **Ukraine**, the Caucasus, the Balkans, etc.) pose a direct threat to the security of the continent; stresses the inextricable link between internal and external security;

2. Considers that instability and unpredictability on the Union's borders and in its immediate neighbourhood (north Africa, the Middle East, the Caucasus, the Balkans, **Russian aggression against Ukraine and Georgia**, etc.) pose a direct threat to the security of the continent; stresses the inextricable link between internal and external security;

Or. en

Amendment 35

Nikos Androulakis, Costas Mavrides

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Considers that instability and unpredictability on the Union's borders and in its immediate neighbourhood (north Africa, the Middle East, Ukraine, the Caucasus, the Balkans, etc.) pose a direct threat to the security of the continent; stresses the inextricable link between internal and external security;

Amendment

2. Considers that instability and unpredictability on the Union's borders and in its immediate neighbourhood (north Africa, the Middle East, Ukraine, the Caucasus, the Balkans, **Eastern Mediterranean** etc.) pose a direct threat to the security of the continent; stresses the inextricable link between internal and external security;

Or. en

Amendment 36

Željana Zovko

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Considers that instability and unpredictability on the Union's borders and in its immediate neighbourhood (north Africa, the Middle East, Ukraine, the Caucasus, the Balkans, etc.) pose a direct threat to the security of the continent;

Amendment

2. Considers that instability and unpredictability on the Union's borders and in its immediate neighbourhood (north Africa, the Middle East, Ukraine, the Caucasus, the Balkans, etc.) pose **both** a direct **and indirect** threat to the security of

stresses the inextricable link between internal and external security;

the continent; stresses the inextricable link between internal and external security;

Or. en

Amendment 37

Bernhard Zimniok, Lars Patrick Berg

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Considers that instability and unpredictability on the Union's borders and in its immediate neighbourhood (north Africa, the Middle East, Ukraine, the Caucasus, the Balkans, etc.) pose a direct threat to the security *of the continent*; stresses the inextricable link between internal and external security;

Amendment

2. Considers that instability and unpredictability on the Union's borders and in its immediate neighbourhood (north Africa, the Middle East, Ukraine, the Caucasus, the Balkans, etc.) pose a direct *and acute* threat to the security *and future of Europe*; stresses the inextricable link between internal and external security;

Or. en

Amendment 38

Nathalie Loiseau, Javier Nart, Bernard Guetta, Petras Auštrevičius, Christophe Grudler, Ilhan Kyuchyuk, Urmas Paet, Hilde Vautmans, Martin Horwood, Klemen Grošelj, Malik Azmani

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Considers that instability and unpredictability on the Union's borders and in its immediate neighbourhood (north Africa, the Middle East, Ukraine, the Caucasus, the Balkans, etc.) pose a direct threat to the security of the continent; stresses the inextricable link between internal and external security;

Amendment

(Does not affect the English version.)

Or. fr

Amendment 39

Geoffrey Van Orden, Anna Fotyga, Mazaly Aguilar, Witold Jan Waszczykowski

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3. *Notes that global actors (the US, China, Russia) and an increasing number of regional actors (Turkey, Iran, Saudi Arabia, etc.) are seeking to assert power through a combination of unilateral diplomatic posturing and increasing military military build-ups;* *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 40

Kris Peeters

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3. Notes that global actors (the US, China, Russia) and an increasing number of regional actors (Turkey, Iran, Saudi Arabia, etc.) are seeking to assert power through a combination of unilateral diplomatic posturing *and* increasing military *military* build-ups;

3. Notes that global actors (the US, China, Russia) and an increasing number of regional actors (Turkey, Iran, Saudi Arabia, *Pakistan, India*, etc.) are seeking to assert power through a combination of unilateral diplomatic posturing, increasing military build-ups *and withdrawing from or disrespecting non-proliferation treaties*;

Or. en

Amendment 41

Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Ilhan Kyuchyuk, Petras Auštrevičius, Javier Nart, Hilde Vautmans, Malik Azmani, Michal Šimečka, Frédérique Ries

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Notes that global actors (the US, China, Russia) and an increasing number of regional actors (Turkey, Iran, Saudi Arabia, etc.) are seeking to assert power through a combination of unilateral diplomatic posturing and increasing military build-ups;

Amendment

3. Notes that global actors (the US, China, Russia) and an increasing number of regional actors (Turkey, Iran, Saudi Arabia, etc.) are seeking to assert power through a combination of unilateral diplomatic posturing, ***destabilizing activities of a primarily hybrid nature*** and increasing military build-ups;

Or. en

Amendment 42

Hannah Neumann

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Notes that global actors (the US, China, Russia) and an increasing number of regional actors (Turkey, Iran, Saudi Arabia, etc.) are seeking to assert power through a combination of unilateral diplomatic posturing and increasing military ***military*** build-ups;

Amendment

3. Notes that global actors (the US, China, Russia) and an increasing number of regional actors (Turkey, Iran, ***Egypt***, Saudi Arabia, etc.) are seeking to assert power through a combination of unilateral diplomatic posturing and increasing military build-ups ***and the use of force in violation of international law***;

Or. en

Amendment 43

Raphaël Glucksmann

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Notes that global actors (the US, China, Russia) and an increasing number of regional actors (Turkey, Iran, Saudi Arabia, etc.) are seeking to assert power through a combination of unilateral

Amendment

3. Notes that global actors (the US, China, Russia) and an increasing number of regional actors (Turkey, Iran, Saudi Arabia, etc.) are seeking to assert power through a combination of unilateral

diplomatic posturing and increasing military **military** build-ups;

diplomatic posturing, **alliances shifts** and increasing military build-ups;

Or. en

Amendment 44

Mick Wallace, Luke Ming Flanagan, Manu Pineda

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Notes that global actors (the US, China, Russia) and an increasing number of regional actors (Turkey, Iran, Saudi Arabia, etc.) are seeking to assert power through a combination of unilateral diplomatic posturing and increasing military **military** build-ups;

Amendment

3. Notes that global actors (the US, China, Russia) and an increasing number of regional actors (Turkey, **Israel**, Iran, Saudi Arabia, etc.) are seeking to assert power through a combination of unilateral diplomatic posturing and increasing military build-ups;

Or. en

Amendment 45

Urmaz Paet, Klemen Grošelj, Petras Auštrevičius, Malik Azmani, Nathalie Loiseau, Christophe Grudler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Notes that global actors (the US, China, Russia) and an increasing number of regional actors (Turkey, Iran, Saudi Arabia, etc.) are seeking to assert power through a combination of unilateral diplomatic posturing and increasing military **military** build-ups;

Amendment

3. Notes that **some** global actors (the US, China, Russia) and an increasing number of regional actors (Turkey, Iran, Saudi Arabia, etc.) are seeking to assert power through a combination of unilateral diplomatic posturing and increasing military build-ups;

Or. en

Amendment 46

Rasa Juknevičienė, Andrius Kubilius, Sandra Kalniete

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Notes that global actors (*the US*, China, Russia) and an increasing number of regional actors (Turkey, Iran, Saudi Arabia, etc.) are seeking to assert power through a combination of unilateral diplomatic posturing and increasing military *military* build-ups;

Amendment

3. Notes that global actors (China, Russia) and an increasing number of regional actors (Turkey, Iran, Saudi Arabia, etc.) are seeking to assert power through a combination of unilateral diplomatic posturing and increasing military build-ups;

Or. en

Amendment 47

Urmas Paet, Malik Azmani, Javier Nart, Nathalie Loiseau, Christophe Grudler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3 a. Underlines the growing geopolitical importance of the Arctic and its effect on the security situation in EU and globally; urges the EU to work towards a more coherent EU internal and external policy, an Arctic strategy and a concrete action plan on the EUs engagement in the Arctic taking into account also the security and geostrategic aspect; notes the EU's capacity to contribute to the resolution of potential security and geostrategic challenges;

Or. en

Amendment 48

Costas Mavrides, Nikos Androulakis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

3 a. *Expresses serious concerns over Turkey's overall destabilizing behavior, including its illegal activities within Cyprus' EEZ/continental shelf, which violates International Law and good neighbourly relations and threatens peace and stability in an already fragile region;*

Or. en

Amendment 49

Geoffrey Van Orden, Anna Fotyga, Mazaly Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4. *Deplores the fact that, in this context, these actors are deliberately circumventing or attempting to destroy the multilateral mechanisms essential to maintaining peace;*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 50

Jérôme Rivière, Nicolas Bay, Thierry Mariani

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4. Deplores the fact that, in this context, these actors are deliberately circumventing or attempting to destroy the multilateral mechanisms essential to maintaining peace;

4. Deplores the fact that, in this context, *some of* these actors are deliberately circumventing or attempting to destroy the multilateral mechanisms essential to maintaining peace; *criticises the fact that the Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action (JCPOA) on nuclear capacity in Iran has been abandoned, affecting the international credibility of multinational solutions to conflicts;*

Amendment 51

Mick Wallace, Idoia Villanueva Ruiz, Luke Ming Flanagan, Manu Pineda

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Deplores the fact that, in this context, these actors are deliberately circumventing or attempting to destroy the multilateral mechanisms essential to maintaining peace;

Amendment

4. Deplores the fact that, in this context, these actors are deliberately circumventing or attempting to destroy the multilateral mechanisms essential to maintaining peace; ***also deplores the fact that EU countries are facilitating the increasing military build up through continued arms sales to some of the most aggressive actors in the region;***

Or. en

Amendment 52

Željana Zovko

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Deplores the fact that, in this context, these actors are deliberately circumventing or attempting to destroy the multilateral mechanisms essential to maintaining peace;

Amendment

4. Deplores the fact that, in this context, these actors are deliberately circumventing or attempting to destroy the multilateral mechanisms essential to maintaining peace ***and to jeopardize the established bilateral relations of the EU with partner countries;***

Or. en

Amendment 53

Hannah Neumann

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Deplores the fact that, in this context, these actors are deliberately circumventing or attempting to destroy the multilateral mechanisms essential to maintaining peace;

Amendment

4. Deplores the fact that, in this context, these actors are deliberately circumventing or attempting to destroy the multilateral mechanisms, ***the principles of the UN Charter and relevant international law***, essential to maintaining peace;

Or. en

Amendment 54
Claudiu Manda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Deplores the fact that, in this context, these actors are deliberately circumventing or attempting to destroy the multilateral mechanisms essential to maintaining peace;

Amendment

4. Deplores the fact that, in this context, ***some of*** these actors are deliberately circumventing or attempting to destroy the multilateral mechanisms essential to maintaining peace ***and they might become a direct threat to our security***;

Or. en

Amendment 55
Attila Ara-Kovács

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Deplores the fact that, in this context, these actors are deliberately circumventing or attempting to destroy the multilateral mechanisms essential to maintaining peace;

Amendment

4. Deplores the fact that, in this context, ***some of*** these actors are deliberately circumventing or attempting to destroy the multilateral mechanisms essential to maintaining peace ***and they might become a direct threat to our***

security;

Or. en

Amendment 56

Urmas Paet, Petras Auštrevičius, Klemen Grošelj, Malik Azmani, Nathalie Loiseau, Michal Šimečka, Christophe Grudler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Deplores the fact that, in this context, these actors are deliberately circumventing or attempting to destroy the multilateral mechanisms essential to maintaining peace;

Amendment

4. Deplores the fact that, in this context, ***some of*** these actors are deliberately circumventing or attempting to destroy the multilateral mechanisms essential to maintaining peace;

Or. en

Amendment 57

Bernhard Zimniok, Lars Patrick Berg

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Deplores the fact that, in this context, these actors are deliberately circumventing or attempting to destroy the multilateral mechanisms ***essential to*** maintaining peace;

Amendment

4. Deplores the fact that, in this context, these actors are deliberately circumventing or attempting to destroy the multilateral mechanisms ***that may aid in*** maintaining peace;

Or. en

Amendment 58

Michael Gahler, Andrius Kubilius, Isabel Wiseler-Lima, Neena Gill, Raphaël Glucksmann, Hilde Vautmans, Javier Nart, Klemen Grošelj, Petras Auštrevičius, Phil Bennion, Engin Eroglu, Anna Fotyga, Witold Jan Waszczykowski, Charlie Weimers, Bert-Jan Ruissen, Zdzisław Krasnodębski, Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Martin Horwood

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 a. *Stresses that strengthening substantial relations with East and Southeast Asia is essential to the EU's rules-based, comprehensive and sustainable Connectivity Strategy; takes note of the military build-up in the region and calls for all parties involved to respect the freedom of navigation, to solve differences through peaceful means and to refrain from taking unilateral actions to change the status quo, including in the East and South China Seas and the Taiwan Strait; expresses concern that foreign interferences from autocratic regimes through disinformation and cyber-attacks on the upcoming general elections threaten Asian democracies and regional stability; reiterates its support for Taiwan's meaningful participation in international organisations, mechanisms and activities;*

Or. en

Amendment 59

Urmas Paet, Petras Auštrevičius, Malik Azmani, Nathalie Loiseau, Michal Šimečka, Christophe Grudler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 a. *Is concerned about the activities and policies by Russia that continue to destabilise and change the security environment; stresses that Russia's occupation in Ukraine is still ongoing, the Minsk agreements have not been implemented and the illegal annexation and militarisation of Crimea and Donbass are continuing; is concerned about the continuing frozen conflicts kept by Russia in Europe (in Moldova, Georgia); stresses*

the need to have a common voice as regards EUs policy in that context;

Or. en

Amendment 60

Attila Ara-Kovács, Juozas Olekas, Claudiu Manda, Giuliano Pisapia, Nikos Androulakis, Tonino Picula

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 a. Continues to condemn Russia's military intervention and illegal annexation of Crimean peninsula; ensures support for Ukraine's independence, sovereignty and territorial integrity;

Or. en

Amendment 61

Costas Mavrides, Nikos Androulakis

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 a. Recalls the importance of ensuring the coherence of EU policy as regards situations involving the occupation or annexation of territory;

Or. en

Amendment 62

Attila Ara-Kovács, Claudiu Manda, Giuliano Pisapia, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Nikos Androulakis, Tonino Picula, Juozas Olekas

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 b. *Notes that targeted restrictive measures can be effective tools, but stresses that they should not affect innocent people and should be in line with the principles of UN Charter and of the CFSP;*

Or. en

Amendment 63

Attila Ara-Kovács, Giuliano Pisapia, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Nikos Androulakis, Tonino Picula

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 c (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 c. *Reiterates its support for Commission President Juncker's call in his State of the Union Address on 12 September 2018 to move from unanimity to QMV in specific areas of the CFSP, including decisions on sanctions;*

Or. en

Amendment 64

Bernhard Zimniok, Lars Patrick Berg

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5. Notes that the Union has been slow to react and adapt – politically, diplomatically *and militarily* – to new crises and to this new international context; *considers that, in the specific area of defence, insufficient investment, differences in capabilities and a lack of interoperability, but also, and above all, a*

5. Notes that the Union has been slow to react and adapt – politically *and* diplomatically – to new crises and to this new international context;

political reluctance to implement the robust provisions provided for in the European treaties and the numerous cooperation arrangements between Member States have weakened the Union's ability to play a decisive role in external crises; recognises, further, that no country is able by itself to address the security challenges on the European continent and in its immediate environment;

Or. en

Amendment 65

Mick Wallace, Idoia Villanueva Ruiz, Luke Ming Flanagan, Manu Pineda

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Notes that the Union has been *slow to react and adapt* – politically, diplomatically and militarily – to new crises and to this new international context; *considers that, in the specific area of defence, insufficient investment, differences in capabilities and a lack of interoperability, but also, and above all, a political reluctance to implement the robust provisions provided for in the European treaties and the numerous cooperation arrangements between Member States have weakened the Union's ability to play a decisive role in external crises; recognises, further, that no country is able by itself to address the security challenges on the European continent and in its immediate environment;*

Amendment

5. Notes that the Union has been *contributing* – politically, diplomatically and militarily – to new crises and to this new international context;

Or. en

Amendment 66

Hannah Neumann

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Notes that the Union has been slow to react and adapt – politically, diplomatically and militarily – to new crises and to this new international context; considers that, in the specific area of defence, **insufficient investment**, differences in capabilities and a lack of interoperability, but also, and above all, a political reluctance to implement the robust provisions provided for in the European treaties and the numerous cooperation arrangements between Member States have weakened the Union's ability to play a decisive role in external crises; recognises, further, that no country is able by itself to address the security challenges on the European continent and in its immediate environment;

Amendment

5. Notes that the Union has been slow to react and adapt – politically, diplomatically and militarily – to new crises and to this new international context; considers that, in the specific area of defence, **misdirected investments, unwillingness to pool and share**, differences in capabilities and a lack of interoperability, but also, and above all, a political reluctance to implement the robust provisions provided for in the European treaties and the numerous cooperation arrangements between Member States have weakened the Union's ability to play a decisive role in external crises **management**; recognises, further, that no country is able by itself to address the security challenges on the European continent and in its immediate environment **and calls on the Member States to develop an effective integrated approach to crisis and conflicts which combines civilian and military means in the best possible and balanced way; believes that the Union's capacity to adequately react to emerging crisis and conflicts also depends on the speed of decision-making; supports in this respect the introduction of qualified majority voting in Council;**

Or. en

Amendment 67
Joachim Schuster

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Notes that **the Union has been slow**

Amendment

5. Notes that, **so far, there is no**

to react and adapt – politically, diplomatically and militarily – to new crises and to this new international context; considers that, in the specific area of defence, insufficient investment, differences in capabilities and a lack of interoperability, but also, and above all, a political reluctance to implement the robust provisions provided for in the European treaties and the numerous cooperation arrangements between Member States have weakened the Union’s ability to play a decisive role in external crises; recognises, further, that no country is able by itself to address the security challenges on the European continent and in its immediate environment;

common strategic stance on potential threats among all 28 EU Member States and that Member States therefore have different defence strategies and military expenditures; suggests, therefore, an in-depth strategic inter-institutional discussion on the future role and objectives of the EU in foreign and security policy that goes beyond the EU Global Strategy;

Or. en

Amendment 68

Geoffrey Van Orden, Anna Fotyga, Mazaly Aguilar, Witold Jan Waszczykowski, Charlie Weimers

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Notes that the Union has been slow to react and adapt – politically, diplomatically and militarily – to new crises and to this new international context; ***considers that***, in the specific area of defence, insufficient investment, differences in capabilities and a lack of interoperability, ***but also, and above all, a political reluctance to implement the robust provisions provided for in the European treaties and the numerous cooperation arrangements between Member States have weakened the Union’s ability to play a decisive role in external crises; recognises, further, that no country is able by itself*** to address the security challenges on the European continent and in its immediate

Amendment

5. Notes that the Union has been slow to react and adapt – politically, diplomatically and militarily – to new crises and to this new international context; in the specific area of defence, ***there has been*** insufficient investment, differences in capabilities and a lack of interoperability to address the security challenges on the European continent and in its immediate environment;

environment;

Or. en

Amendment 69

Attila Ara-Kovács, Giuliano Pisapia, Tonino Picula

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Notes that the Union has been slow to react and adapt – politically, diplomatically and militarily – to new crises and to this new international context; considers that, in the specific area of defence, insufficient investment, differences in capabilities and a lack of interoperability, but also, and above all, a political reluctance to implement the robust provisions provided for in the European treaties and the numerous cooperation arrangements between Member States have weakened the Union's ability to play a decisive role in external crises; recognises, further, that no country is able by itself to address the security challenges on the European continent and in its immediate environment;

Amendment

5. Notes that the Union has been slow to react and adapt – politically, diplomatically and militarily – to new crises and to this new international context; considers that, in the specific area of defence, insufficient investment, differences in capabilities and a lack of interoperability, but also, and above all, a political reluctance to implement the robust provisions provided for in the European treaties and the numerous cooperation arrangements between Member States have weakened the Union's ability to play a decisive role in external crises; ***therefore urges the European Council to move from unanimity to qualified majority voting in the field of CFSP and CSDP where the Treaty on European Union allows it; including on decisions on EU civilian missions***; recognises, further, that no country is able by itself to address the security challenges on the European continent and in its immediate environment;

Or. en

Amendment 70

Pierfrancesco Majorino

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Notes that the Union has been slow to react and adapt – politically, diplomatically and militarily – to new crises and to this new international context; considers that, in the specific area of defence, ***insufficient investment***, differences in capabilities and a lack of interoperability, but also, and above all, a political reluctance to implement the robust provisions provided for in the European treaties and the numerous cooperation arrangements between Member States have weakened the Union's ability to play a decisive role in external crises; recognises, further, that no country is able by itself to address the security challenges on the European continent and in its immediate environment;

Amendment

5. Notes that the Union has been slow to react and adapt – politically, diplomatically and militarily – to new crises and to this new international context; considers that, in the specific area of defence, differences in capabilities and a lack of interoperability, but also, and above all, a political reluctance to implement the robust provisions provided for in the European treaties and the numerous cooperation arrangements between Member States have weakened the Union's ability to play a decisive role in external crises; ***therefore urges the European Council to move from unanimity to qualified majority voting in the field of CFSP and CSDP where the Treaty on European Union allows it***; recognises, further, that no country is able by itself to address the security challenges on the European continent and in its immediate environment;

Or. en

Amendment 71

Rasa Juknevičienė, Andrius Kubilius, Sandra Kalnietė

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Notes that the Union ***has been slow*** to react and adapt – politically, diplomatically and militarily – to new crises and to this new international context; considers that, in the specific area of defence, insufficient investment, ***differences in*** capabilities and ***a lack of interoperability***, but also, ***and above all, a political reluctance to implement the robust*** provisions provided for in the European treaties ***and the numerous cooperation arrangements between Member States have weakened the***

Amendment

5. Notes that ***increasing demand for*** the Union to react and adapt – politically, diplomatically and militarily – to new crises and to this new international context; considers that, in the specific area of defence, insufficient investment, ***lack of*** capabilities and ***low level of responsiveness***, but also political ***limitations to make full use of*** provisions provided for in the European treaties ***did not permit to realize*** the Union's ***full potential*** to play a decisive role in external crises; recognises, further, that no country

Union's **ability** to play a decisive role in external crises; recognises, further, that no country is able by itself to address the security challenges **on the European continent and in its immediate environment**;

is able by itself to address the security challenges ***without working together with allies and partners***;

Or. en

Amendment 72

Željana Zovko

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Notes that the Union has been slow to react and adapt – politically, diplomatically and militarily – to new crises and to this new international context; considers that, in the specific area of defence, insufficient investment, differences in capabilities and a lack of interoperability, but also, and above all, a political reluctance to implement the robust provisions provided for in the European treaties and the numerous cooperation arrangements between Member States have weakened the Union's ability to play a decisive role in external crises; recognises, further, that no country is able by itself to address the security challenges on the European continent and in its immediate environment;

Amendment

5. Notes that the Union has been slow to react and adapt – politically, diplomatically and militarily – to new crises and to this new international context; considers that, in the specific area of defence, insufficient investment, differences in capabilities and a lack of interoperability, but also, and above all, a political reluctance to implement the robust provisions provided for in the European treaties and the numerous cooperation arrangements between Member States have weakened the Union's ability to play a decisive role in external crises; recognises ***and underlines***, further, that no country is able by itself to address the security challenges on the European continent and in its immediate environment;

Or. en

Amendment 73

Raphaël Glucksmann

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5. Notes that the Union **has been** slow to react and adapt – politically, diplomatically and militarily – to new crises and to this new international context; considers that, in the specific area of defence, insufficient investment, differences in capabilities and a lack of interoperability, but also, and above all, a political reluctance to implement the robust provisions provided for in the European treaties and the numerous cooperation arrangements between Member States **have weakened** the Union's ability to play a decisive role in external crises; recognises, further, that no country is able by itself to address the security challenges on the European continent and in its immediate environment;

5. Notes that the Union **is** slow to react and adapt – politically, diplomatically and militarily – to new crises and to this new international context; considers that, in the specific area of defence, insufficient investment, differences in capabilities and a lack of interoperability, but also, and above all, a political reluctance to implement the robust provisions provided for in the European treaties and the numerous cooperation arrangements between Member States **hampers** the Union's ability to play a decisive role in external crises; recognises, further, that no country is able by itself to address the security challenges on the European continent and in its immediate environment;

Or. en

Amendment 74

Geoffrey Van Orden, Anna Fotyga, Mazaly Aguilar, Witold Jan Waszczykowski, Charlie Weimers

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Welcomes, in this adverse and volatile context, the belated but real recognition of shared security interests and the growing political will on the part of European countries **and the European institutions** to act collectively for their security by endowing themselves with greater means to act **autonomously**;

Amendment

6. Welcomes, in this adverse and volatile context, the belated but real recognition of shared security interests and the growing political will on the part of European countries to act collectively for their security by endowing themselves with greater means to act **decisively**; **welcomes also the great increase in US commitment to European security, not least through its enhanced \$6 billion European Deterrence Initiative and the increase in its Forward Military Presence in Europe**;

Or. en

Amendment 75

Claudiu Manda

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Welcomes, in this adverse and volatile context, the belated but real recognition of shared security interests and the growing political will on the part of European countries and the European institutions to act collectively for their security by endowing themselves with greater means to act autonomously;

Amendment

6. Welcomes, in this adverse and volatile context, the belated but real recognition of shared security interests and the growing political will on the part of European countries and the European institutions to act collectively for their security by endowing themselves with greater means to act autonomously; ***notes that only through a collective approach EU can become stronger and be able to take greater responsibility for its own security and defence;***

Or. en

Amendment 76

Rasa Juknevičienė, Andrius Kubilius, Sandra Kalniete

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. ***Welcomes***, in this adverse and volatile context, ***the belated but*** real recognition of shared security interests ***and the growing political will on the part of European countries and the European institutions to act collectively for their security by endowing themselves with greater means to act autonomously;***

Amendment

6. ***Calls for***, in this adverse and volatile context, real recognition of shared security interests, ***for further political action and higher defence expenditure;***

Or. en

Amendment 77

Bernhard Zimniok, Lars Patrick Berg

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Welcomes, in this adverse and volatile context, the belated but real recognition of shared security interests and the growing political will on the part of European countries ***and the European institutions to act collectively for their security by endowing themselves with greater means to act autonomously;***

Amendment

6. Welcomes, in this adverse and volatile context, the belated but real recognition of shared security interests and the growing political will on the part of European countries;

Or. en

Amendment 78

Željana Zovko

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Welcomes, in this adverse and volatile context, the belated but real recognition of shared security interests and the growing political will on the part of European countries and the European institutions to act collectively for their security by endowing themselves with greater means to act autonomously;

Amendment

6. Welcomes, in this adverse and volatile context, the belated but real recognition of shared security interests and the ***crucial*** growing political will on the part of ***European Member States as well as the rest of the*** European countries and the European institutions to act collectively for their security by endowing themselves with greater means to act autonomously;

Or. en

Amendment 79

Hannah Neumann

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Welcomes, in this adverse and volatile context, the belated but real recognition of shared security interests and

Amendment

6. Welcomes, in this adverse and volatile context, the belated but real recognition of shared security interests and

the growing political will on the part of European countries and the European institutions to act collectively for their security by endowing themselves with greater means to act *autonomously*;

the growing political will on the part of European countries and the European institutions to act collectively for their security by endowing themselves with greater means to act *in a more preventive, fast, effective and autonomous manner*;

Or. en

Amendment 80

Urmas Paet, Petras Auštrevičius, Hilde Vautmans, Klemen Grošelj, Malik Azmani

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Welcomes, *in this adverse and volatile context, the belated but real* recognition of shared security interests and the growing political will on the part of European countries and the European institutions to act collectively for their security by endowing themselves with greater means to act autonomously;

Amendment

6. Welcomes *the* recognition of shared security interests and the growing political will on the part of European countries and the European institutions to act collectively for their security by endowing themselves with greater means to act autonomously;

Or. en

Amendment 81

Jérôme Rivière, Thierry Mariani, Nicolas Bay

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Welcomes, in this adverse and volatile context, the belated but real recognition of shared security interests and the growing political will on the part of European countries *and the European institutions* to act collectively for their security by endowing themselves with greater means to act autonomously;

Amendment

6. Welcomes, in this adverse and volatile context, the belated but real recognition of shared security interests and the growing political will on the part of European countries to act collectively for their security by endowing themselves with greater means to act autonomously;

Or. fr

Amendment 82

Urmas Paet, Petras Auštrevičius, Hilde Vautmans, Klemen Grošelj, Michal Šimečka, Christophe Grudler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6 a. Stresses that these challenges are best met together, not by any single country; considers it vital for the EU to respond to these challenges rapidly, consistently, effectively, with one voice and in concert with allies, partners and other international organisations;

Or. en

Amendment 83

Hannah Neumann

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7. Is convinced that the response to the Union's security challenges lies primarily in ***strengthening its strategic autonomy***;

7. Is convinced that the response to the Union's security challenges lies primarily in ***developing a comprehensive and modern security policy which is closely interlinked with all other external policies, a truly European Security Union, which puts human security at its centre and enables autonomous and effective decision-making, planning, conduct, and implementation of diplomatic, civilian, military and any other relevant security measure***;

Or. en

Amendment 84

Jérôme Rivière, Nicolas Bay, Thierry Mariani

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Is convinced that the response to the **Union's** security challenges lies primarily in ***strengthening its*** strategic ***autonomy***;

Amendment

7. Is convinced that the response to the **Member States'** security challenges lies primarily in ***stepping up their cooperation; highlights the*** strategic ***instability caused by Turkish diplomacy; is concerned about the return of a number of jihadis to Member States and the Western Balkans***;

Or. fr

Amendment 85

Attila Ara-Kovács, Giuliano Pisapia, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Nikos Androulakis

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Is convinced that the response to the Union's security challenges lies primarily in strengthening its strategic autonomy;

Amendment

7. Is convinced that the response to the Union's security challenges lies primarily in strengthening its strategic autonomy; ***therefore, supports moving ahead on security and defence integration, including, in the long term, the creation of a common European Army***;

Or. en

Amendment 86

Costas Mavrides

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Is convinced that the response to the Union's security challenges lies

Amendment

7. Is convinced that the response to the Union's security challenges lies

primarily in strengthening its strategic autonomy;

primarily in strengthening its strategic autonomy; ***therefore, supports moving ahead on security and defence integration, including, in the long term, the creation of a common European Army;***

Or. en

Amendment 87

Rasa Juknevičienė, Andrius Kubilius, Sandra Kalniete

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. ***Is convinced*** that the response to the Union's security challenges lies primarily in ***strengthening its strategic autonomy;***

Amendment

7. ***Stresses*** that the response to the Union's security challenges lies primarily in strategic ***responsibility of the Member States, including increasing of defence spending and investing into development of capabilities;***

Or. en

Amendment 88

Costas Mavrides

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Is convinced that the response to the Union's security challenges lies primarily in strengthening its strategic autonomy;

Amendment

7. Is convinced that the response to the Union's security challenges lies primarily in strengthening its strategic autonomy; ***stresses that energy security is an important component in achieving strategic autonomy;***

Or. en

Amendment 89

Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Ilhan Kyuchyuk, Petras Auštrevičius, Javier Nart, Hilde Vautmans, Malik Azmani, Michal Šimečka

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Is convinced that the response to the Union's security challenges lies primarily in strengthening its strategic autonomy;

Amendment

7. Is convinced that the response to the Union's security challenges lies primarily in strengthening its strategic autonomy ***and its ability to work in strategic partnership with others, especially with NATO;***

Or. en

Amendment 90
Tonino Picula

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Is convinced that the response to the Union's security challenges lies primarily in strengthening its strategic autonomy;

Amendment

7. Is convinced that the response to the Union's security challenges lies primarily in strengthening its strategic autonomy ***and internal capabilities;***

Or. en

Amendment 91
Raphaël Glucksmann

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Is convinced that the response to the Union's security challenges lies primarily in ***strengthening*** its strategic autonomy;

Amendment

7. Is convinced that the response to the Union's security challenges lies primarily in ***deeper integration in order to achieve*** its strategic autonomy;

Or. en

Amendment 92
Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Is convinced that the response to the Union's security challenges lies primarily in strengthening its strategic autonomy;

Amendment

7. Is convinced that the response to the Union's security challenges lies primarily in ***defining and*** strengthening its strategic autonomy;

Or. de

Amendment 93
Bernhard Zimniok, Lars Patrick Berg

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Is convinced that the response to the Union's security challenges lies primarily in strengthening ***its strategic autonomy***;

Amendment

7. Is convinced that the response to the Union's security challenges lies primarily in strengthening ***member states ability to cooperate***;

Or. en

Amendment 94
Geoffrey Van Orden, Anna Fotyga, Mazaly Aguilar, Witold Jan Waszczykowski

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Is convinced that the ***response to the Union's security challenges*** lies primarily in strengthening ***its strategic autonomy***;

Amendment

7. Is convinced that the ***defence and security of the Union*** lies primarily in strengthening ***the Atlantic Alliance***;

Or. en

Amendment 95

Urmaz Paet, Petras Auštrevičius, Klemen Grošelj, Hilde Vautmans, Michal Šimečka

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7 a. Underlines that the strategic partnership between the EU and NATO is fundamental to addressing the security challenges facing the EU and its neighbourhood; stresses that the EU strategic autonomy is not challenging NATO and is not undermining the current security architecture in Europe; a stronger Europe strenghtens NATO and allows us to take on more global challenges together;

Or. en

Amendment 96

Attila Ara-Kovács, Giuliano Pisapia, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Claudiu Manda, Nikos Androulakis, Tonino Picula

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7 a. Welcomes the achievements of the last five years regarding the strengthening of the Common Security and Defence Policy and calls on the Council and the Commission to develop further the Union's capacities to act as a global partner, representing the interests of European citizens and acting as a positive force in international relations;

Or. en

Amendment 97

**Geoffrey Van Orden, Anna Fotyga, Mazaly Aguilar, Witold Jan Waszczykowski,
Charlie Weimers**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7 a. Welcomes and supports Operation Atlantic Resolve and NATO's Enhanced Forward Presence on the European continent and recognises the importance of NATO troops in the effort to deter further Russian aggression and provide crucial support in the event of a conflict;

Or. en

**Amendment 98
Geoffrey Van Orden, Anna Fotyga, Mazaly Aguilar**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 b (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7 b. Recognizes European involvement and support for Operation Resolute Support in Afghanistan; further recognizes the importance of this mission to the stability and security of Afghanistan and the region;

Or. en

**Amendment 99
Geoffrey Van Orden, Anna Fotyga, Mazaly Aguilar, Witold Jan Waszczykowski,
Charlie Weimers**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 c (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7 c. Acknowledges that close cooperation should also take place with

third countries and through the command and planning structure of NATO; such cooperation will provide vital capabilities, such as strategic lift, essential to operations in the European periphery;

Or. en

Amendment 100

Hannah Neumann

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution

Subheading 2

Motion for a resolution

The need to strengthen European *strategic autonomy*

Amendment

The need to strengthen *the* European *Union as autonomous and effective actor*

Or. en

Amendment 101

Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution

Subheading 2

Motion for a resolution

The need to strengthen European strategic autonomy

Amendment

The need to *develop and* strengthen European strategic autonomy

Or. de

Amendment 102

Raphaël Glucksmann

Motion for a resolution

Subheading 2

Motion for a resolution

The need to *strengthen* European strategic

Amendment

The need to *achieve* European strategic

Amendment 103**Jérôme Rivière, Thierry Mariani, Nicolas Bay****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 8***Motion for a resolution**Amendment*

8. Notes that the ambition of achieving European strategic autonomy was recognised for the first time in June 2016 by the 28 Heads of State and Government in the ‘Global Strategy for the European Union’s Foreign and Security Policy’, presented by the Vice-President of the Commission / High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy (VP/HR) on 28 June 2016;

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 104**Martin Horwood, Malik Azmani, Klemen Grošelj****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 8***Motion for a resolution**Amendment*

8. Notes that the ambition of achieving European strategic autonomy was recognised for the first time in June 2016 by the 28 Heads of State and Government in the ‘Global Strategy for the European Union’s Foreign and Security Policy’, presented by the Vice-President of the Commission / High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy (VP/HR) on 28 June 2016;

8. Notes that the ambition of achieving European strategic autonomy was recognised for the first time in June 2016 by the 28 Heads of State and Government in the ‘Global Strategy for the European Union’s Foreign and Security Policy’, presented by the Vice-President of the Commission / High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy (VP/HR) on 28 June 2016; *believes that any upcoming review of the 2016 EU Global Strategy should focus on*

increasing the effectiveness of the practical and operational implementation of European strategic autonomy rather than lead to an overhaul of its orientations;

Or. en

Amendment 105
Kris Peeters

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Notes that the ambition of achieving European strategic autonomy was recognised for the first time in June 2016 by the 28 Heads of State and Government in the ‘Global Strategy for the European Union’s Foreign and Security Policy’, presented by the Vice-President of the Commission / High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy (VP/HR) on 28 June 2016;

Amendment

8. Notes that the ambition of achieving European strategic autonomy was recognised for the first time in June 2016 by the 28 Heads of State and Government in the ‘Global Strategy for the European Union’s Foreign and Security Policy’, presented by the Vice-President of the Commission / High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy (VP/HR) on 28 June 2016; ***which set out European strategic autonomy as a long-term objective and called for a gradual synchronisation and mutual adaptation of national defence planning and capability development practices;***

Or. en

Amendment 106
Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Notes that the ambition of achieving European strategic autonomy was recognised for the first time in June 2016 by the 28 Heads of State and

Amendment

8. Notes that the ambition of achieving European strategic autonomy was ***posited for the first time in the Council Conclusions of 19 and 20***

Government in the ‘Global Strategy for the European Union’s Foreign and Security Policy’, presented by the Vice-President of the Commission / High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy (VP/HR) on 28 June 2016;

December 2013 and recognised for the first time in June 2016 by the 28 Heads of State and Government in the ‘Global Strategy for the European Union’s Foreign and Security Policy’, presented by the Vice-President of the Commission / High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy (VP/HR) on 28 June 2016;

Or. de

Amendment 107

Rasa Juknevičienė, Andrius Kubilius, Sandra Kalniete

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Notes that the ambition of achieving European strategic autonomy was recognised for the first time ***in June 2016 by the 28 Heads of State and Government*** in the ‘Global Strategy for the European Union’s Foreign and Security Policy’, presented by the Vice-President of the Commission / High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy (VP/HR) on 28 June 2016;

Amendment

8. Notes that the ambition of achieving European strategic autonomy was recognised for the first time in the ‘Global Strategy for the European Union’s Foreign and Security Policy’, presented by the Vice-President of the Commission / High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy (VP/HR) on 28 June 2016;

Or. en

Amendment 108

Geoffrey Van Orden, Anna Fotyga, Mazaly Aguilar, Witold Jan Waszczykowski, Charlie Weimers

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Considers that the principle of European strategic autonomy is based on the ***ability of the Union to strengthen its freedom*** to assess, take decisions and take

Amendment

9. Considers that the principle of European strategic autonomy is based on the ***mistaken idea that the EU is better able than NATO or our nations*** to assess,

action *where circumstances so require in order* to defend *its* interests and values;

take decisions and take action to defend *the* interests and values *of our citizens while some European countries might well embark on low intensity military operations, it is difficult to imagine circumstances where European countries would engage in major combat operations without the support of NATO Allies and therefore urges renewed commitment to the revitalisation of the Alliance*;

Or. en

Amendment 109

Christophe Grudler, Nathalie Loiseau, Petras Auštrevičius, Hilde Vautmans, Bernard Guetta, Klemen Grošelj

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Considers that the principle of European strategic autonomy is based on the ability of the Union to strengthen its freedom to assess, *take decisions and take action* where circumstances so require in order to defend its interests and values;

Amendment

9. Considers that the principle of European strategic autonomy is based on the ability of the Union to strengthen its freedom to assess, *its independent operational capacity comprising credible military forces, its industrial capacity to produce the equipment that its forces need and its political capacity to take decisions* where circumstances so require in order to defend its interests and values;

Or. fr

Amendment 110 Radosław Sikorski

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Considers that the principle of European strategic autonomy is based on the ability of the Union to strengthen its

Amendment

9. Considers that the principle of European strategic autonomy is based on the ability of the Union to strengthen its

freedom to assess, take decisions and take action where circumstances so require in order to defend its interests and values;

freedom to assess, take decisions and take action where circumstances so require in order to defend its interests and values;
strongly believes that the European strategic autonomy should include capacity to deploy military force on EU's periphery;

Or. en

Amendment 111

Hannah Neumann

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Considers that the ***principle of European strategic autonomy*** is based on the ability of the Union to strengthen its freedom to assess, take decisions and ***take action*** where circumstances so require in order to defend ***its*** interests and values;

Amendment

9. Considers that the ***concept of the EU as effective and autonomous security actor*** is based on the ability of the Union to strengthen its freedom to assess, take decisions and ***have the capacity to act*** where circumstances so require in order to defend ***common*** interests and ***universal*** values, ***rules and principles***;

Or. en

Amendment 112

Jérôme Rivière, Thierry Mariani, Nicolas Bay

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Considers that the principle of European strategic autonomy is based on the ability of the ***Union*** to strengthen ***its*** freedom to assess, take decisions and take action where circumstances so require in order to defend ***its*** interests ***and values***;

Amendment

9. Considers that the principle of European strategic autonomy is based on the ability of the ***Member States*** to strengthen ***their*** freedom to assess, take decisions and take action where circumstances so require in order to defend ***their*** interests, ***which sometimes coincide***;

Amendment 113

Mick Wallace, Idoia Villanueva Ruiz, Luke Ming Flanagan, Manu Pineda

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Considers that the principle of European strategic autonomy is based on the ability of the Union to strengthen its freedom to assess, take decisions and take action where circumstances so require in order to defend *its* interests *and values*;

Amendment

9. Considers that the principle of European strategic autonomy is based on the ability of the Union to strengthen its freedom to assess, take decisions and take action where circumstances so require in order to defend *the financial* interests *of some of its member states abroad*;

Or. en

Amendment 114

Bernhard Zimniok, Lars Patrick Berg

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Considers that the principle of European strategic autonomy is based on the ability of the Union to strengthen its freedom to assess, *take decisions and take action* where circumstances so require in order to defend *its* interests and values;

Amendment

9. Considers that the principle of European strategic autonomy is based on the ability of the Union to strengthen its freedom to assess *and provide council to the member states* where circumstances so require in order *for the member states* to defend *their* interests and values;

Or. en

Amendment 115

Kris Peeters

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Considers that the principle of European strategic autonomy is based on the ability of the Union to strengthen its freedom to assess, take decisions and take action where circumstances so require in order to defend its interests and values;

Amendment

9. Considers that the principle of European strategic autonomy is based on the ability of the Union to strengthen its freedom to assess, take decisions and take action where circumstances so require in order to defend its interests and values ***in a spirit of multilateralism***;

Or. en

Amendment 116
Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Considers that ***the principle of European*** strategic autonomy is based on the ability of the Union to strengthen its freedom to assess, take decisions and take action where circumstances so require in order to defend its interests and values;

Amendment

9. Considers that ***Europe's*** strategic autonomy is based on the ability of the Union to strengthen its freedom to assess, take decisions and take action ***on the basis of foreign and security policy considerations*** where circumstances so require in order to defend its ***common*** interests and values;

Or. de

Amendment 117
Raphaël Glucksmann

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Considers that the principle of European strategic autonomy is based on the ability of the Union to strengthen its freedom to assess, take decisions and take action where circumstances so require in order to defend its interests ***and*** values;

Amendment

9. Considers that the principle of European strategic autonomy is based on the ability of the Union to strengthen its freedom to assess, take decisions and take action where circumstances so require in order to defend its interests, ***including its*** values;

Amendment 118

Rasa Juknevičienė, Andrius Kubilius, Sandra Kalnietė

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Considers that the ***principle*** of European strategic autonomy ***is based on the ability of the Union to strengthen its freedom to assess, take decisions and take action where circumstances so require*** in order to defend its interests and values;

Amendment

9. Considers that the ***idea*** of European strategic autonomy ***reflects the aim of taking more responsibility for European security with partners wherever possible and alone when necessary***, in order to defend its interests and values;

Or. en

Amendment 119

Joachim Schuster

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 a. Stresses the importance of and compliance with international commitments of the EU and its Member States on conventional and nuclear disarmament and arms control; recalls that any foreign military operation should require a UN mandate;

Or. en

Amendment 120

Pierfrancesco Majorino

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 a. Stresses the importance of and compliance with international commitments of the EU and its Member States on conventional and nuclear disarmament and arms control; recalls that any foreign military operation should require a UN mandate;

Or. en

Amendment 121

Jérôme Rivière, Nicolas Bay, Thierry Mariani

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10. Considers, therefore, that European strategic autonomy is based, above all, on the ability of the Union to assess a crisis situation and take a decision autonomously, which necessarily entails an independent decision-making process, the availability of means of assessment and a freedom to analyse and take action; considers, also, that European strategic autonomy is based on the ability of the Union to act alone when its interests are at stake (theatres of operations not considered as priorities by its European partners) or within the framework of existing cooperation arrangements; considers, lastly, that European strategic autonomy is part of a multilateral framework which respects commitments within the UN and complements the (NATO) alliances and partnerships to which most Member States are signed up; stresses that strategic autonomy does not mean that the Union will systematically act alone, everywhere and always;

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 122

Bernhard Zimniok, Lars Patrick Berg

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Considers, therefore, that European strategic autonomy is based, above all, on the ability of the Union to assess a crisis situation and ***take a decision autonomously***, which necessarily entails an independent decision-making process, the availability of means of assessment and a freedom to analyse and ***take action***; considers, also, that European strategic autonomy is based on the ability of ***the Union*** to act alone when its interests are at stake (theatres of operations not considered as priorities by its European partners) or within the framework of existing cooperation arrangements; considers, lastly, ***that European strategic autonomy is part of a multilateral framework which respects commitments within the UN and complements the (NATO) alliances and partnerships to which most Member States are signed up; stresses that strategic autonomy does not mean that the Union will systematically act alone, everywhere and always;***

Amendment

10. Considers, therefore, that European strategic autonomy is based, above all, on the ability of the Union to assess a crisis situation and ***provide guidance***, which necessarily entails an independent decision-making process, the availability of means of assessment and a freedom to analyse and ***provide counsel***; considers, also, that European strategic autonomy is based on the ability of ***member states*** to act alone when its interests are at stake (theatres of operations not considered as priorities by its European partners) or within the framework of existing cooperation arrangements; considers, lastly;

Or. en

Amendment 123

Mick Wallace, Luke Ming Flanagan, Manu Pineda

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Considers, therefore, that European strategic autonomy is based, above all, on the ability of the Union to assess a crisis situation and take a decision autonomously, which necessarily entails an

Amendment

10. Considers, therefore, that European strategic autonomy is based, above all, on the ability of the Union to assess a crisis situation and take a decision autonomously, which necessarily entails an

independent decision-making process, the availability of means of assessment and a freedom to analyse and take action; considers, *also, that European strategic autonomy is based on the ability of the Union to act alone when its interests are at stake (theatres of operations not considered as priorities by its European partners) or within the framework of existing cooperation arrangements*; considers, *lastly*, that European strategic autonomy is part of a multilateral framework which respects commitments within the UN and complements the (NATO) alliances and partnerships to which most Member States are signed up; stresses that strategic autonomy does not mean that the Union will systematically act alone, everywhere and always;

independent decision-making process, the availability of means of assessment and a freedom to analyse and take action; considers, that European strategic autonomy is part of a multilateral framework which respects commitments within the UN and complements the (NATO) alliances and partnerships to which most, *but not all* Member States are signed up; stresses that strategic autonomy does not mean that the Union will systematically act alone, everywhere and always;

Or. en

Amendment 124

Hannah Neumann

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Considers, therefore, that **European strategic autonomy** is based, above all, on the ability of the Union to assess a crisis situation and take a decision autonomously, which necessarily entails an independent decision-making process, the availability of means of assessment and a freedom to analyse and **take action**; considers, also, that **European strategic autonomy is based on** the ability of the Union to act alone **when its** interests are at stake (theatres of operations not considered as priorities by its European partners) or within the framework of existing cooperation arrangements; considers, lastly, that European strategic autonomy is part of a multilateral framework which

Amendment

10. Considers, therefore, that **an effective CSDP** is based, above all, on the ability of the Union to assess a crisis situation and take a decision autonomously, which necessarily entails an independent decision-making process, the availability of means of assessment and a freedom to analyse and **the capacity to act**; considers, also, that **CSDP should guarantee** the ability of the Union to act alone **but via an integrated approach combining civilian and military means in a balanced way when universal principles and common** interests are at stake (theatres of operations not considered as priorities by its European partners) or within the framework of existing cooperation

respects commitments within the UN and complements the (NATO) alliances and partnerships to which most Member States are signed up; stresses that **strategic** autonomy does not mean that the Union will systematically act alone, everywhere and always;

arrangements; considers, lastly, that European strategic autonomy is part of a multilateral framework which respects commitments within the UN and complements the (NATO) alliances and partnerships to which most Member States are signed up; ***underlines that the Union's choice of means following the integrated approach depends first of all on the real needs on the grounds***; stresses that **operational** autonomy does not mean that the Union will systematically act alone, everywhere and always;

Or. en

Amendment 125

Rasa Juknevičienė, Andrius Kubilius, Sandra Kalnietė

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Considers, therefore, that **European strategic autonomy is based, above all, on** the ability of the Union to assess a crisis situation and take a decision autonomously, which **necessarily** entails an **independent** decision-making process, the availability of means of assessment and **a freedom** to analyse and take action; considers, also, that **European strategic autonomy is based on the ability of the** Union to act alone when its interests are at stake (***theatres of operations not considered as priorities by its European partners***) or within the framework of existing cooperation arrangements; considers, lastly, that European **strategic autonomy is part of a multilateral framework which respects** commitments within the UN and complements **the (NATO) alliances and partnerships to which most Member States are signed up**; stresses that strategic autonomy does not mean **that** the Union **will** systematically **act**

Amendment

10. Considers, therefore, that the ability of the Union to assess a crisis situation and take a decision autonomously **has to be improved**, which entails an **better informed** decision-making process, the availability of means of assessment and **capabilities** to analyse and take action; considers, also, that Union **has** to act **with partners whenever possible and** alone when **necessary and** its interests are at stake or within the framework of existing cooperation arrangements; considers, lastly, that European **defence initiatives respect** commitments within the UN and complements **NATO**; stresses that **the idea of** strategic autonomy does not mean the Union systematically **acting** alone, everywhere and always;

alone, everywhere and always;

Or. en

Amendment 126

Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Considers, therefore, that European strategic autonomy is based, above all, on the ability of the Union to assess a crisis situation and take a decision autonomously, which necessarily entails an independent decision-making process, the availability of means of assessment and a freedom to analyse and take action; considers, also, that European strategic autonomy is based on the ability of the Union to act alone when its interests are at stake (theatres of operations not considered as priorities by its European partners) or within the framework of existing cooperation arrangements; considers, lastly, that European strategic autonomy is part of a multilateral framework which respects commitments within the UN and complements the (NATO) alliances and partnerships to which most Member States are signed up; stresses that strategic autonomy does not mean that the Union will systematically act alone, everywhere and always;

Amendment

10. Considers, therefore, that European strategic autonomy is based, above all, on the ability of the Union to assess a crisis situation and take a decision autonomously, which necessarily entails an independent decision-making process, the availability of means of assessment and a freedom to analyse and take action; considers, also, that European strategic autonomy is based on the ability of the Union to act alone when its interests are at stake (theatres of operations not considered as priorities by its European partners) or within the framework of existing cooperation arrangements; considers, lastly, that European strategic autonomy is part of a multilateral framework which respects commitments within the UN and complements the (NATO) alliances and partnerships to which most Member States are signed up; stresses that strategic autonomy does not mean that the Union will systematically act alone, everywhere and always; ***points out that the Union is, on the contrary, able to act more incisively when working in an international multilateral operational framework and in close collaboration with its partners;***

Or. it

Amendment 127

Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Considers, therefore, that European strategic autonomy is based, above all, on the ability of the Union to assess a crisis situation and take a decision autonomously, which necessarily **entails** an independent decision-making process, the availability of means of assessment and **a freedom** to analyse and take action; considers, also, that European strategic autonomy is based on the ability of the Union to act alone when its interests are at stake (theatres of operations not considered as priorities by **its European partners**) or within the framework of existing cooperation arrangements; considers, lastly, that European strategic autonomy is part of a multilateral framework which respects commitments within the UN and complements the (NATO) alliances and partnerships to which most Member States are signed up; stresses that strategic autonomy does not mean that the Union will systematically act alone, everywhere and always;

Amendment

10. Considers, therefore, that European strategic autonomy is based, above all, on the ability of the Union to assess a crisis situation **on the basis of foreign and security policy considerations** and take a decision autonomously, which necessarily **makes** an independent decision-making process, the availability of means of assessment and **the existing capacity of the European External Action Service** to analyse and take action **essential**; considers, also, that European strategic autonomy is based on the ability of the Union to act alone when its interests are at stake (theatres of operations not considered as priorities by **the EU Member States**) or within the framework of existing cooperation arrangements; considers, lastly, that European strategic autonomy is part of a multilateral framework which respects commitments within the UN and complements the (NATO) alliances and partnerships to which most Member States are signed up; stresses that strategic autonomy does not mean that the Union will systematically act alone, everywhere and always;

Or. de

Amendment 128
Joachim Schuster

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Considers, therefore, that European strategic autonomy is based, above all, on the ability of the Union to assess a crisis situation and take a decision

Amendment

10. Considers, therefore, that European strategic autonomy is based, above all, on the ability of the Union to assess a crisis situation and take a decision

autonomously, which necessarily entails an independent decision-making process, the availability of means of assessment and a freedom to analyse and take action; considers, also, that European strategic autonomy is based on the ability of the Union to act alone when its interests are at stake (theatres of operations not considered as priorities by its European partners) or within the framework of existing cooperation arrangements; considers, lastly, that European strategic autonomy is part of a multilateral framework which respects commitments within the UN and complements the (NATO) alliances and partnerships to which most Member States are signed up; stresses that strategic autonomy does not mean that the Union will systematically act alone, everywhere and always;

autonomously, which necessarily entails an independent decision-making process, the availability of means of assessment and a freedom to analyse and take action; considers, also, that European strategic autonomy is based on the ability of the Union to act alone when its interests are at stake (theatres of operations not considered as priorities by its European partners) or within the framework of existing cooperation arrangements; considers, lastly, that European strategic autonomy is part of a multilateral framework which respects commitments within the UN and ***the Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe (OSCE)*** and complements the (NATO) alliances and partnerships to which most Member States are signed up; stresses that strategic autonomy does not mean that the Union will systematically act alone, everywhere and always;

Or. en

Amendment 129

Raphaël Glucksmann, Attila Ara-Kovács

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Considers, therefore, that European strategic autonomy is based, above all, on the ability of the Union to assess a crisis situation and take a decision autonomously, which necessarily entails an independent decision-making process, the availability of means of assessment and a freedom to analyse and take action; considers, also, that European strategic autonomy is based on the ability of the Union to act alone when its interests are at stake (***theatres of operations not considered as priorities by its European partners***) or within the framework of existing cooperation arrangements;

Amendment

10. Considers, therefore, that European strategic autonomy is based, above all, on the ability of the Union to assess a crisis situation and take a decision autonomously, which necessarily entails an independent ***and efficient*** decision-making process, the availability of means of assessment and a freedom to analyse and take action; considers, also, that European strategic autonomy is based on the ability of the Union to act alone when its interests are at stake or within the framework of existing cooperation arrangements; considers, lastly, that European strategic autonomy is part of a multilateral

considers, lastly, that European strategic autonomy is part of a multilateral framework which respects commitments within the UN and complements the (NATO) alliances and partnerships to which most Member States are signed up; stresses that strategic autonomy does not mean that the Union will systematically act alone, everywhere and always;

framework which respects commitments within the UN and complements the (NATO) alliances and partnerships to which most Member States are signed up; stresses that strategic autonomy does not mean that the Union will systematically act alone, everywhere and always;

Or. en

Amendment 130

Urmas Paet, Petras Auštrevičius, Hilde Vautmans, Klemen Grošelj, Malik Azmani, Michal Šimečka

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Considers, therefore, that European strategic autonomy is based, above all, on the ability of the Union to assess a crisis situation and take a decision autonomously, which necessarily entails an independent decision-making process, the availability of means of assessment and a freedom to analyse and take action; considers, also, that European strategic autonomy is based on the ability of the Union to act alone when its interests are at stake (theatres of operations not considered as priorities by its European partners) or within the framework of existing cooperation arrangements; considers, lastly, that European strategic autonomy is part of a multilateral framework which respects commitments within the UN and complements *the (NATO)* alliances and partnerships to which most Member States are signed up; stresses that strategic autonomy does not mean that the Union will systematically act alone, everywhere and always;

Amendment

10. Considers, therefore, that European strategic autonomy is based, above all, on the ability of the Union to assess a crisis situation and take a decision autonomously, which necessarily entails an independent decision-making process, the availability of means of assessment and a freedom to analyse and take action; considers, also, that European strategic autonomy is based on the ability of the Union to act alone when its interests are at stake (theatres of operations not considered as priorities by its European partners) or within the framework of existing cooperation arrangements; considers, lastly, that European strategic autonomy is part of a multilateral framework which respects commitments within the UN and complements **NATO, and** alliances and partnerships to which most Member States are signed up; stresses that strategic autonomy does not **and should not** mean that the Union will systematically act alone, everywhere and always;

Or. en

Amendment 131

Kris Peeters

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Considers, therefore, that European strategic autonomy is based, above all, on the ability of the Union to assess a crisis situation and take a decision autonomously, which necessarily entails an independent decision-making process, the availability of means of assessment and a freedom to analyse and take action; considers, also, that European strategic autonomy is based on the ability of the Union to act alone when its interests are at stake (theatres of operations not considered as priorities by its European partners) or within the framework of existing cooperation arrangements; considers, lastly, that European strategic autonomy is part of a multilateral framework which respects commitments within the UN and complements the (*NATO*) alliances and partnerships to which most Member States are signed up; stresses that strategic autonomy does not mean that the Union will systematically act alone, everywhere and always;

Amendment

10. Considers, therefore, that European strategic autonomy is based, above all, on the ability of the Union to assess a crisis situation and take a decision autonomously, which necessarily entails an independent decision-making process, the availability of means of assessment and a freedom to analyse and take action; considers, also, that European strategic autonomy is based on the ability of the Union to act alone when its interests are at stake (theatres of operations not considered as priorities by its European partners) or within the framework of existing cooperation arrangements; considers, lastly, that European strategic autonomy is part of a multilateral framework which respects commitments within the UN and complements the *NATO and other* alliances and partnerships to which most Member States are signed up; stresses that strategic autonomy does not mean that the Union will systematically act alone, everywhere and always;

Or. en

Amendment 132

Vangelis Meimarakis, Loucas Foulas, Stelios Kympouropoulos, Georgios Kyrtos

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Considers, therefore, that European strategic autonomy is based, above all, on the ability of the Union to assess a crisis

Amendment

10. Considers, therefore, that European strategic autonomy is based, above all, on the ability of the Union to assess a crisis

situation and take a decision autonomously, which necessarily entails an independent decision-making process, the availability of means of assessment and a freedom to analyse and take action; considers, also, that European strategic autonomy is based on the ability of the Union to act alone when its interests are at stake (theatres of operations not considered as priorities by its European partners) or within the framework of existing cooperation arrangements; considers, lastly, that European strategic autonomy is part of a multilateral framework which respects commitments within the UN and **complements** the (NATO) alliances and partnerships to which most Member States are signed up; stresses that strategic autonomy does not mean that the Union will systematically act alone, everywhere and always;

situation and take a decision autonomously, which necessarily entails an independent decision-making process, the availability of means of assessment and a freedom to analyse and take action; considers, also, that European strategic autonomy is based on the ability of the Union to act alone when its interests are at stake (theatres of operations not considered as priorities by its European partners) or within the framework of existing cooperation arrangements; considers, lastly, that European strategic autonomy is part of a multilateral framework which respects commitments within the UN and **reinforces** the (NATO) alliances and partnerships to which most Member States are signed up; stresses that strategic autonomy does not mean that the Union will systematically act alone, everywhere and always;

Or. en

Amendment 133 **Arba Kokalari**

Motion for a resolution **Paragraph 10**

Motion for a resolution

10. Considers, therefore, that European strategic autonomy is based, above all, on the ability of the Union to assess a crisis situation and take a decision autonomously, which necessarily entails an independent decision-making process, the availability of means of assessment and a freedom to analyse and take action; considers, also, that European strategic autonomy is based on the ability of the Union to act alone when its interests are at stake (theatres of operations not considered as priorities by its European partners) or within the framework of existing cooperation arrangements; **considers, lastly**, that European strategic autonomy is

Amendment

10. Considers, therefore, that European strategic autonomy is based, above all, on the ability of the Union to assess a crisis situation and take a decision autonomously, which necessarily entails an independent decision-making process, the availability of means of assessment and a freedom to analyse and take action; considers, also, that European strategic autonomy is based on the ability of the Union to act alone when its interests are at stake (theatres of operations not considered as priorities by its European partners) or within the framework of existing cooperation arrangements; **underlines** that European strategic autonomy is part of a

part of a multilateral framework which respects commitments within the UN and complements the (NATO) alliances and partnerships to which most Member States are signed up; stresses that strategic autonomy does not mean that the Union will systematically act alone, everywhere and always;

multilateral framework which respects commitments within the UN and complements the (NATO) alliances and partnerships to which most Member States are signed up; stresses that strategic autonomy does not mean that the Union will systematically act alone, everywhere and always;

Or. en

Amendment 134

Geoffrey Van Orden, Anna Fotyga, Mazaly Aguilar, Witold Jan Waszczykowski, Charlie Weimers

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11. Considers that the affirmation of European strategic autonomy depends on the establishment of European defence cooperation in the technological, capability, industrial and operational fields; considers that only practical and flexible cooperation based on pragmatic initiatives will make it possible to gradually overcome the difficulties, forge a genuine common strategic culture and shape common responses tailored to the continent's main security and defence issues;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 135

Jérôme Rivière, Thierry Mariani, Nicolas Bay

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11. Considers that the affirmation of European strategic autonomy depends on

11. Considers that the affirmation of European strategic autonomy depends on

the establishment of European defence cooperation in the technological, capability, industrial and operational fields; considers that only practical and flexible cooperation based on pragmatic initiatives will make it possible to gradually overcome the difficulties, forge a genuine common strategic culture and shape common responses tailored to the continent's main security and defence issues;

the establishment of European defence cooperation in the technological, capability, industrial and operational fields; considers that only practical and flexible cooperation based on pragmatic initiatives will make it possible to gradually overcome the difficulties, forge a genuine common strategic culture and shape common responses tailored to the continent's main security and defence issues; ***states that these collaborations must, above all, be part of an inter-state cooperation policy;***

Or. fr

Amendment 136
Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Considers that the affirmation of European strategic autonomy depends on the establishment of European defence cooperation in the technological, capability, industrial and operational fields; considers that only practical and flexible cooperation based on pragmatic initiatives will make it possible to gradually overcome the difficulties, forge a genuine common strategic culture and shape common responses tailored to the ***continent's*** main security and defence issues;

Amendment

11. Considers that the affirmation of European strategic autonomy depends on the establishment of ***a comprehensive Common Foreign and Security Policy (CFSP) supported by*** European defence cooperation in the technological, capability, industrial and operational fields; considers that only practical and flexible cooperation based on pragmatic initiatives will make it possible to gradually overcome the difficulties, forge a genuine common strategic culture and shape common responses tailored to the ***EU's*** main security and defence issues;

Or. de

Amendment 137
Mick Wallace, Idoia Villanueva Ruiz, Luke Ming Flanagan, Manu Pineda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. ***Considers that the affirmation*** of European strategic autonomy ***depends*** on the establishment of European defence cooperation in the technological, capability, industrial and operational fields; considers that only practical ***and*** flexible cooperation based on pragmatic initiatives will make it possible to gradually overcome the difficulties, forge a genuine common strategic culture and shape common responses tailored to the continent's main security ***and defence*** issues;

Amendment

11. ***Rejects the notion*** of European strategic autonomy ***being dependent*** on the establishment of European defence cooperation in the technological, capability, industrial and operational fields; considers that only practical, flexible, ***strictly peaceful and civil*** cooperation based on pragmatic initiatives will make it possible to gradually overcome the difficulties, forge a genuine common strategic culture and shape common responses tailored to the continent's main security issues;

Or. en

Amendment 138

Raphaël Glucksmann, Attila Ara-Kovács

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Considers that the affirmation of European strategic autonomy depends on the establishment of European defence ***cooperation*** in the technological, capability, industrial and operational fields; considers that only practical and flexible cooperation based on pragmatic initiatives will make it possible to gradually overcome the difficulties, forge a genuine common strategic culture and shape common responses tailored to the continent's main security and defence issues;

Amendment

11. Considers that the affirmation of European strategic autonomy depends on the establishment of European defence ***integration*** in the technological, capability, industrial and operational fields; considers that only practical and flexible cooperation based on pragmatic initiatives will make it possible to gradually overcome the difficulties, forge a genuine common strategic culture and shape common responses tailored to the continent's main security and defence issues;

Or. en

Amendment 139

Rasa Juknevičienė, Andrius Kubilius, Sandra Kalnietė

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Considers that ***the affirmation of European strategic autonomy depends on the establishment of*** European defence cooperation in ***the*** technological, capability, industrial and operational fields; considers that ***only practical and flexible*** cooperation ***based on pragmatic initiatives*** will make it possible to gradually overcome the difficulties, forge a genuine common strategic culture and shape common responses tailored to the continent's main security and defence ***issues***;

Amendment

11. Considers that European defence cooperation in technological, capability, industrial and operational fields ***is important for the affirmation of European strategic ambition***; considers that cooperation ***focused on addressing European defence capability gaps*** will make it possible to gradually overcome the difficulties, forge a genuine common strategic culture and shape common responses tailored to the continent's main security and defence ***needs***;

Or. en

Amendment 140

Hannah Neumann

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Considers that ***the affirmation of European strategic autonomy*** depends on the establishment of European defence cooperation in the technological, capability, industrial and operational fields; considers that only practical and flexible cooperation based on pragmatic initiatives will make it possible to gradually overcome the difficulties, forge a genuine common strategic culture and shape common responses tailored to the continent's main security and defence ***issues***;

Amendment

11. Considers that ***an effective CSDP also*** depends on the establishment of European defence cooperation in the technological, capability, industrial, ***trade*** and operational fields; considers that only practical and flexible cooperation based on pragmatic initiatives will make it possible to gradually overcome the difficulties, forge a genuine common strategic culture and shape common responses tailored to the continent's main security and defence ***issues***;

Or. en

Amendment 141

Urmas Paet, Hilde Vautmans, Petras Auštrevičius, Malik Azmani, Nathalie Loiseau, Michal Šimečka, Christophe Grudler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11 a. Stresses that in order to increase EU's strategic autonomy, Member States need to increase their defence spending and aim for the target of 2% of GDP; considers that increased investment in security and defence is a matter of urgency for the Member States and the EU and that defence solidarity and cooperation should become the norm;

Or. en

Amendment 142

Hannah Neumann

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12. Stresses that strategic autonomy can only be genuinely achieved if Member States demonstrate solidarity, which is reflected in particular in the need to prioritise the procurement of European capabilities where equipment is available and competitive;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 143

Bernhard Zimniok, Lars Patrick Berg

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

12. Stresses that strategic autonomy can only be genuinely achieved if Member States demonstrate solidarity, which is reflected in particular in the need to prioritise the procurement of European capabilities where equipment is available and competitive;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 144

Jérôme Rivière, Nicolas Bay, Thierry Mariani

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

12. Stresses that strategic autonomy can only be genuinely achieved if Member States demonstrate **solidarity**, which is reflected in particular in the **need** to prioritise the procurement of European capabilities where equipment is available and competitive;

12. Stresses that **European** strategic autonomy can only be genuinely achieved if Member States demonstrate **cooperation**, which is reflected in particular in the **capacity** to prioritise the procurement of European capabilities where equipment is available and competitive; **points out that the CJEU explicitly stated in its judgment of 10 March 2005 (Kingdom of Spain v Council of the European Union) that the concept of Community preference is a political principle rather than a legal principle and cannot therefore be used as a counter-argument against the free-trade dogma that the EU continues to impose; recognises the sovereignty of the Member States in their strategic and military choices, particularly with regard to supplying their armed forces with the requisite equipment;**

Or. fr

Amendment 145

Geoffrey Van Orden, Anna Fotyga, Mazaly Aguilar, Witold Jan Waszczykowski

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Stresses that strategic autonomy can only be genuinely achieved if Member States demonstrate solidarity, which is reflected in particular in the need to prioritise the procurement of European capabilities where equipment is available and competitive;

Amendment

12. Stresses that strategic autonomy can only be genuinely achieved if Member States demonstrate solidarity, which is reflected in particular in the need to prioritise the procurement of European capabilities where equipment is available and competitive ***with the primary objective being to ensure interoperability with major Allies and avoid duplication among European forces to facilitate rapid deployment in as seamless a manner as possible;***

Or. en

Amendment 146
Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Stresses that strategic autonomy can only be genuinely achieved if Member States demonstrate solidarity, which is reflected in particular in the need to prioritise the procurement of European capabilities where equipment is available and competitive;

Amendment

12. Stresses that strategic autonomy can only be genuinely achieved if Member States demonstrate solidarity, which is reflected in particular in the need to prioritise the procurement of European capabilities where equipment ***meets the highest standards,*** is available and competitive, ***in order to secure reciprocal access to highly protected armaments markets at the same time;***

Or. de

Amendment 147
Mick Wallace, Idoia Villanueva Ruiz, Luke Ming Flanagan, Manu Pineda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Stresses that strategic autonomy can only be genuinely achieved if Member States demonstrate solidarity, ***which is reflected in particular in the need to prioritise the procurement of European capabilities where equipment is available and competitive;***

Amendment

12. Stresses that strategic autonomy can only be genuinely achieved if Member States demonstrate solidarity;

Or. en

Amendment 148

Rasa Juknevičienė, Andrius Kubilius, Sandra Kalnietė

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Stresses that ***strategic autonomy can only be genuinely achieved if Member States demonstrate solidarity, which is reflected in particular in the need to prioritise the procurement of European capabilities where equipment is available and competitive;***

Amendment

12. Stresses that ***for effective use of*** available ***resources innovative*** and competitive ***defence industry in Europe is necessary;***

Or. en

Amendment 149

Assita Kanko

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Stresses that strategic autonomy can only be genuinely achieved if Member States demonstrate solidarity, which is reflected in particular in the need to prioritise the procurement of European capabilities where equipment is available and competitive;

Amendment

12. Stresses that strategic autonomy can only be genuinely achieved if Member States demonstrate solidarity, which is reflected in particular in the need to prioritise the procurement of European capabilities where equipment is available and competitive ***and on condition that***

public procurement procedures are correctly applied;

Or. nl

Amendment 150

Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Stresses that strategic autonomy can only be genuinely achieved if Member States demonstrate *solidarity*, which is *reflected in particular* in the need to prioritise the procurement of European capabilities where equipment is available and competitive;

Amendment

12. Stresses that strategic autonomy can only be genuinely achieved if Member States demonstrate *political will, cohesion and solidarity* which is *also reflected* in the need to prioritise the procurement of European capabilities where equipment is available and competitive;

Or. it

Amendment 151

Željana Zovko

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Stresses that strategic autonomy can only be genuinely achieved if Member States demonstrate solidarity, which is reflected in particular in the need to prioritise the procurement of European capabilities where equipment is available and competitive;

Amendment

12. Stresses that *European* strategic autonomy can only be genuinely achieved if Member States demonstrate solidarity, which is reflected in particular in the need to prioritise the procurement of European capabilities where equipment is available and competitive;

Or. en

Amendment 152

Nathalie Loiseau, Javier Nart, Bernard Guetta, Petras Auštrevičius, Christophe Grudler, Ilhan Kyuchyuk, Urmas Paet, Hilde Vautmans, Martin Horwood, Klemen

Grošelj

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Stresses that ***strategic autonomy can only be genuinely achieved if Member States demonstrate solidarity, which is reflected in particular in the need to prioritise the procurement of European capabilities where equipment is available and competitive;***

Amendment

12. Stresses that ***the introduction of the concept of independent strategic autonomy requires the Member States to demonstrate their determination to prioritise the procurement of European capabilities where equipment is available and competitive;***

Or. fr

Amendment 153
Arba Kokalari

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Stresses that strategic autonomy can only be genuinely achieved if Member States demonstrate solidarity, which is reflected in particular in the need to ***prioritise the procurement of European capabilities where equipment is available and competitive;***

Amendment

12. Stresses that strategic autonomy can only be genuinely achieved if Member States demonstrate solidarity, which is reflected in particular in the need to ***secure capacity and capabilities in Europe and the availability of competitive equipment;***

Or. en

Amendment 154
Geoffrey Van Orden, Anna Fotyga, Mazaly Aguilar, Witold Jan Waszczykowski, Charlie Weimers

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. ***Considers that the principle of European strategic autonomy is a***

Amendment

deleted

legitimate and necessary ambition and that it must remain a priority objective of European defence policy; stresses that its practical and operational implementation is a common responsibility of European states;

Or. en

Amendment 155

Mick Wallace, Idoia Villanueva Ruiz, Luke Ming Flanagan, Manu Pineda

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Considers that the principle of European strategic autonomy *is a legitimate and necessary ambition and that it must remain a priority objective of European defence policy; stresses that its practical and operational implementation is a common responsibility of European states;*

Amendment

13. Considers that the principle of European strategic autonomy *formulated as an ambition to increase military spending and further the militarisation of the EU is an ethically illegitimate concern;*

Or. en

Amendment 156

Hannah Neumann

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Considers that the principle of *European strategic autonomy* is a legitimate and necessary ambition and that it must remain a priority objective of European defence policy; stresses that its practical and operational implementation is a common responsibility of European states;

Amendment

13. Considers that the principle of *a sovereign, comprehensive and effective Common Security and Defence Policy* is a legitimate and necessary ambition and that it must remain a priority objective of European defence policy; stresses that its practical and operational implementation is a common responsibility of European states;

Amendment 157

Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Considers that ***the principle of European*** strategic autonomy is a legitimate and necessary ambition and that it must remain a priority objective of European defence policy; stresses that its practical and operational implementation is a common responsibility of European states;

Amendment

13. Considers that ***Europe's*** strategic autonomy is a legitimate and necessary ambition and that it must remain a priority objective of ***the Common Foreign and Security Policy (CFSP) and*** European defence policy; stresses that its practical and operational implementation is a common responsibility of European states;

Or. de

Amendment 158

Nathalie Loiseau, Javier Nart, Bernard Guetta, Petras Auštrevičius, Christophe Grudler, Ilhan Kyuchyuk, Hilde Vautmans, Martin Horwood, Klemen Grošelj, Malik Azmani

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. ***Considers*** that the principle of European strategic autonomy is a legitimate and necessary ambition and that it must remain a priority objective of European defence policy; stresses that its practical and operational implementation ***is a common responsibility of European*** states;

Amendment

13. ***Reiterates*** that the principle of European strategic autonomy is a legitimate and necessary ambition and that it must remain a priority objective of European defence policy; stresses that its practical and operational implementation ***falls to both the EU and its Member States;***

Or. fr

Amendment 159

Rasa Juknevičienė, Andrius Kubilius, Sandra Kalniete

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Considers that ***the principle of*** European strategic autonomy is a legitimate ***and necessary*** ambition and that it ***must remain a priority objective of*** European defence policy; stresses that its practical and operational implementation is ***a common responsibility of*** European states;

Amendment

13. Considers that European strategic autonomy is a legitimate ambition and that it ***has a positive impact for*** European defence policy; stresses that its practical and operational implementation is ***to be discussed among*** European states;

Or. en

Amendment 160
Raphaël Glucksmann

Motion for a resolution
Subheading 3

Motion for a resolution

Real progress to be consolidated in order to ***strengthen*** European strategic autonomy

Amendment

Real progress to be consolidated in order to ***achieve*** European strategic autonomy

Or. en

Amendment 161
Mick Wallace, Idoia Villanueva Ruiz, Luke Ming Flanagan, Manu Pineda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Maintains that European strategic autonomy must take practical form in the areas of industry, capability (joint programmes, investment in defence technologies) and operations (financing of operations, capacity building for partners, capacity to plan and conduct missions);

Amendment

deleted

Amendment 162

Geoffrey Van Orden, Anna Fotyga, Mazaly Aguilar, Witold Jan Waszczykowski, Charlie Weimers

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Maintains that European strategic autonomy must take practical form in the areas of industry, capability (joint programmes, investment in defence technologies) and operations (financing of operations, capacity building for partners, capacity to plan and conduct missions);

Amendment

14. Maintains that European strategic autonomy must take practical form in the areas of industry, capability (joint programmes, investment in defence technologies) and operations (financing of operations, capacity building for partners, capacity to plan and conduct missions) ***and can be based upon the successes of the NATO Industrial Advisory group and be complementary to the existing commitments of Member States who are part of the Transatlantic Defence Technological and Industrial Cooperation (TADIC);***

Amendment 163

Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Maintains that European strategic autonomy must take practical form in the areas of industry, capability (joint programmes, investment in defence technologies) and operations (financing of operations, capacity building for partners, capacity to plan and conduct missions);

Amendment

14. Maintains that European strategic autonomy must take practical form in the areas of ***foreign and security policy***, industry, capability (joint programmes, investment in defence technologies) and operations (financing of operations, capacity building for partners, capacity to plan and conduct missions);

Amendment 164

Hannah Neumann

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Maintains that **European strategic autonomy** must take practical form in the areas of industry, capability (joint programmes, investment in defence technologies) and operations (financing of operations, capacity **building for partners, capacity** to plan and conduct missions);

Amendment

14. Maintains that **a sovereign and effective CSDP** must take practical form in the areas of industry, capability (joint programmes, investment in defence technologies) and operations (financing of operations, capacity to plan and conduct missions) **and be accompanied a responsible EU-level arms control policy**;

Or. en

Amendment 165

Joachim Schuster

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. **Maintains** that European strategic autonomy must **take practical form in the areas of industry, capability (joint programmes, investment in defence technologies) and operations (financing of operations, capacity building for partners, capacity to plan and conduct missions)**;

Amendment

14. **Consider** that European strategic autonomy must **pursue a restrictive arms export policy for all types of weapons and therefore calls for dual-use goods to be subject to strict European rules and parliamentary control; urge the Member States to comply with the EU Code of Conduct on Arms Exports**;

Or. en

Amendment 166

Rasa Juknevičienė, Andrius Kubilius, Sandra Kalnietė

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Maintains that European **strategic autonomy must take** practical **form** in the areas of industry, capability (joint programmes, investment in defence technologies) and operations (financing of operations, capacity building for partners, capacity to plan and conduct missions);

Amendment

14. Maintains that European **defence initiatives must provide** practical **gains** in the areas of industry, capability (joint programmes, investment in defence technologies) and operations (financing of operations, capacity building for partners, capacity to plan and conduct missions);

Or. en

Amendment 167

Bernhard Zimniok, Lars Patrick Berg

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Maintains that European strategic autonomy **must** take practical form in **the** areas **of** industry, capability (joint programmes, investment in defence technologies) and operations (financing of operations, capacity building for partners, capacity to plan and conduct missions);

Amendment

14. Maintains that European strategic autonomy **could** take practical form in **such** areas **as:** industry, capability (joint programmes, investment in defence technologies) and operations (financing of operations, capacity building for partners, capacity to plan and conduct missions);

Or. en

Amendment 168

Pierfrancesco Majorino

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 a. considers appropriate to pursue a restrictive arms export policy for all types of weapons, including for dual-use goods; urges Member States to comply with the EU Code of Conduct on Arms Exports; reiterates the need for the strict application by all Member States of the

*rules laid down in Council Common
Position 2008/944/CFSP on arms exports,
including the firm application of criterion
two on respect for human rights in the
country of final destination;*

Or. en

Amendment 169

Jérôme Rivière, Nicolas Bay, Thierry Mariani

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15. Considers that Europe's defence is based largely on the Union's capacity to intervene militarily, in a credible manner, in external theatres of operations; *deleted*

Or. fr

Amendment 170

Joachim Schuster

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15. Considers that Europe's defence is based largely on the Union's capacity to intervene militarily, in a credible manner, in external theatres of operations; *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 171

Martin Horwood, Phil Bennion, Klemen Grošelj

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Considers that Europe's defence is based largely on the Union's capacity to intervene militarily, in a credible manner, in external theatres of operations;

Amendment

15. Considers that Europe's defence is based largely on the Union's capacity ***and in the political willingness of Member States*** to intervene militarily, in a credible manner, in external theatres of operations; ***maintains that the Union disposes of considerable human, financial, technical and military resources, endowing it with an unique capacity to conduct military and civilian operations and respond promptly and pre-emptively to future security challenges, for instance through active peacekeeping missions;***

Or. en

Amendment 172

Mick Wallace, Idoia Villanueva Ruiz, Luke Ming Flanagan, Manu Pineda

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Considers that Europe's ***defence is based largely on the Union's capacity to intervene militarily, in a credible manner, in external theatres of operations;***

Amendment

15. Considers that Europe's ***security is best defended by focusing on poverty eradication, unconditional humanitarian aid, sustainable and fair economic development, stopping global tax regimes from facilitating corruption, peaceful and diplomatic conflict resolution, disarmament, demobilisation of troops and reintegration programmes;***

Or. en

Amendment 173

Geoffrey Van Orden, Anna Fotyga, Mazaly Aguilar, Witold Jan Waszczykowski, Charlie Weimers

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. *Considers that Europe's defence is based largely on the Union's capacity to intervene militarily, in a credible manner, in external theatres of operations;*

Amendment

15. *Acknowledges that CSDP activities are small-scale operations within the European periphery and have limited manpower and objectives recognizes that the EU cannot replicate or replace the command and defence planning structures of NATO and its role as the cornerstone of European defence;*

Or. en

Amendment 174
Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Considers that **Europe's** defence is based largely on the **Union's** capacity to intervene **militarily**, in a credible manner, in external theatres of operations;

Amendment

15. Considers that **the Common Security and Defence Policy (CSDP)** is based largely on the **Member States'** capacity to intervene in a credible manner in external theatres of operations **on the basis of security policy considerations, including as a last resort by taking military action;**

Or. de

Amendment 175
Bernhard Zimniok, Lars Patrick Berg

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Considers that Europe's defence is based largely on the **Union's capacity to intervene militarily, in a credible manner, in external theatres of operations;**

Amendment

15. Considers that Europe's defence **capability** is based largely on the **member states ability, alone or in cooperation, and willingness to uphold rule of law within their own territory as well as to control their border and especially the EU**

external ***border***;

Or. en

Amendment 176

Urmas Paet, Malik Azmani, Javier Nart

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Considers that Europe's defence is based largely on the Union's capacity to intervene militarily, in a credible manner, in external theatres of operations;

Amendment

15. Considers that Europe's defence is based largely on the Union's capacity to intervene militarily, in a credible manner, in external theatres of operations; ***notes the importance of exchange of information with NATO in this context***;

Or. en

Amendment 177

Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Considers that ***Europe's*** defence is based largely on the Union's capacity to intervene ***militarily, in a credible manner, in external theatres of operations***;

Amendment

15. Considers that ***the*** defence ***of Europeans values and interests*** is based largely on the Union's capacity to intervene ***at the right time with the entire panoply of options available to it in areas where its interests are at stake***;

Or. it

Amendment 178

Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Considers that Europe's defence is ***based largely*** on the Union's capacity to intervene ***militarily***, in a credible manner, in external theatres of operations;

Amendment

15. Considers that Europe's defence is ***partially based*** on the Union's capacity to intervene in a credible manner in external theatres of ***operations in the course of CSDP civil and military*** operations;

Or. fr

Amendment 179

Željana Zovko

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Considers that Europe's defence is based largely on the Union's capacity to intervene ***militarily***, in a credible manner, in external theatres of operations;

Amendment

15. Considers that Europe's defence is based largely on the Union's capacity to intervene ***militarily***, in a credible manner ***and without unnecessary delay***, in external theatres of operations;

Or. en

Amendment 180

Rasa Juknevičienė, Andrius Kubilius, Sandra Kalnietė

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Considers that Europe's defence is based largely on the Union's capacity to ***intervene*** ***militarily***, in a credible manner, in external theatres of operations;

Amendment

15. Considers that Europe's defence is based largely on ***solid transatlantic alliance***, the Union's capacity to ***act*** ***militarily***, in a credible manner, in external theatres of operations;

Or. en

Amendment 181

Martin Horwood, Malik Azmani, Phil Bennion, Klemen Grošelj

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15 a. *Stresses that, since the adoption of the 2016 EU Global Strategy, the proliferation of regional and local conflicts, not least in the vicinity of our immediate neighbourhood, poses many challenges for the Union's security, as they have often spill-over effects; considers, in this regard, that the Union should become a more robust actor in crisis management, conflict resolution and in peace-keeping, whenever possible in concert with other regional and international organisations such as the UN and the African Union, in line with its commitments towards multilateralism, but also on its own, when the situation so requires;*

Or. en

Amendment 182
Hannah Neumann
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15 a. *Encourages the EEAS and Member States to introduce a more forward-looking approach to capability planning and development and to anticipate future needs for a strong EU response to crises and conflicts to strengthen civilian missions in the longer term;*

Or. en

Amendment 183
Bernhard Zimniok, Lars Patrick Berg

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Notes that the Union currently has a presence on three continents through the deployment of 16 civilian or military missions (10 civilian and six military, of which three are executive and three are non-executive missions); recognises the contribution made by these missions to peace and international security and stability; stresses that their implementation must be accompanied by an overhaul of the instruments laid down in the Lisbon Treaty and introduced in recent years, in order to make them more effective;

Amendment

16. Notes that the Union currently has a presence on three continents through the deployment of 16 civilian or military missions (10 civilian and six military, of which three are executive and three are non-executive missions); recognises the contribution made by these missions to peace and international security and stability; stresses that their implementation must be accompanied by an **major** overhaul of the instruments laid down in the Lisbon Treaty and introduced in recent years, in order to make them more effective **and to reduce them in scope and number enabling the Européen partners to only intervene where it is absolutely needed and to enable the best possible conditions for a successful mission implementation**;

Or. en

Amendment 184
Tonino Picula

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Notes that the Union currently has a presence on three continents through the deployment of 16 civilian or military missions (10 civilian and six military, of which three are executive and three are non-executive missions); recognises the contribution made by these missions to peace and international security and stability; stresses that their implementation must be accompanied by an overhaul of the

Amendment

16. Notes that the Union currently has a presence on three continents through the deployment of 16 civilian or military missions (10 civilian and six military, of which three are executive and three are non-executive missions); **reiterates the importance of EULEX in Kosovo, the largest CSDP civilian mission, as well as the EUFOR Mission to BiH, as important contributors to security of the Western**

instruments laid down in the Lisbon Treaty and introduced in recent years, in order to make them more effective;

Balkans; recognises the contribution made by these missions to peace and international security and stability; stresses that their implementation must be accompanied by an overhaul of the instruments laid down in the Lisbon Treaty and introduced in recent years, in order to make them more effective;

Or. en

Amendment 185

Hannah Neumann

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Notes that the Union currently has a presence on three continents through the deployment of 16 civilian or military missions (10 civilian and six military, of which three are executive and three are non-executive missions); recognises the contribution made by these missions to peace and international security and stability; stresses that their implementation must be accompanied by an overhaul of the instruments laid down in the Lisbon Treaty and introduced in recent years, in order to make them more effective;

Amendment

16. Notes that the Union currently has a presence on three continents through the deployment of 16 civilian or military missions (10 civilian and six military, of which three are executive and three are non-executive missions); recognises the contribution made by these missions to peace and international security and stability; stresses that their implementation must be accompanied by an overhaul of the instruments laid down in the Lisbon Treaty and introduced in recent years, in order to make them more effective; ***promotes a higher level of effectiveness of CSDP missions by reaching the 70 percent target in seconded personnel and calls on the Member States to make stronger contributions***;

Or. en

Amendment 186

Jérôme Rivière, Nicolas Bay, Thierry Mariani

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Notes that the Union currently has a presence on three continents through the deployment of 16 civilian or military missions (10 civilian and six military, of which three are executive and three are non-executive missions); recognises the contribution made by these missions to peace and international security and stability; ***stresses that their implementation must be accompanied by an overhaul of the instruments laid down in the Lisbon Treaty and introduced in recent years, in order to make them more effective;***

Amendment

16. Notes that the Union currently has a presence on three continents through the deployment of 16 civilian or military missions (10 civilian and six military, of which three are executive and three are non-executive missions); recognises the contribution made by these missions to peace and international security and stability;

Or. fr

Amendment 187

Mick Wallace, Luke Ming Flanagan, Manu Pineda

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Notes that the Union currently has a presence on three continents through the deployment of 16 civilian or military missions (10 civilian and six military, of which three are executive and three are non-executive missions); recognises ***the contribution made by*** these missions to peace ***and*** international security and stability; ***stresses that their implementation must be accompanied by an overhaul of the instruments laid down in the Lisbon Treaty and introduced in recent years, in order to make them more effective;***

Amendment

16. Notes that the Union currently has a presence on three continents through the deployment of 16 civilian or military missions (10 civilian and six military, of which three are executive and three are non-executive missions); recognises ***that none of*** these missions ***have contributed substantially*** to peace, international security, and stability;

Or. en

Amendment 188

Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Notes that the Union currently has a presence on three continents through the deployment of 16 civilian or military missions (10 civilian and six military, of which three are executive and three are non-executive missions); recognises the contribution made by these missions to peace and international security and stability; stresses that their implementation must be accompanied by an overhaul of *the* instruments laid down in the Lisbon Treaty and introduced in recent years, in order to make them more effective;

Amendment

16. Notes that the Union currently has a presence on three continents through the deployment of 16 civilian or military missions (10 civilian and six military, of which three are executive and three are non-executive missions); recognises the contribution made by these missions to peace and international security and stability; stresses that their implementation must be accompanied by an overhaul of *selected* instruments laid down in the Lisbon Treaty and introduced in recent years, in order to make them more effective *and enhance the security of EU citizens*;

Or. de

Amendment 189

Hannah Neumann

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16 a. Welcomes the European Court of Auditors involvement in auditing CSDP missions and operations and encourages the production of further special reports on other missions and operations;

Or. en

Amendment 190

Radosław Sikorski

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16 a. Stresses that the EU should be able to deploy peacekeeping capability in the frozen conflicts of the post Soviet space;

Or. en

Amendment 191

Geoffrey Van Orden, Anna Fotyga, Mazaly Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17. Calls on the Member States and European bodies to prioritise and maintain a high level of commitment in Africa; welcomes, therefore, the Council's decision of July 2018 to extend the mandate of the EUTM RCA military training mission for two years and its intention to launch a civilian mission to complement the military component; notes that these recent developments are a positive sign of re-engagement on the part of the Member States;

17. Calls on the Member States and European bodies to prioritise and maintain a high level of commitment in Africa; welcomes, therefore, the Council's decision of July 2018 to extend the mandate of the EUTM RCA military training mission for two years and its intention to launch a civilian mission to complement the military component; notes that these recent developments are a positive sign of re-engagement on the part of the Member States; ***acknowledges that closer cooperation with existing regional forces such as the African Union, G5 Sahel Force and Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS) as well as the US, are essential to successful operations in Africa;***

Or. en

Amendment 192

Hannah Neumann

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Calls on the Member States and European bodies to prioritise and maintain a high level of commitment in Africa; welcomes, therefore, the Council's decision of July 2018 to extend the mandate of the EUTM RCA military training mission for two years and its intention to launch a civilian mission to complement the military component; notes that these recent developments are a positive sign of re-engagement on the part of the Member States;

Amendment

17. Calls on the Member States and European bodies to prioritise and maintain a high level of commitment in Africa; welcomes, therefore, the Council's decision of July 2018 to extend the mandate of the EUTM RCA military training mission for two years and its intention to launch a civilian mission to complement the military component; notes that these recent developments are a positive sign of re-engagement on the part of the Member States ***but stresses that the security and human rights situation in the country remains highly problematic;***

Or. en

Amendment 193

Rasa Juknevičienė, Andrius Kubilius, Sandra Kalnietė

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Calls on the Member States and European bodies to ***prioritise and maintain a high level of commitment in Africa; welcomes, therefore,*** the Council's decision of July 2018 to extend the mandate of the EUTM RCA military training mission for two years and its intention to launch a civilian mission to complement the military component; notes that these recent developments are a positive sign of re-engagement on the part of the Member States;

Amendment

17. Calls on the Member States and European bodies to maintain ***the right geographical balance, ensure effective use of resources; in this spirit acknowledges*** the Council's decision of July 2018 to extend the mandate of the EUTM RCA military training mission for two years and its intention to launch a civilian mission to complement the military component; notes that these recent developments are a positive sign of re-engagement on the part of the Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 194

Mick Wallace, Idoia Villanueva Ruiz, Luke Ming Flanagan, Manu Pineda

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Calls on the Member States and European bodies to prioritise and maintain a high level of commitment in Africa; **welcomes, therefore**, the Council's decision of July 2018 to extend the mandate of the EUTM RCA military training mission for two years and its intention to launch a civilian mission to complement the military component; notes that these recent developments are a **positive** sign of re-engagement on the part of the Member States;

Amendment

17. Calls on the Member States and European bodies to prioritise and maintain a high level of commitment in Africa; **regrets**, the Council's decision of July 2018 to extend the mandate of the EUTM RCA military training mission for two years and its intention to launch a civilian mission to complement the military component; notes that these recent developments are a sign of re-engagement on the part of the Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 195

Hannah Neumann

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17 a. Notes the fact that in the Middle East, a region which is crucial for European security, the Union has three CSDP missions in place, EUBAM Rafah (Tel Aviv), EUPOL COPPS in the Palestinian Territories (Ramallah) and EUAM Iraq (Bagdad); deplores the outbreak of violence in Iraq and the shooting of protestors by security personnel; urges the EU and in particular EUAM to review its engagement as regards security sector reform in light of that unacceptable death toll amongst protestors;

Or. en

Amendment 196
Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Stresses the Union's comprehensive commitment in the Sahel and the Horn of Africa through six civilian (EUCAP Mali, EUCAP Niger, EUCAP Somalia) and military (EUTM Mali, EUTM Somalia, ATALANTA) missions; welcomes and encourages the efforts made to regionalise the functioning of civilian missions in the Sahel in the face of security challenges extending beyond those countries where European missions are deployed;

Amendment

18. Stresses the Union's comprehensive commitment in the Sahel and the Horn of Africa through six civilian (EUCAP Mali, EUCAP Niger, EUCAP Somalia) and military (EUTM Mali, EUTM Somalia, ATALANTA) missions; welcomes and encourages the efforts made to regionalise the functioning of civilian missions in the Sahel in the face of security challenges extending beyond those countries where European missions are deployed; ***criticises, in that connection, the fact that the EEAS laid down no suitable indicators to monitor the outcome of the EUCAP Niger and EUCAP Mali missions and that the monitoring and assessment of the mission activities were inadequate and not geared to the implications;***

Or. de

Amendment 197
Nathalie Loiseau, Javier Nart, Bernard Guetta, Petras Auštrevičius, Christophe Grudler, Ilhan Kyuchyuk, Urmas Paet, Hilde Vautmans, Martin Horwood, Malik Azmani

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Stresses the Union's comprehensive commitment in the Sahel and the Horn of Africa through six civilian (EUCAP Mali, EUCAP Niger, EUCAP Somalia) and military (EUTM Mali, EUTM Somalia, ATALANTA) missions; welcomes and encourages the efforts made to regionalise the functioning of civilian missions in the Sahel in the face of security challenges

Amendment

18. Stresses the Union's comprehensive commitment in the Sahel and the Horn of Africa through six civilian (EUCAP Mali, EUCAP Niger, EUCAP Somalia) and military (EUTM Mali, EUTM Somalia, ATALANTA) missions; welcomes and encourages the efforts made to regionalise the functioning of civilian missions in the Sahel in the face of security challenges

extending beyond those countries where European missions are deployed;

extending beyond those countries where European missions are deployed ***and welcomes EU support for the G5 Sahel operation;***

Or. fr

Amendment 198

Hannah Neumann

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18 a. Is deeply concerned about UN/MINUSMA investigated and reported cases of dozens of very serious human rights abuses committed by Malian security forces which might amount to war crimes under humanitarian law; urges the HR/VP to make sure that the EU's partners strictly comply with international humanitarian and human rights law, and legally binding EU regulations and that those cases are brought to justice without delay; calls on the EEAS to report to Parliament about these cases as a matter of urgency;

Or. en

Amendment 199

Mick Wallace, Luke Ming Flanagan, Manu Pineda

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18 a. Questions whether missions such as EUTM Mali are helping the region or aggravating the situation; notes that there have been multiple attacks on EUTM Mali soldiers, UN MINUSMA soldiers,

Or. en

Amendment 200

Bernhard Zimniok, Lars Patrick Berg

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Is concerned at the deteriorating situation in Burkina Faso; *wonders whether it would be appropriate to deploy a civilian and/or military mission in order to strengthen security sector governance, human rights and the restoration of people's trust in their security forces;*

Amendment

19. Is concerned at the deteriorating situation in Burkina Faso;

Or. en

Amendment 201

Hannah Neumann

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Is concerned at the deteriorating situation in Burkina Faso; wonders whether it would be appropriate to deploy a civilian and/or military mission in order to strengthen security sector governance, human rights and the restoration of people's trust in their security forces;

Amendment

19. Is concerned at the deteriorating situation in Burkina Faso; wonders whether it would be appropriate to deploy a civilian and/or military mission in order to strengthen security sector governance, *democratic control of armed forces and civilian control of the entire security sector including strong accountability,* human rights and the restoration of people's trust in their security forces;

Or. en

Amendment 202

Assita Kanko

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Is concerned at the deteriorating situation in Burkina Faso; **wonders whether it would be appropriate to deploy** a civilian and/or military mission in order to strengthen security sector governance, human rights and the restoration of people's trust in their security forces;

Amendment

19. is concerned at the deteriorating situation in Burkina Faso **and its geopolitical implications for the Sahel region and the West, which may justify** a civilian and/or military mission in order to strengthen security sector governance, human rights and the restoration of people's trust in their security forces;

Or. nl

Amendment 203

Rasa Juknevičienė, Andrius Kubilius, Sandra Kalnietė

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Is concerned at the deteriorating situation in Burkina Faso; wonders whether it would be appropriate to deploy a civilian **and/or military** mission in order to strengthen security sector governance, human rights and the restoration of people's trust in their security forces;

Amendment

19. Is concerned at the deteriorating situation in Burkina Faso; wonders whether it would be appropriate to deploy a civilian mission in order to strengthen security sector governance, human rights and the restoration of people's trust in their security forces;

Or. en

Amendment 204

Mick Wallace, Idoia Villanueva Ruiz, Luke Ming Flanagan, Manu Pineda

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Is concerned at the deteriorating

Amendment

19. Is concerned at the deteriorating

situation in Burkina Faso; wonders whether it would be appropriate to deploy a civilian *and/or military* mission in order to strengthen security sector governance, human rights and the restoration of people's trust in their security forces;

situation in Burkina Faso; wonders whether it would be appropriate to deploy a civilian mission in order to strengthen security sector governance, human rights and the restoration of people's trust in their security forces;

Or. en

Amendment 205

Željana Zovko

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 19 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

19 a. Reiterates the strategic importance of the Western Balkans for the security and stability of the EU; stresses the need to improve the EU's engagement, integration and coordination in the region, including by the mandate of the EU's CSDP missions; reiterates that the EU's policy on the Western Balkans aims to align the countries in the region with the EU acquis and assist them towards accession, increasing the management of peace and stability for the Europe as a whole;

Or. en

Amendment 206

Urmas Paet, Hilde Vautmans, Petras Auštrevičius, Klemen Grošelj, Malik Azmani, Nathalie Loiseau, Michal Šimečka, Christophe Grudler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 19 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

19 a. Reiterates the strategic importance of the Eastern Europe and Western Balkans for the stability and security of the EU and the need to focus and

strengthen the EU's political engagement towards these regions, including the strong mandate for the EU's CSDP missions;

Or. en

Amendment 207
Željana Zovko

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

19 b. Emphasizes the pivotal role of Operation EUFOR Althea in Bosnia and Herzegovina for the developments towards and the maintenance of peace and security in the country and the region; welcomes the Council's conclusions of October 2019 that supports the continued presence European military forces in Bosnia and Herzegovina;

Or. en

Amendment 208
Hannah Neumann
on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20. Calls for the swift implementation of the compact on civilian missions adopted in November 2018 by the Council and the Member States with a view to enhancing civilian CSDP resources in order to make the missions more flexible and more operational, a prerequisite for the effectiveness and efficiency of Union action on the ground;

20. Calls for the swift implementation of the compact on civilian missions adopted in November 2018 by the Council and the Member States with a view to enhancing civilian CSDP resources in order to make the missions more flexible and more operational, a prerequisite for the effectiveness and efficiency of Union action on the ground; ***urges Member States to shape a solid annual review that***

helps to take stock of progress in implementing the civilian CSDP Compact and can support the further professionalization civilian CSDP beyond 2023, including measures to ensure accountability of all involved actors for achievements; calls on Member States to test the newly introduced concept of specialized teams in the field as soon as possible through a pilot, using it as a means to make specialized capabilities available for a limited period of time and fill current capability gaps, and evaluate lessons from first deployments;

Or. en

Amendment 209

Bernhard Zimniok, Lars Patrick Berg

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. Calls for the ***swift*** implementation of the compact on civilian missions adopted in November 2018 by the Council ***and the Member States with a view to enhancing*** civilian CSDP resources in order to make ***the*** missions ***more flexible and more operational, a prerequisite for the effectiveness and efficiency of Union action on the ground;***

Amendment

20. Calls for the implementation of the compact on civilian missions adopted in November 2018 by the Council ***to be halted until such time a major revision of the European concept of civilian missions managed by the EU is fully reviewed and a full evaluation is carried out in regard to the CSDP missions already undertaken in order to ensure a major change in how these missions are initiated, planned and implemented*** in order to make ***these*** missions ***transparent, accountable and ensure that these missions possess the ability to operate to the same standards as the European ideals of rule of law and human rights that they are set to implement;***

Or. en

Amendment 210

Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. Calls for the swift implementation of the compact on civilian missions adopted in November 2018 by the Council and the Member States with a view to enhancing civilian CSDP resources in order to make the missions more flexible and more operational, a prerequisite for the effectiveness and efficiency of Union action on the ground;

Amendment

20. Calls for the swift implementation of the compact on civilian missions adopted in November 2018 by the Council and the Member States with a view to enhancing civilian CSDP resources in order to ***reach the agreed staffing levels and*** make the missions more flexible and more operational, a prerequisite for the effectiveness and efficiency of Union action on the ground;

Or. de

Amendment 211

Vangelis Meimarakis, Loucas Fourlas, Stelios Kypourouopoulos, Georgios Kyrtos

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. Calls for the ***swift*** implementation of the compact on civilian missions adopted in November 2018 by the Council and the Member States with a view to enhancing civilian CSDP resources in order to make the missions more flexible and more operational, a prerequisite for the effectiveness and efficiency of Union action on the ground;

Amendment

20. Calls for the ***effective*** implementation of the compact on civilian missions adopted in November 2018 by the Council and the Member States with a view to enhancing civilian CSDP resources in order to make the missions more flexible and more operational, a prerequisite for the effectiveness and efficiency of Union action on the ground;

Or. en

Amendment 212
Sandra Kalniete

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 a (new)

20 a. Highlights that currently there are 10 civilian CSDP missions with high added value to peace and security deployed in the EU's neighbourhood: Africa and the Middle East, the Western Balkans, Eastern Europe;

Or. en

Amendment 213

Hannah Neumann

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 20 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 a. Highlights that the implementation of the civilian CSDP Compact should not be the end state of strengthening civilian CSDP;

Or. en

Amendment 214

Javier Nart, Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Christophe Grudler, Nathalie Loiseau

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21. Notes, however, that the effectiveness of CSDP missions and operations in general is being hampered by an increasing reluctance on the part of Member States and the European institutions to make such missions and operations more robust, both in terms of human resources and their mandates; notes that CSDP military operations increasingly tend to be based on armed forces training

21. Notes, however, that the effectiveness of CSDP missions and operations in general is being hampered by an increasing reluctance on the part of Member States and the European institutions to make such missions and operations more robust, both in terms of human resources and their mandates; notes that CSDP military operations increasingly tend to be based on armed forces training

(EUTM), with no executive dimension;

(EUTM), with no executive dimension *and notes that, although EUTM personnel do valuable work, owing to training limits and the absence of weapons, the units formed are unable to operate adequately and incapable of containing armed rebellions and the progression of jihadist terrorism;*

Or. fr

Amendment 215

Jérôme Rivière, Nicolas Bay, Thierry Mariani

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. *Notes, however, that the effectiveness of CSDP missions and operations in general is being hampered by an increasing reluctance on the part of Member States and the European institutions to make such missions and operations more robust, both in terms of human resources and their mandates; notes that CSDP military operations increasingly tend to be based on armed forces training (EUTM), with no executive dimension;*

Amendment

21. *welcomes the fact* that CSDP military operations increasingly tend to be based on armed forces training (EUTM), with no executive dimension;

Or. fr

Amendment 216

Hannah Neumann

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Notes, however, that the effectiveness of CSDP missions and operations in general is being hampered by

Amendment

21. Notes, however, that the effectiveness of CSDP missions and operations in general is being hampered by

an increasing reluctance on the part of Member States and the European institutions to make such missions and operations more robust, both in terms of human resources and their mandates; notes that CSDP military operations increasingly tend to be based on armed forces training (EUTM), with no executive dimension;

an increasing reluctance on the part of Member States and the European institutions to make such missions and operations more robust, both in terms of human resources and their mandates; notes that CSDP military operations increasingly tend to be based on armed forces training (EUTM), with no executive dimension ***which would underline the readiness to invest in post-conflict stabilisation, peacekeeping, peace enforcement and other demanding military operations aiming at guaranteeing international peace and stability;***

Or. en

Amendment 217

Bernhard Zimniok, Lars Patrick Berg

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Notes, ***however***, that the effectiveness of CSDP missions and operations in general is being hampered by an increasing reluctance on the part of Member States and the European institutions to make such missions and operations more robust, ***both*** in terms of human resources and their mandates; notes that CSDP military operations increasingly tend to be based on armed forces training (EUTM), with no executive dimension;

Amendment

21. Notes, that the effectiveness of CSDP missions and operations in general is being hampered ***to a certain extent*** by an increasing reluctance on the part of Member States and the European institutions to make such missions and operations more robust in terms of human resources and their mandates, ***especially in regard to staff-accountability and transparency*** ; notes that CSDP military operations increasingly tend to be based on armed forces training (EUTM), with no executive dimension;

Or. en

Amendment 218

Rasa Juknevičienė, Andrius Kubilius, Sandra Kalniete

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Notes, however, that the effectiveness of CSDP missions and operations in general is being hampered by ***an increasing reluctance*** on the part of Member States and the European institutions to make such missions and operations more robust, both in terms of human resources and their mandates; notes that CSDP military operations increasingly tend to be based on armed forces training (EUTM), with no executive dimension;

Amendment

21. Notes, however, that the effectiveness of CSDP missions and operations in general is being hampered by ***the security situation in crisis regions, limited means*** on the part of Member States and the European institutions to make such missions and operations more robust, both in terms of human resources and their mandates; notes that CSDP military operations increasingly tend to be based on armed forces training (EUTM), with no executive dimension;

Or. en

Amendment 219

Vangelis Meimarakis, Loucas Fourlas, Stelios Kypourouopoulos, Georgios Kyrtos

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Notes, however, that the effectiveness of CSDP missions and operations in general is ***being hampered by an increasing reluctance on the part of*** Member States and the European institutions to make such missions and operations more robust, both in terms of human resources and their mandates; notes that CSDP military operations increasingly tend to be based on armed forces training (EUTM), with no executive dimension;

Amendment

21. Notes, however, that the effectiveness of CSDP missions and operations in general is ***challenged by constraints that the*** Member States and the European institutions ***in their efforts*** to make such missions and operations more robust, both in terms of human resources and their mandates; notes that CSDP military operations increasingly tend to be based on armed forces training (EUTM), with no executive dimension;

Or. en

Amendment 220

Jérôme Rivière, Nicolas Bay, Thierry Mariani

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

22. Notes with concern that the effectiveness of the most recent CSDP civilian and military operations has been hampered by persistent structural weaknesses;

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 221

Bernhard Zimniok, Lars Patrick Berg

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

22. Notes with concern that the effectiveness of the most recent CSDP civilian and military operations has been hampered by persistent structural weaknesses;

22. Notes with concern that the effectiveness of the most recent CSDP civilian and military operations has been hampered by persistent structural weaknesses, ***surprising lack of staff-commitment and accountability, especially from senior management from a non-negligible number of the staff serving in CSDP civilian missions;***

Or. en

Amendment 222

Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Ilhan Kyuchyuk, Petras Auštrevičius, Javier Nart, Hilde Vautmans, Malik Azmani, Michal Šimečka, Frédérique Ries

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

22. Notes with concern that the effectiveness of the most recent CSDP civilian and military operations has been hampered by persistent structural weaknesses;

22. Notes with concern that the effectiveness of the most recent CSDP civilian and military operations has been hampered by persistent structural weaknesses ***and calls for the creation of a common EU solution to them;***

Amendment 223

Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

22. Notes with concern that the effectiveness of the most recent CSDP civilian and military **operations** has been hampered by persistent structural weaknesses;

Amendment

22. Notes with concern that the effectiveness of the most recent CSDP civilian and military **missions** has been hampered by persistent structural weaknesses;

Or. de

Amendment 224

Jérôme Rivière, Nicolas Bay, Thierry Mariani

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. Deplores the lengthy decision-making and implementation processes; points out that very few recent military operations have been given an executive mandate because of the different speeds at which commitment decisions are made, and calls, in this connection, for changes to CSDP structures and procedures so that missions can be deployed in a more rapid, flexible and coherent manner; notes the use of a new crisis management tool – the launching of mini-missions under Article 28 TEU – with a view to responding to crises more quickly and flexibly;

Amendment

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 225

Geoffrey Van Orden, Anna Fotyga, Mazaly Aguilar, Witold Jan Waszczykowski

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. Deplores the lengthy decision-making and implementation processes; points out that very few recent military operations have been given an executive mandate because of the different speeds at which commitment decisions are made, and calls, in this connection, for changes to CSDP structures and procedures so that missions can be deployed in a more rapid, flexible and coherent manner; notes the use of a new crisis management tool – the launching of mini-missions under Article 28 TEU – with a view to responding to crises more quickly and flexibly;

Amendment

23. Deplores the lengthy decision-making and implementation processes; points out that very few recent military operations have been given an executive mandate because of the different speeds at which commitment decisions are made, and calls, in this connection, for changes to CSDP structures and procedures so that missions can be deployed in a more rapid, flexible and coherent manner; notes the use of a new crisis management tool – the launching of mini-missions under Article 28 TEU – with a view to responding to crises more quickly and flexibly; ***calls for mission construction to be complementary to NATO's Readiness Action Plan (RAP) and Very High Readiness Joint Task Force (VTJF) to avoid duplication and better support joint mission objectives;***

Or. en

Amendment 226

Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. Deplores the ***lengthy*** decision-making and implementation processes; points out that very few recent military operations have been given an executive mandate because ***of the different speeds at which commitment decisions are made,*** and calls, in this connection, ***for changes to*** CSDP structures and procedures so that missions can be deployed in a more rapid, flexible and coherent manner; ***notes the***

Amendment

23. Deplores the ***fact that the need to summon up a common political will means that*** decision-making and implementation processes ***run at widely differing speeds;*** points out that very few recent military operations have been given an executive mandate because ***decision-making processes could not make up for the lack of political will,*** and calls, in this connection, ***on the Member States, when***

use of a new crisis management tool – the launching of mini-missions under Article 28 TEU – with a view to responding to crises more quickly and flexibly;

faced with a crisis, to find the political will needed to make active use of CSDP structures and procedures so that missions can be deployed in a more rapid, flexible and coherent manner; calls on the VP/HR to explain to Parliament the thinking behind what is clearly a new crisis-management tool, namely the launching of mini-missions under Article 28 TEU;

Or. de

Amendment 227

Mick Wallace, Idoia Villanueva Ruiz, Luke Ming Flanagan, Manu Pineda

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. *Deplores* the lengthy decision-making and implementation processes; points out that very few recent military operations have been given an executive mandate because of the different speeds at which commitment decisions are made, and calls, in this connection, for changes to CSDP structures and procedures so that missions can be deployed in a more rapid, flexible and coherent manner; notes the use of a new crisis management tool – the launching of mini-missions under Article 28 TEU – with a view to responding to crises more quickly and flexibly;

Amendment

23. *Notes* the lengthy decision-making and implementation processes; points out that very few recent military operations have been given an executive mandate because of the different speeds at which commitment decisions are made, and calls, in this connection, for changes to CSDP structures and procedures so that missions can be deployed in a more rapid, flexible and coherent manner; notes the use of a new crisis management tool – the launching of mini-missions under Article 28 TEU – with a view to responding to crises more quickly and flexibly;

Or. en

Amendment 228

Attila Ara-Kovács, Giuliano Pisapia, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Claudiu Manda, Nikos Androulakis

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 23 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

23 a. Welcomes the recognition that there is no security without women and stresses the importance of the participation of women in negotiations and missions;

Or. en

Amendment 229

Nathalie Loiseau, Javier Nart, Bernard Guetta, Petras Auštrevičius, Christophe Grudler, Ilhan Kyuchyuk, Urmas Paet, Hilde Vautmans, Martin Horwood, Klemen Grošelj

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24**

Motion for a resolution

24. Stresses ***the lack of flexibility in*** administrative and budgetary procedures, ***which is causing serious problems for personnel deployed on the ground;***

Amendment

24. Stresses ***that the objective of*** administrative and budgetary procedures ***for CSDP missions should be to guarantee rigorous management of those missions, but without being so rigid as to hamper their performance and effectiveness;***

Or. fr

Amendment 230

Geoffrey Van Orden, Anna Fotyga, Mazaly Aguilar, Witold Jan Waszczykowski, Charlie Weimers

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25**

Motion for a resolution

25. Stresses the need to assess missions and operations on a regular basis in order to make them more effective; calls on the EEAS and the Commission to draw up mandates and budgets which are appropriate to the operations concerned and to provide for an exit strategy; calls, in that connection, for more regular consultations with the relevant

Amendment

25. Stresses the need to assess missions and operations on a regular basis in order to make them more effective; calls on the EEAS and the Commission to draw up mandates and budgets which are appropriate to the operations concerned and to provide for an exit strategy; calls, in that connection, for more regular consultations with the relevant

parliamentary committees, and calls on the latter to focus their missions and delegations on areas where CSDP missions and operations are deployed;

parliamentary committees, and calls on the latter to focus their missions and delegations on areas where CSDP missions and operations are deployed; ***calls for the European Court of Auditors to conduct a review of all ongoing CSDP missions to ensure that their budgets and forces are being deployed in the most effective way; further calls for all ongoing CSDP missions to have a formal end date, which is subject to a full and comprehensive review before consideration of any extension is given;***

Or. en

Amendment 231

Attila Ara-Kovács, Juozas Olekas, Giuliano Pisapia, Claudiu Manda, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Joachim Schuster, Nikos Androulakis, Tonino Picula

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

25. Stresses the need to assess missions and operations on a regular basis in order to make them more effective; calls on the EEAS and the Commission to draw up mandates and budgets which are appropriate to the operations concerned and to provide for an exit strategy; calls, in that connection, for more regular consultations with the relevant parliamentary committees, and calls on the latter to focus their missions and delegations on areas where CSDP missions and operations are deployed;

Amendment

25. Stresses the need to assess missions and operations on a regular basis in order to make them more effective; calls on the EEAS and the Commission to draw up mandates and budgets which are appropriate to the operations concerned and to provide for an exit strategy; calls, in that connection, for more regular ***information sharing and*** consultations with the relevant parliamentary committees ***prior, during and after the missions and operations***, and calls on the latter to focus their missions and delegations on areas where CSDP missions and operations are deployed; ***demands that the European Parliament - alongside national parliaments has a strengthened role in CSDP respect, so as to guarantee the parliamentary oversight of the EU Common Security and Defence Policy and its budget;***

Or. en

Amendment 232
Joachim Schuster

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

25. Stresses the need to assess missions and operations on a regular basis in order to make them more effective; calls on the EEAS and the Commission to draw up mandates and budgets which are appropriate to the operations concerned and to provide for an exit strategy; calls, in that connection, for more regular consultations with the relevant parliamentary committees, ***and calls on the latter to focus their missions and delegations on areas where CSDP missions and operations are deployed;***

Amendment

25. Stresses the need to assess missions and operations on a regular basis in order to make them more effective; calls on the EEAS and the Commission to draw up mandates and budgets which are appropriate to the operations concerned and to provide for an exit strategy; calls, in that connection, for more regular consultations with the relevant parliamentary committees;

Or. en

Amendment 233
Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Ilhan Kyuchyuk, Petras Auštrevičius, Javier Nart, Hilde Vautmans, Michal Šimečka

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

25. Stresses the need to assess missions and operations on a regular basis in order to make them more effective; calls on the EEAS and the Commission to draw up mandates ***and*** budgets which are appropriate to the operations concerned and to provide for an exit strategy; calls, in that connection, for more regular consultations with the relevant parliamentary committees, and calls on the latter to focus their missions and delegations on areas where CSDP missions and operations are deployed;

Amendment

25. Stresses the need to assess missions and operations on a regular basis in order to make them more effective; calls on the EEAS and the Commission to draw up mandates, budgets ***and rules of engagement and operational procedures*** which are appropriate to the operations concerned and to provide for an exit strategy; calls, in that connection, for more regular consultations with the relevant parliamentary committees, and calls on the latter to focus their missions and delegations on areas where CSDP missions

and operations are deployed;

Or. en

Amendment 234

Nikos Androulakis, Costas Mavrides

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. Recalls the importance of organising joint training and exercises between European armed forces, thereby promoting interoperability, with a view to maximising mission preparedness and addressing a broad range of threats, both conventional and non-conventional;

Amendment

26. Recalls the importance of organising joint training and exercises between European armed forces, thereby promoting interoperability, with a view to maximising mission preparedness and addressing a broad range of threats, both conventional and non-conventional; ***welcomes in that respect the European Initiative for the Exchange of Military Young Officers (Military Erasmus - EMILYO), operated by the European Security and Defence College, which aims to enable the national military education and training institutions to explore possibilities for quantitative and qualitative exchange of Knowledge and know-how;***

Or. en

Amendment 235

Kris Peeters

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. ***Recalls*** the importance of organising joint training and exercises between European armed forces, thereby promoting interoperability, with a view to maximising mission preparedness and addressing a broad range of threats, both

Amendment

26. ***Highlights*** the importance of organising joint training and exercises between European armed forces, ***as well as EU-NATO parallel and coordinated exercises***, thereby promoting interoperability ***and military mobility***, with

conventional and non-conventional;

a view to maximising mission preparedness, ***ensuring complementarity, avoiding unnecessary duplication*** and addressing a broad range of threats, both conventional and non-conventional;

Or. en

Amendment 236

Mick Wallace, Idoia Villanueva Ruiz, Luke Ming Flanagan, Manu Pineda

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. Recalls the importance of organising joint training and exercises between European armed forces, thereby promoting interoperability, with a view to maximising mission preparedness and addressing a broad range of threats, both conventional and non-conventional;

Amendment

26. Recalls the importance of organising joint training and exercises between European armed forces, thereby promoting interoperability, with a view to maximising mission preparedness and addressing a broad range of threats, both conventional and non-conventional; ***stresses that these joint training and exercises should never be conducted in the service of the development of the much mooted 'EU Army';***

Or. en

Amendment 237

Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Ilhan Kyuchyuk, Petras Auštrevičius, Javier Nart, Hilde Vautmans, Malik Azmani, Michal Šimečka, Frédérique Ries

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. Recalls the importance of organising joint training and exercises between European armed forces, thereby promoting interoperability, with a view to maximising mission preparedness and addressing a broad range of threats, both conventional and non-conventional;

Amendment

26. Recalls the importance of organising ***and executing*** joint training and exercises between European armed forces, thereby promoting ***organizational, procedural and technical*** interoperability, with a view to maximising mission preparedness and addressing a broad range

of threats, both conventional and non-conventional;

Or. en

Amendment 238

Geoffrey Van Orden, Anna Fotyga, Mazaly Aguilar, Witold Jan Waszczykowski, Charlie Weimers

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. Recalls the importance of organising joint training and exercises between European armed forces, thereby promoting interoperability, with a view to maximising mission preparedness and addressing a broad range of threats, both conventional and non-conventional;

Amendment

26. Recalls the importance of organising joint training and exercises between European ***and US*** armed forces, thereby promoting interoperability, with a view to maximising mission preparedness and addressing a broad range of threats, both conventional and non-conventional;

Or. en

Amendment 239

Bernhard Zimniok, Lars Patrick Berg

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. Recalls the importance of ***organising*** joint training and exercises between European armed forces, thereby promoting interoperability, with a view to maximising mission preparedness and addressing a broad range of threats, both conventional and non-conventional;

Amendment

26. Recalls the importance of ***encouraging*** joint training and exercises between European armed forces, thereby promoting interoperability, with a view to maximising mission preparedness and addressing a broad range of threats, both conventional and non-conventional;

Or. en

Amendment 240

Javier Nart, Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Christophe Grudler, Nathalie Loiseau

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27

Motion for a resolution

27. Stresses the recurring problem faced by armed forces of a lack of equipment, which is an obstacle to the success of training missions; notes the difficulty of supplying suitable equipment in a timely manner (binding public procurement procedures to be followed, etc.); believes that achieving positive results in terms of training and advice for third-country armies is extremely difficult in the long term without the capacity to back up such efforts with worthwhile and coordinated equipment programmes; welcomes the Capacity Building for Security and Development (CBSD) initiative, which resulted in the revision of the Instrument contributing to Stability and Peace ('IcSP+') in 2017 so as to provide funding for training and the supply of non-lethal equipment to third countries' armed forces; notes that, to date, three projects have been carried out, in Mali, the Central African Republic and Burkina Faso; ***highlights the strong demand from local populations for support in the area of training and equipment supply;***

Amendment

27. Stresses the recurring problem faced by armed forces of a lack of equipment, which is an obstacle to the success of training missions; notes the difficulty of supplying suitable equipment in a timely manner (binding public procurement procedures to be followed, etc.); believes that achieving positive results in terms of training and advice for third-country armies is extremely difficult in the long term without ***supplying the military equipment needed to complete theoretical training; stresses that to provide weaponless military training is to condemn its recipients to certain death in combat; points out that the armed threats in these countries call for armed responses; supports the repeated calls made by local populations, administrations and governments for destabilisation and terrorism to be tackled effectively; highlights that Russia has become a strong presence in the Central African Republic by responding to those calls and that more and more Sahel countries are now tempted to turn to Russia for assistance;*** the capacity to back up such efforts with worthwhile and coordinated equipment programmes; welcomes the Capacity Building for Security and Development (CBSD) initiative, which resulted in the revision of the Instrument contributing to Stability and Peace ('IcSP+') in 2017 so as to provide funding for training and the supply of non-lethal equipment to third countries' armed forces; notes that, to date, three projects have been carried out, in Mali, the Central African Republic and Burkina Faso;

Or. fr

Amendment 241

Hannah Neumann

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 27

Motion for a resolution

27. Stresses the recurring problem faced by armed forces of a lack of equipment, which is an obstacle to the success of training missions; notes the difficulty of supplying suitable equipment in a timely manner (binding public procurement procedures to be followed, etc.); believes that achieving positive results in terms of training and advice for third-country armies is extremely difficult in the long term without the capacity to back up such efforts with worthwhile and coordinated equipment programmes; **welcomes** the Capacity Building for Security and Development (CBSD) initiative, which resulted in the revision of the Instrument contributing to Stability and Peace ('IcSP+') in 2017 so as to provide funding for training and the supply of non-lethal equipment to third countries' armed forces; notes that, to date, three projects have been carried out, in Mali, the Central African Republic and Burkina Faso; highlights the strong demand from local populations for support in the area of training and equipment supply;

Amendment

27. Stresses the recurring problem faced by armed forces of a lack of equipment, which is an obstacle to the success of training missions; notes the difficulty of supplying suitable equipment in a timely manner (binding public procurement procedures to be followed, etc.); believes that achieving positive results in terms of training and advice for third-country armies is extremely difficult in the long term without the capacity to back up such efforts with worthwhile and coordinated equipment programmes **and strong democratic oversight and accountability mechanisms which prevent misconduct, corruption, and impunity; notes** the Capacity Building for Security and Development (CBSD) initiative, which resulted in the revision of the Instrument contributing to Stability and Peace ('IcSP+') in 2017 so as to provide funding for training and the supply of non-lethal equipment to third countries' armed forces; notes that, to date, three projects have been carried out, in Mali, the Central African Republic and Burkina Faso; highlights the strong demand from local populations for support in the area of training and equipment supply; **stresses the need to make sure that all transfers strictly respect the eight EU criteria on arms exports;**

Or. en

Amendment 242

Raphaël Glucksmann

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 27

Motion for a resolution

27. Stresses the recurring problem faced by armed forces of a lack of equipment, which is an obstacle to the success of training missions; notes the difficulty of supplying suitable equipment in a timely manner (binding public procurement procedures to be followed, etc.); believes that achieving positive results in terms of training and advice for third-country armies is extremely difficult in the long term without the capacity to back up such efforts with worthwhile and coordinated equipment programmes; welcomes the Capacity Building for Security and Development (CBSD) initiative, which resulted in the revision of the Instrument contributing to Stability and Peace ('IcSP+') in 2017 so as to provide funding for training and the supply of non-lethal equipment to third countries' armed forces; notes that, to date, three projects have been carried out, in Mali, the Central African Republic and Burkina Faso; highlights the strong demand from local populations for support in the area of training and equipment supply;

Amendment

27. Stresses the recurring problem faced by armed forces of a lack of equipment, which is an obstacle to the success of training missions; notes the difficulty of supplying suitable equipment in a timely manner (binding public procurement procedures to be followed, etc.); believes that achieving positive results in terms of training and advice for third-country armies is extremely difficult in the long term without the capacity to back up such efforts with worthwhile and coordinated equipment programmes; welcomes the Capacity Building for Security and Development (CBSD) initiative, which resulted in the revision of the Instrument contributing to Stability and Peace ('IcSP+') in 2017 so as to provide funding for training and the supply of non-lethal equipment to third countries' armed forces; **welcomes the Commission's proposal to place the CBSD initiative under the European Peace Facility**; notes that, to date, three projects have been carried out, in Mali, the Central African Republic and Burkina Faso; highlights the strong demand from local populations for support in the area of training and equipment supply;

Or. en

Amendment 243

Nathalie Loiseau, Javier Nart, Bernard Guetta, Petras Auštrevičius, Christophe Grudler, Ilhan Kyuchyuk, Urmas Paet, Martin Horwood

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 27

Motion for a resolution

27. Stresses the recurring problem faced by armed forces **of** a lack of equipment, which is an obstacle to the

Amendment

27. Stresses **that** the recurring problem faced by armed forces **in countries in which the EU is intervening is** a lack of

success of training missions; notes the difficulty of supplying suitable equipment in a timely manner (*binding public procurement procedures to be followed, etc.*); believes that achieving positive results in terms of training and advice for third-country armies *is extremely difficult* in the long term without the capacity to back up such efforts with worthwhile and coordinated *equipment* programmes; welcomes the Capacity Building for Security and Development (CBSD) initiative, which resulted in the revision of the Instrument contributing to Stability and Peace ('IcSP+') in 2017 so as to provide funding for training and the supply of non-lethal equipment to third countries' armed forces; notes that, to date, three projects have been carried out, in Mali, the Central African Republic and Burkina Faso; highlights the strong demand from local populations for support in the area of training and equipment supply;

equipment, which is an obstacle to the success of training missions; notes the difficulty of supplying suitable equipment in a timely manner *owing, in particular, to cumbersome public procurement procedures*; believes that achieving positive results in terms of training and advice for third-country armies *will not be possible* in the long term without the capacity to back up such efforts with worthwhile and coordinated *equipment-supply* programmes; welcomes the Capacity Building for Security and Development (CBSD) initiative, which resulted in the revision of the Instrument contributing to Stability and Peace ('IcSP+') in 2017 so as to provide funding for training and the supply of non-lethal equipment to third countries' armed forces; notes that, to date, three projects have been carried out, in Mali, the Central African Republic and Burkina Faso; highlights the strong demand from local populations for support in the area of training and equipment supply;

Or. fr

Amendment 244

Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 27

Motion for a resolution

27. Stresses the recurring problem faced by armed forces of a lack of equipment, which is an obstacle to the success of training missions; notes the difficulty of supplying suitable equipment in a timely manner (binding public procurement procedures to be followed, etc.); believes that achieving positive results in terms of training and advice for third-country armies is extremely difficult in the long term without the capacity to back up such efforts with worthwhile and

Amendment

27. Stresses the recurring problem faced by *third-country* armed forces of a lack of equipment, which is an obstacle to the success of training missions; notes the difficulty of supplying suitable equipment in a timely manner (binding public procurement procedures to be followed, etc.); believes that achieving positive results in terms of training and advice for third-country armies is extremely difficult in the long term without the capacity to back up such efforts with worthwhile and

coordinated equipment programmes; welcomes the Capacity Building for Security and Development (CBSD) initiative, which resulted in the revision of the Instrument contributing to Stability and Peace ('IcSP+') in 2017 so as to provide funding for training and the supply of non-lethal equipment to third countries' armed forces; notes that, to date, three projects have been carried out, in Mali, the Central African Republic and Burkina Faso; highlights the strong demand from local populations for support in the area of training and equipment supply;

coordinated equipment programmes; welcomes the Capacity Building for Security and Development (CBSD) initiative, which resulted in the revision of the Instrument contributing to Stability and Peace ('IcSP+') in 2017 so as to provide funding for training and the supply of non-lethal equipment to third countries' armed forces; notes that, to date, three projects have been carried out, in Mali, the Central African Republic and Burkina Faso; highlights the strong demand from local populations for support in the area of training and equipment supply;

Or. de

Amendment 245

Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 28

Motion for a resolution

28. Is concerned at the problem of force generation, in particular when launching military missions; stresses that EUTM Somalia is struggling to bring together the necessary forces; notes that the last general force generation conference on 4 June 2019 raised the possibility of the mission failing owing to a lack of personnel; notes that the Union's current military operations involve on average only a dozen or so Member States; stresses that the competence, professionalism and dedication of personnel on the ground are key to the success of a mission; calls on the Member States to make a stronger commitment as regards the quality of personnel deployed on missions, and on the **EEAS and the Commission** to fill more of the posts assigned to missions;

Amendment

28. Is concerned at the problem of force generation, in particular when launching military missions; stresses that EUTM Somalia is struggling to bring together the necessary forces; notes that the last general force generation conference on 4 June 2019 raised the possibility of the mission failing owing to a lack of personnel; notes that the Union's current military operations involve on average only a dozen or so Member States; stresses that the competence, professionalism and dedication of personnel on the ground are key to the success of a mission; calls on the Member States to make a stronger commitment as regards the quality of personnel deployed on missions, and on the **Member States** to fill more of the posts assigned to missions;

Or. de

Amendment 246

Nathalie Loiseau, Javier Nart, Bernard Guetta, Petras Auštrevičius, Christophe Grudler, Ilhan Kyuchyuk, Urmas Paet, Martin Horwood, Klemen Grošelj, Malik Azmani

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 29

Motion for a resolution

29. Questions the appropriateness of continuing certain missions; believes that the Union should concentrate its efforts on missions where it generates the highest added value;

Amendment

29. Questions the appropriateness of continuing certain missions; believes that the Union should concentrate its efforts on missions where it generates the highest added value; ***is in favour of the establishment of and compliance with objective criteria to measure that added value and decide whether to pursue a mission;***

Or. fr

Amendment 247

Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 29

Motion for a resolution

29. ***Questions the appropriateness of continuing*** certain missions; believes that the Union should concentrate its efforts on missions where it generates the highest added value;

Amendment

29. ***Calls on the Council to explain why*** certain missions ***are continuing even though they have already achieved their limited military or civilian purpose;*** believes that the Union should concentrate its efforts on missions where it generates the highest added value;

Or. de

Amendment 248

Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 29

Motion for a resolution

29. ***Questions the appropriateness of continuing certain missions***; believes that the Union should concentrate its efforts on missions where it generates the highest added value;

Amendment

29. ***Considers that all existing missions should be evaluated to determine which are still relevant***; believes that the Union should concentrate its efforts on missions where it generates the highest added value;

Or. it

Amendment 249

Bernhard Zimniok, Lars Patrick Berg

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 29

Motion for a resolution

29. Questions the appropriateness of continuing certain missions; believes that the Union should concentrate its efforts on missions where it generates the highest added value;

Amendment

29. Questions the appropriateness of continuing certain missions; believes that the Union should concentrate its efforts on missions where it generates the highest added value ***for the citizens of Europe***;

Or. en

Amendment 250

Bernhard Zimniok, Lars Patrick Berg

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution

30. ***Notes the decision of 26 September 2019 to extend the EU maritime operation in the Mediterranean (EUNAVFORMED Sophia) by six months to 31 March 2020; deeply deplores the decision temporarily to suspend the naval presence; stresses the urgent need to reach agreement among the Member States and calls for the redeployment of naval assets and full implementation of the mandate***;

Amendment

deleted

Amendment 251**Jérôme Rivière, Thierry Mariani, Nicolas Bay****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 30***Motion for a resolution*

30. Notes the decision of 26 September 2019 to extend the EU maritime operation in the Mediterranean (EUNAVFORMED Sophia) by six months to 31 March 2020; ***deeply deplores the decision temporarily to suspend the naval presence; stresses the urgent need to reach agreement among the Member States and calls for the redeployment of naval assets and full implementation of the mandate;***

Amendment

30. Notes the decision of 26 September 2019 to extend the EU maritime operation in the Mediterranean (EUNAVFORMED Sophia) by six months to 31 March 2020; ***stresses the urgent need to reach agreement among the Member States; calls for the operation to include the objective of preventing departures and, where necessary, repatriating migrants in their country of departure when it is not possible to repatriate them in their country of origin, as this is the only strategy that will dissuade people from leaving, tackle people-smuggling mafias and save lives; calls for a European 'No Way' policy to be introduced, following the Australian model, which has proved its worth;***

Amendment 252**Hannah Neumann**

on behalf of the Greens/EFA Group

Motion for a resolution**Paragraph 30***Motion for a resolution*

30. Notes the decision of 26 September 2019 to extend the EU maritime operation in the Mediterranean (EUNAVFORMED Sophia) by six months to 31 March 2020; deeply deplores the decision temporarily to suspend the naval presence; stresses the

Amendment

30. Notes the decision of 26 September 2019 to extend the EU maritime operation in the Mediterranean (EUNAVFORMED Sophia) by six months to 31 March 2020; deeply deplores the decision temporarily to suspend the naval presence; stresses the

urgent need to reach agreement among the Member States and calls for the redeployment of naval assets and full implementation of the mandate;

urgent need to reach agreement among the Member States and calls for the redeployment of naval assets and full implementation of the mandate ***and to transform the mission into an effective search and rescue mission***;

Or. en

Amendment 253

Martin Horwood, Phil Bennion, Klemen Grošelj

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution

30. ***Notes*** the decision of 26 September 2019 to extend the EU maritime operation in the Mediterranean (EUNAVFORMED Sophia) by six months to 31 March 2020; deeply deplores the decision temporarily to suspend the naval presence; stresses the urgent need to reach agreement among the Member States and calls for the redeployment of naval assets and full implementation of the mandate;

Amendment

30. ***Welcomes*** the decision of 26 September 2019 to extend the EU maritime operation in the Mediterranean (EUNAVFORMED Sophia) by six months to 31 March 2020; deeply deplores the decision temporarily to suspend the naval presence; stresses the urgent need to reach agreement among the Member States and calls for the redeployment of naval assets and full implementation of the mandate;

Or. en

Amendment 254

Nathalie Loiseau, Javier Nart, Bernard Guetta, Petras Auštrevičius, Christophe Grudler, Ilhan Kyuchyuk, Urmas Paet, Hilde Vautmans, Klemen Grošelj

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution

30. Notes the decision of 26 September 2019 to extend the EU maritime operation in the Mediterranean (EUNAVFORMED Sophia) by six months to 31 March 2020; deeply deplores the ***decision temporarily to suspend*** the naval presence; stresses the urgent need to reach agreement among the

Amendment

30. Notes the decision of 26 September 2019 to extend the EU maritime operation in the Mediterranean (EUNAVFORMED Sophia) by six months to 31 March 2020; deeply deplores the ***continuation of the suspension of*** the naval presence; stresses the urgent need to reach agreement among

Member States and calls for the redeployment of naval assets and full implementation of the mandate;

the Member States and calls for the redeployment of naval assets and full implementation of the mandate;

Or. fr

Amendment 255

Vangelis Meimarakis, Loucas Fourlas, Stelios Kypourouopoulos, Georgios Kyrtos

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution

30. Notes the decision of 26 September 2019 to extend the EU maritime operation in the Mediterranean (EUNAVFORMED Sophia) by six months to 31 March 2020; **deeply** deplores the decision temporarily to suspend the naval presence; stresses the urgent need to reach agreement among the Member States and calls for the redeployment of naval assets and full implementation of the mandate;

Amendment

30. Notes the decision of 26 September 2019 to extend the EU maritime operation in the Mediterranean (EUNAVFORMED Sophia) by six months to 31 March 2020; deplores the decision temporarily to suspend the naval presence; stresses the urgent need to reach agreement among the Member States and calls for the redeployment of naval assets and full implementation of the mandate;

Or. en

Amendment 256

Witold Jan Waszykowski

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution

30. Notes the decision of 26 September 2019 to extend the EU maritime operation in the Mediterranean (EUNAVFORMED Sophia) by six months to 31 March 2020; **deeply deplores** the decision temporarily **to** suspend the naval presence; stresses the urgent need to reach agreement among the Member States and calls for the redeployment of naval assets and full implementation of the mandate;

Amendment

30. Notes the decision of 26 September 2019 to extend the EU maritime operation in the Mediterranean (EUNAVFORMED Sophia) by six months to 31 March 2020; **notes** the decision **to** temporarily suspend the naval presence; stresses the urgent need to reach agreement among the Member States and calls for the redeployment of naval assets and full implementation of the mandate;

Amendment 257**Hannah Neumann**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution**Paragraph 31***Motion for a resolution*

31. Considers that the issue of financing for CSDP missions and operations is crucial to the sustainability of the policy; highlights the importance of reviewing the Athena mechanism so that it covers the full costs of CSDP military operations and missions; supports, in this connection, the proposal by the VP/HR, backed by the Commission, to create a European Peace Facility, which **would finance part of the costs of EU defence activities, including the joint costs of CSDP military operations and those relating to military capacity-building for partners**; hopes that the Member States will reach an agreement quickly so that this instrument can be introduced; stresses the importance of making the Union's financial rules more flexible in order to enhance its ability to respond to crises and facilitate the implementation of Lisbon Treaty provisions; calls on the Member States and the Commission to consider a flexible mechanism to help Member States wishing to participate in a CSDP mission to bear the cost of doing so, thereby facilitating their decision to launch or strengthen a mission; notes that this instrument would be wholly consistent with the Union's **strategic autonomy objectives** in the operational field;

Amendment

31. Considers that the issue of financing for CSDP missions and operations is crucial to the sustainability of the policy; highlights the importance of reviewing the Athena mechanism so that it covers the full costs of CSDP military operations and missions; supports, in this connection, the **part of the** proposal by the VP/HR, backed by the Commission, to create a European Peace Facility, which **focusses on the financing of common costs of CSDP operations and co-financing peacekeeping operations of third countries; however, rejects the plan that at EU level lethal equipment and ammunitions are purchased for the use of third countries; stresses that the proposal of a European Peace Facility (EPF) lacks strong language on how and by whom such transfers of military equipment will be checked against the eight EU criteria on arms exports**; hopes that the Member States will reach an agreement quickly so that this instrument can be introduced; stresses the importance of making the Union's financial rules more flexible in order to enhance its ability to respond to crises and facilitate the implementation of Lisbon Treaty provisions; calls on the Member States and the Commission to consider a flexible mechanism to help Member States wishing to participate in a CSDP mission to bear the cost of doing so, thereby facilitating their decision to launch or strengthen a mission; notes that this instrument would be wholly consistent with the Union's **aim to become a more**

credible and effective security actor in the operational field;

Or. en

Amendment 258

Rasa Juknevičienė, Andrius Kubilius, Sandra Kalnietė

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 31

Motion for a resolution

31. Considers that the issue of financing for CSDP missions and operations is crucial to the sustainability of the policy; highlights the importance of reviewing the Athena mechanism ***so that it covers the full costs*** of CSDP military operations and missions; supports, in this connection, the proposal by the VP/HR, backed by the Commission, to create a European Peace Facility, which would finance part of the costs of EU defence activities, including the joint costs of CSDP military operations and those relating to military capacity-building for partners; hopes that the Member States will reach an agreement quickly so that this instrument can be introduced; stresses the importance of making the Union's financial rules more flexible in order to enhance its ability to respond to crises and facilitate the implementation of Lisbon Treaty provisions; calls on the Member States and the Commission to consider a flexible mechanism to help Member States wishing to participate in a CSDP mission to bear the cost of doing so, thereby facilitating their decision to launch or strengthen a mission; notes that this instrument would be wholly consistent with the Union's strategic autonomy objectives in the operational field;

Amendment

31. Considers that the issue of financing for CSDP missions and operations is crucial to the sustainability of the policy; highlights the importance of reviewing the Athena mechanism ***with the aim of making the financing mechanism more effective***; supports, in this connection, the proposal by the VP/HR, backed by the Commission, to create a European Peace Facility, which would finance part of the costs of EU defence activities, including the joint costs of CSDP military operations and those relating to military capacity-building for partners; hopes that the Member States will reach an agreement quickly so that this instrument can be introduced; stresses the importance of making the Union's financial rules more flexible in order to enhance its ability to respond to crises and facilitate the implementation of Lisbon Treaty provisions; calls on the Member States and the Commission to consider a flexible mechanism to help Member States wishing to participate in a CSDP mission to bear the cost of doing so, thereby facilitating their decision to launch or strengthen a mission; notes that this instrument would be wholly consistent with the Union's strategic autonomy objectives in the operational field;

Or. en

Amendment 259

Mick Wallace, Idoia Villanueva Ruiz, Özlem Demirel, Luke Ming Flanagan, Manu Pineda

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 31

Motion for a resolution

31. Considers ***that the issue of financing for CSDP missions and operations is crucial to the sustainability of the policy; highlights the importance of reviewing the Athena mechanism so that it covers the full costs of CSDP military operations and missions; supports***, in this connection, the proposal by the VP/HR, backed by the Commission, to create a European Peace Facility, which would finance part of the costs of EU defence activities, including the joint costs of CSDP military operations and ***those relating to military capacity-building for partners; hopes that the Member States will reach an agreement quickly so that this instrument can be introduced; stresses the importance of making the Union's financial rules more flexible in order to enhance its ability to respond to crises and facilitate the implementation of Lisbon Treaty provisions***; calls on the Member States and the Commission to consider a flexible mechanism to help Member States wishing to participate in a CSDP mission to bear the cost of doing so, thereby facilitating their decision to launch or strengthen a mission; ***notes that this instrument would be wholly consistent with the Union's strategic autonomy objectives in the operational field***;

Amendment

31. Considers ***the*** financing for ***military*** CSDP missions ***excuded from possibility in line with Article 41(2) TEU which outlines that expenditure arising from operations having military or defence implications shall not be funded by the UNion budget; regrets***, in this connection, the proposal by the VP/HR, backed by the Commission, to create a European Peace Facility, which would finance part of the costs of EU defence activities, including the joint costs of CSDP military operations and ***weaponry and military equipment***; calls on the Member States and the Commission to consider a flexible mechanism to help Member States wishing to participate in a CSDP mission to bear the cost of doing so, thereby facilitating their decision to launch or strengthen a mission; ***emphasises that it is empirically proven that the most effective method of preserving and promoting peace and stability is to focus on poverty eradication, unconditional humanitarian aid, sustainable and fair economic development, stopping global tax regimes from facilitating corruption, peaceful and diplomatic conflict resolution, disarmament, demobilisation of troops and reintegration programmes***;

Or. en

Amendment 260

Nathalie Loiseau, Javier Nart, Bernard Guetta, Petras Auštrevičius, Christophe Grudler, Ilhan Kyuchyuk, Urmas Paet, Hilde Vautmans, Klemen Grošelj, Malik

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 31

Motion for a resolution

31. Considers that the issue of financing for CSDP missions and operations is crucial to the sustainability of the policy; highlights the importance of reviewing the Athena mechanism so that it covers the full costs of CSDP military operations and missions; supports, in this connection, the proposal by the VP/HR, backed by the Commission, to create a European Peace Facility, which would finance part of the costs of EU defence activities, including the joint costs of CSDP military operations and those relating to military capacity-building for partners; hopes that the Member States will reach an agreement quickly so that this instrument can be introduced; stresses the importance of **making** the Union's financial rules **more flexible in order** to enhance its ability to respond to crises and facilitate the implementation of Lisbon Treaty provisions; calls on the Member States and the Commission to consider a flexible mechanism to help Member States wishing to participate in a CSDP mission to bear the cost of doing so, thereby facilitating their decision to launch or strengthen a mission; notes that this instrument would be wholly consistent with the Union's strategic autonomy objectives in the operational field;

Amendment

31. Considers that the issue of financing for CSDP missions and operations is crucial to the sustainability of the policy; highlights the importance of reviewing the Athena mechanism so that it covers the full costs of CSDP military operations and missions; supports, in this connection, the proposal by the VP/HR, backed by the Commission, to create a European Peace Facility, which would finance part of the costs of EU defence activities, including the joint costs of CSDP military operations and those relating to military capacity-building for partners; hopes that the Member States will reach an agreement quickly so that this instrument can be introduced; stresses the importance of **adapting** the Union's financial rules to enhance its ability to respond to crises and facilitate the implementation of Lisbon Treaty provisions; calls on the Member States and the Commission to consider a flexible mechanism to help Member States wishing to participate in a CSDP mission to bear the cost of doing so, thereby facilitating their decision to launch or strengthen a mission; notes that this instrument would be wholly consistent with the Union's strategic autonomy objectives in the operational field;

Or. fr

Amendment 261

Nathalie Loiseau, Javier Nart, Bernard Guetta, Petras Auštrevičius, Christophe Grudler, Ilhan Kyuchuk, Urmas Paet, Hilde Vautmans, Klemen Grošelj

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 31 a (new)

31a. ***Calls on the VP/HR to regularly consult the European Parliament on all aspects of and the fundamental choices regarding the common security and defence policy; considers, in that regard, that Parliament should be consulted beforehand on strategic planning for CSDP missions, on changes to their mandate and on the potential to bring them to an end;***

Or. fr

Amendment 262

Jérôme Rivière, Thierry Mariani, Nicolas Bay

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 32

32. ***Supports the creation of the Military Planning and Conduct Capability (MPCC) for executive missions to enable all CSDP military operations to be carried out; calls for enhanced cooperation between the MPCC and the Civilian Planning and Conduct Capability; draws attention to the problems of recruitment and resource provision, which need to be overcome in order for the MPCC to be fully effective; calls on the EEAS to transform the MPCC from a virtual entity, with multiple-assignment posts, into a robust civilian-military entity which can plan and conduct operations;***

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 263

Mick Wallace, Idoia Villanueva Ruiz, Luke Ming Flanagan, Manu Pineda

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 32

Motion for a resolution

32. ***Supports*** the creation of the Military Planning and Conduct Capability (MPCC) for executive missions to enable all CSDP military operations to be carried out; ***calls for enhanced cooperation between the MPCC and the Civilian Planning and Conduct Capability; draws attention to the problems of recruitment and resource provision, which need to be overcome in order for the MPCC to be fully effective; calls on the EEAS to transform the MPCC from a virtual entity, with multiple-assignment posts, into a robust civilian-military entity which can plan and conduct operations;***

Amendment

32. ***Opposes*** the creation of the Military Planning and Conduct Capability (MPCC) for executive missions to enable all CSDP military operations to be carried out; ***rejects any any military-civilian operations and any subordination of areas of civilian competence to the military;***

Or. en

Amendment 264
Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 32

Motion for a resolution

32. Supports the creation of the Military Planning and Conduct Capability (MPCC) for executive missions to enable all CSDP military operations to be carried out; calls for enhanced cooperation between the MPCC and the Civilian Planning and Conduct Capability; draws attention to the problems of recruitment and resource provision, which need to be overcome in order for the MPCC to be fully effective; calls on the EEAS to ***transform the MPCC from a virtual entity, with multiple-assignment posts, into a robust civilian-military entity which can plan and conduct operations;***

Amendment

32. Supports the creation of the Military Planning and Conduct Capability (MPCC) for executive missions to enable all CSDP military operations to be carried out; calls for enhanced cooperation between the MPCC and the Civilian Planning and Conduct Capability; draws attention to the problems of recruitment and resource provision, which need to be overcome in order for the MPCC to be fully effective; calls on the ***Member States and the EEAS to end the artificial restriction of the MPCC to non-executive missions with multiple-assignment posts and transform it*** into a robust civilian-military entity which can plan and conduct operations;

Or. de

Amendment 265

Hannah Neumann

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 32

Motion for a resolution

32. Supports the creation of the Military Planning and Conduct Capability (MPCC) for executive missions to enable all CSDP military operations to be carried out; calls for enhanced cooperation between the MPCC and the Civilian Planning and Conduct Capability; draws attention to the problems of recruitment and resource provision, which need to be overcome in order for the MPCC to be fully effective; calls on the EEAS to transform the MPCC from a virtual entity, with multiple-assignment posts, into a robust **civilian-military** entity which can plan and conduct operations;

Amendment

32. Supports the creation of the Military Planning and Conduct Capability (MPCC) for executive missions to enable all CSDP military operations to be carried out; calls for enhanced cooperation between the MPCC and the Civilian Planning and Conduct Capability; draws attention to the problems of recruitment and resource provision, which need to be overcome in order for the MPCC to be fully effective; calls on the EEAS to transform the MPCC from a virtual entity, with multiple-assignment posts, into a robust **military** entity which can plan and conduct **the entire spectrum of military** operations **foreseen under Article 43(1) TEU**;

Or. en

Amendment 266

Rasa Juknevičienė, Andrius Kubilius, Sandra Kalnietė

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 32

Motion for a resolution

32. Supports the creation of the Military Planning and Conduct Capability (MPCC) for executive missions to enable **all** CSDP military operations to be carried out; calls for enhanced cooperation between the MPCC and the Civilian Planning and Conduct Capability; draws attention to the problems of recruitment and resource provision, which need to be

Amendment

32. Supports the creation of the Military Planning and Conduct Capability (MPCC) for executive missions to enable **certain** CSDP military operations to be carried out; calls for enhanced cooperation between the MPCC and the Civilian Planning and Conduct Capability; draws attention to the problems of recruitment and resource provision, which need to be

overcome in order for the MPCC to be fully effective; calls on the EEAS to transform the MPCC from a virtual entity, with multiple-assignment posts, into a robust civilian-military entity which can plan and conduct operations;

overcome in order for the MPCC to be fully effective; calls on the EEAS to transform the MPCC from a virtual entity, with multiple-assignment posts, into a robust civilian-military entity which can plan and conduct operations;

Or. en

Amendment 267

Bernhard Zimniok, Lars Patrick Berg

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

33. Notes the failure of the Union's battlegroup project; the battlegroups have never been deployed since their creation in 2007, owing in particular to opposition on the part of all the Member States and the complexity of their implementation and funding, which is at odds with the original objective of speed and efficiency;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 268

Hannah Neumann

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

33. Notes the failure of the Union's battlegroup project; the battlegroups have never been deployed since their creation in 2007, owing in particular to opposition on the part of all the Member States and the complexity of their implementation and funding, which is at odds with the original objective of speed and efficiency;

Amendment

33. Notes the failure of the Union's battlegroup project; the battlegroups have never been deployed since their creation in 2007, owing in particular to opposition on the part of all the Member States and the complexity of their implementation and funding, which is at odds with the original objective of speed and efficiency; *proposes to transform the battle groups into a more*

reliable set of several multinational but permanent units which are able to execute all possible military CSDP operations foreseen by the Treaty;

Or. en

Amendment 269

Klemen Grošelj, Urmas Paet, Ilhan Kyuchyuk, Petras Auštrevičius, Javier Nart, Hilde Vautmans, Malik Azmani, Michal Šimečka

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

33. Notes the failure of the Union's battlegroup project; the battlegroups have never been deployed since their creation in 2007, owing in particular to opposition on the part of all the Member States and the complexity of their implementation and funding, which is at odds with the original objective of speed and efficiency;

Amendment

33. Notes the failure of the Union's battlegroup project; the battlegroups have never been deployed since their creation in 2007, owing in particular to opposition on the part of all the Member States and the complexity of their implementation and funding, which is at odds with the original objective of speed and efficiency; ***calls for a re-evaluation and reinvigoration of the battlegroup project based on past lessons learned;***

Or. en

Amendment 270

Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

33. Notes ***the failure of the Union's battlegroup project;*** the battlegroups have never been deployed since their creation in 2007, owing in particular to opposition on the part of all the Member States and the complexity of their implementation and funding, which is at odds with the original objective of speed and efficiency;

Amendment

33. Notes ***that the Union's battlegroups have been used only to transform European armed forces;*** the battlegroups have never been deployed since their creation in 2007, owing in particular to opposition on the part of all the Member States and the complexity of their implementation and funding, which is

at odds with the original objective of speed and efficiency;

Or. de

Amendment 271

Mick Wallace, Idoia Villanueva Ruiz, Luke Ming Flanagan, Manu Pineda

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

33. Notes the failure of the Union's battlegroup project; the battlegroups have never been deployed since their creation in 2007, owing in particular to opposition on the part of all the Member States and the complexity of their implementation and funding, which is at odds with the original objective of speed and efficiency;

Amendment

33. Notes the failure of the Union's battlegroup project; the battlegroups have never been deployed since their creation in 2007, owing in particular to opposition on the part of all the Member States and the complexity of their implementation and funding, which is at odds with the original objective of speed and efficiency; ***stresses that the battlegroups be dismantled immediate effect;***

Or. en

Amendment 272

Jérôme Rivière, Thierry Mariani, Nicolas Bay

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

33. Notes the failure of the Union's battlegroup project; the battlegroups have never been deployed since their creation in 2007, owing in particular to ***opposition on the part of all the Member States and*** the complexity of their implementation and funding, which is at odds with the original objective of speed and efficiency;

Amendment

33. Notes the failure of the Union's battlegroup project; the battlegroups have never been deployed since their creation in 2007, owing in particular to the complexity of their implementation and funding, which is at odds with the original objective of speed and efficiency;

Or. fr

Amendment 273

Nathalie Loiseau, Javier Nart, Bernard Guetta, Petras Auštrevičius, Christophe Grudler, Ilhan Kyuchyuk, Hilde Vautmans, Klemen Grošelj, Malik Azmani

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

33. Notes the failure of the Union's battlegroup project; the battlegroups have never been deployed since their creation in 2007, owing in particular to ***opposition on the part of all*** the Member States and the complexity of their implementation and funding, which is at odds with the original objective of speed and efficiency;

Amendment

33. Notes the failure of the Union's battlegroup project; the battlegroups have never been deployed since their creation in 2007, owing in particular to ***the reticence of*** the Member States and the complexity of their implementation and funding, which is at odds with the original objective of speed and efficiency;

Or. fr

Amendment 274

Urmas Paet, Petras Auštrevičius, Hilde Vautmans, Klemen Grošelj, Nathalie Loiseau, Michal Šimečka, Christophe Grudler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

33. ***Notes the failure of the Union's battlegroup project; the battlegroups have never been deployed since their creation in 2007, owing in particular to opposition on the part of all the Member States and the complexity of their implementation and funding, which is at odds with the original objective of speed and efficiency;***

Amendment

33. ***Is of the opinion that the EU battlegroup system should be restructured, further developed politically and with effective funding to become functional, usable, fast and efficient as was the original objective of establishing the battlegroup system;***

Or. en

Amendment 275

Radosław Sikorski

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 33 a (new)

33 a. Considers it necessary to reform the EU battlegroup concept so as to create an EU military unit based on volunteers coming from the Member States or associate countries, which would be at the disposal of the European Council and financed from the EU defence budget, complementing national military forces and compatible with NATO, in the frame of the mandate stemming from the relevant Treaty provisions;

Or. en

Amendment 276

Mick Wallace, Özlem Demirel, Luke Ming Flanagan, Manu Pineda

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 34

34. Notes that the mutual assistance clause (Article 42(7) TEU), ***which*** has been invoked once, ***demonstrates the solidarity among Member States in the common fight against terrorism***; notes, however, that the conditions for triggering the article and the arrangements for providing the assistance required have never been clearly defined; ***calls for a more operational*** implementation of ***this instrument***;

34. Notes that the mutual assistance clause (Article 42(7) TEU), has been invoked once; notes, however, that the conditions for triggering the article and the arrangements for providing the assistance required have never been clearly defined; ***insists that any measures taken against terrorist activities should be treated within the rule of law, and purely by means of police investigation and enforcement as well as multifaceted preventive measures; opposes therefore the activation and implementation of Article 42(7) of the TEU***;

Or. en

Amendment 277

Urmas Paet, Hilde Vautmans, Petras Auštrevičius, Klemen Grošelj, Nathalie Loiseau, Michal Šimečka, Christophe Grudler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 34

Motion for a resolution

34. Notes that the mutual assistance clause (Article 42(7) TEU), which has been invoked once, demonstrates the solidarity among Member States in the common fight against terrorism; notes, however, that the conditions for triggering the article and the arrangements for providing the assistance required have never been clearly defined; calls for **a more** operational implementation of this instrument;

Amendment

34. Notes that the mutual assistance clause (Article 42(7) TEU), which has been invoked once, demonstrates the solidarity among Member States in the common fight against terrorism; notes, however, that the conditions for triggering the article and the arrangements for providing the assistance required have never been clearly defined; calls for **precise guidelines to provide a well-defined framework for the future activation and** operational implementation of this instrument;

Or. en

Amendment 278

Arba Kokalari

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 34

Motion for a resolution

34. Notes that the mutual assistance clause (Article 42(7) TEU), which has been invoked once, demonstrates the solidarity among Member States in the common fight against terrorism; notes, however, that the conditions for triggering the article and the arrangements for providing the assistance required have never been clearly defined; calls for a more operational implementation of this instrument;

Amendment

34. Notes that the mutual assistance clause (Article 42(7) TEU), which has been invoked once, demonstrates the solidarity among Member States in the common fight against terrorism; notes, however, that the conditions for triggering the article and the arrangements for providing the assistance required have never been clearly defined; calls for a more operational implementation of this instrument **and joint efforts to clarify its scope**;

Or. en

Amendment 279

Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 34

Motion for a resolution

34. Notes that the mutual assistance clause (Article 42(7) TEU), which has been invoked once, demonstrates the solidarity among Member States in ***the common fight against terrorism***; notes, however, that the conditions for triggering the article and the arrangements for providing the assistance required have never been clearly defined; calls for a more operational implementation of this instrument;

Amendment

34. Notes that the mutual assistance clause (Article 42(7) TEU), which has been invoked once, demonstrates the solidarity among Member States in ***response to an armed attack on the territory of a Member State***; notes, however, that the conditions for triggering the article and the arrangements for providing the assistance required have never been clearly defined; calls for a more operational implementation of this instrument;

Or. de

Amendment 280

Raphaël Glucksmann

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 34

Motion for a resolution

34. Notes that the mutual assistance clause (Article 42(7) TEU), which has been invoked once, demonstrates the solidarity among Member States in the common fight against terrorism; notes, however, that the conditions for triggering the article and the arrangements for providing the assistance required have never been clearly defined; calls for a more operational implementation of this instrument;

Amendment

34. Notes that the mutual assistance clause (Article 42(7) TEU), which has been invoked once, demonstrates the solidarity among Member States, ***notably*** in the common fight against terrorism; notes, however, that the conditions for triggering the article and the arrangements for providing the assistance required have never been clearly defined; calls for a more operational implementation of this instrument;

Or. en

Amendment 281

Rasa Juknevičienė, Andrius Kubilius, Sandra Kalniete

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 34

Motion for a resolution

34. Notes that the mutual assistance clause (Article 42(7) TEU), which has been invoked once, demonstrates the solidarity among Member States in the common fight against terrorism; notes, however, that the conditions for triggering the article and the arrangements for providing the assistance required have never been clearly defined; calls for *a more operational implementation of this instrument*;

Amendment

34. Notes that the mutual assistance clause (Article 42(7) TEU), which has been invoked once, demonstrates the solidarity among Member States in the common fight against terrorism; notes, however, that the conditions for triggering the article and the arrangements for providing the assistance required have never been clearly defined; calls for *more discussion on experience in invoking this legal clause*;

Or. en

Amendment 282

Attila Ara-Kovács, Giuliano Pisapia, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Nikos Androulakis, Tonino Picula

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

34 a. Recalls that the solidarity clause (Article 222 TFEU) also provides the Union and the Member States with the possibility of providing assistance to a Member State that is the object of a terrorist attack or the victim of a natural or man-made disaster; recalls that the 2013 Cybersecurity Strategy of the European Union states that “a particularly serious cyber incident or attack could constitute sufficient ground for a Member State to invoke the EU Solidarity Clause (article 222 TFEU); reminds that the Council Decision 2014/415/EU ‘on the arrangements for the implementation by the Union of the solidarity clause’, establishes that the solidarity clause calls for the Union to mobilise all the instruments at its disposal, including the structures developed in the framework of the CSDP; calls on Member States to consider the activation of the solidarity clause in the future;

Amendment 283**Geoffrey Van Orden, Anna Fotyga, Mazaly Aguilar****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 35***Motion for a resolution*

35. Believes that the implementation of CSDP missions and operations must be backed up by flexible instruments in order to make it easier for the Union and its Member States to commit to ensuring ***European strategic autonomy***, in the service of the stability of the European continent; stresses, in this connection, the effectiveness of modular, multipurpose and genuinely operational command structures, ***such as the European Corps (Eurocorps); notes that the missions of this command structure have been successfully extended and diversified: between 2015 and 2018 the European Corps was deployed four times as part of the EU training missions in Mali and the Central African Republic (EUTM Mali and EUTM RCA); calls on the Member States and the Commission to follow this example of flexible and operational cooperation, which has already proved*** valuable and effective;

Amendment

35. Believes that the implementation of CSDP missions and operations must be backed up by flexible instruments in order to make it easier for the Union and its Member States to commit to ensuring ***operational successes on the ground***, in the service of the stability of the European continent; stresses, in this connection, the effectiveness of modular, multipurpose and genuinely operational command structures valuable and effective;

Amendment 284**Nikos Androulakis, Costas Mavrides****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 35***Motion for a resolution*

35. Believes that the implementation of CSDP missions and operations must be backed up by flexible instruments in order

Amendment

35. Believes that the implementation of CSDP missions and operations must be backed up by flexible instruments in order

to make it easier for the Union and its Member States to commit to ensuring European strategic autonomy, in the service of the stability of the European continent; stresses, in this connection, the effectiveness of modular, multipurpose and genuinely operational command structures, such as the European Corps (Eurocorps); notes that the missions of this command structure have been successfully extended and diversified: between 2015 and 2018 the European Corps was deployed four times as part of the EU training missions in Mali and the Central African Republic (EUTM Mali and EUTM RCA); calls on the Member States and the Commission to follow this example of flexible and operational cooperation, which has already proved valuable and effective;

to make it easier for the Union and its Member States to commit to ensuring European strategic autonomy, in the service of the stability of the European continent; stresses, in this connection, the effectiveness of modular, multipurpose and genuinely operational command structures, such as the European Corps (Eurocorps); notes that the missions of this command structure have been successfully extended and diversified: between 2015 and 2018 the European Corps was deployed four times as part of the EU training missions in Mali and the Central African Republic (EUTM Mali and EUTM RCA); calls on the Member States and the Commission to follow this example of flexible and operational cooperation, which has already proved valuable and effective; ***believes that the set up of an EU Operational Headquarters as a could be a good step for the effective planning, command and control of common operations;***

Or. en

Amendment 285

Hannah Neumann

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 35

Motion for a resolution

35. Believes that the implementation of CSDP missions and operations must be backed up by flexible instruments in order to make it easier for the Union and its Member States to commit to ensuring European ***strategic autonomy***, in the service of the stability of the European continent; stresses, in this connection, the effectiveness of modular, multipurpose and genuinely operational command structures, such as the European Corps (Eurocorps); notes that the missions of this command structure have been successfully extended

Amendment

35. Believes that the implementation of CSDP missions and operations must be backed up by flexible instruments in order to make it easier for the Union and its Member States to commit to ensuring European ***freedom of action***, in the service of the stability of the European continent ***and universal values and principles the EU promotes globally***; stresses, in this connection, the effectiveness of modular, multipurpose and genuinely operational command structures, such as the European Corps (Eurocorps); notes that the missions

and diversified: between 2015 and 2018 the European Corps was deployed four times as part of the EU training missions in Mali and the Central African Republic (EUTM Mali and EUTM RCA); calls on the Member States and the Commission to follow this example of flexible and operational cooperation, which has already proved valuable and effective;

of this command structure have been successfully extended and diversified: between 2015 and 2018 the European Corps was deployed four times as part of the EU training missions in Mali and the Central African Republic (EUTM Mali and EUTM RCA); calls on the Member States and the Commission to follow this example of flexible and operational cooperation, which has already proved valuable and effective;

Or. en

Amendment 286

Rasa Juknevičienė, Sandra Kalniete, Andrius Kubilius

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 35

Motion for a resolution

35. Believes that the implementation of CSDP missions and operations must be backed up by flexible instruments in order to make it easier for the Union and its Member States to commit to ensuring **European strategic autonomy**, in the service of the stability of the European continent; stresses, in this connection, the effectiveness of modular, multipurpose and genuinely operational command structures, such as the European Corps (Eurocorps); notes that the missions of this command structure have been successfully extended and diversified: between 2015 and 2018 the European Corps was deployed four times as part of the EU training missions in Mali and the Central African Republic (EUTM Mali and EUTM RCA); calls on the Member States and the Commission to follow this example of flexible and operational cooperation, which has already proved valuable and effective;

Amendment

35. Believes that the implementation of CSDP missions and operations must be backed up by flexible instruments in order to make it easier for the Union and its Member States to commit to ensuring **Europe's ability to assume more responsibility**, in the service of the stability of the European continent; stresses, in this connection, the effectiveness of modular, multipurpose and genuinely operational command structures, such as the European Corps (Eurocorps); notes that the missions of this command structure have been successfully extended and diversified: between 2015 and 2018 the European Corps was deployed four times as part of the EU training missions in Mali and the Central African Republic (EUTM Mali and EUTM RCA); calls on the Member States and the Commission to follow this example of flexible and operational cooperation, which has already proved valuable and effective;

Or. en

Amendment 287

Jérôme Rivière, Thierry Mariani, Nicolas Bay

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 36

Motion for a resolution

36. *Expects the Union to make effective use of all existing CSDP policy instruments in the areas of diplomacy, cooperation, development, conflict management and peacekeeping; stresses that CSDP military and civilian instruments cannot, under any circumstances, be the only solution to security issues and that a ‘comprehensive approach’ should always be adopted; considers that only the use of all these instruments on the basis of a ‘comprehensive approach’ will provide the flexibility needed to effectively achieve the most ambitious security objectives;*

Amendment

36. *Calls for a common European security and defence policy to be based on cooperation among states, with decisions being taken solely on the basis of unanimity;*

Or. fr

Amendment 288

Hannah Neumann

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 36

Motion for a resolution

36. Expects the Union to make effective use of all existing CSDP policy instruments in the areas of diplomacy, cooperation, development, conflict management and peacekeeping; stresses that CSDP military and civilian instruments cannot, under any circumstances, be the only solution to security issues and that a ‘comprehensive approach’ should always be adopted; considers that only the use of all these

Amendment

36. Expects the Union to make effective use of all existing CSDP policy instruments in the areas of diplomacy, cooperation, development, conflict management and peacekeeping; stresses that CSDP military and civilian instruments cannot, under any circumstances, be the only solution to security issues and that a ‘comprehensive approach’ should always be adopted; considers that only the use of all these

instruments on the basis of a
'comprehensive approach' will provide the
flexibility needed to effectively achieve the
most ambitious security objectives;

instruments on the basis of a
'comprehensive approach' will provide the
flexibility needed to effectively achieve the
most ambitious security objectives; ***recalls
that EU security policies should be based
on a comprehensive and holistic approach
which is centered around human security
and which puts the well-being and
security of the local population in third
countries at the heart of all efforts;***

Or. en

Amendment 289

Geoffrey Van Orden, Anna Fotyga, Mazaly Aguilar, Witold Jan Waszczykowski

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 36

Motion for a resolution

36. Expects the Union to make effective use of all existing CSDP policy instruments in the areas of diplomacy, cooperation, development, conflict management and peacekeeping; ***stresses that CSDP military and civilian instruments cannot, under any circumstances, be the only solution to security issues and that a 'comprehensive approach' should always be adopted;*** considers that only the use of all these instruments on the basis of a 'comprehensive approach' will provide the flexibility needed to effectively achieve the most ambitious security objectives;

Amendment

36. Expects the Union to make effective use of all existing CSDP policy instruments in the areas of diplomacy, cooperation, development, conflict management and peacekeeping; considers that only the use of all these instruments on the basis of a 'comprehensive approach' will provide the flexibility needed to effectively achieve the most ambitious security objectives;

Or. en

Amendment 290

Mick Wallace, Idoia Villanueva Ruiz, Luke Ming Flanagan, Manu Pineda

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 36

Motion for a resolution

36. Expects the Union to make effective use of all existing CSDP policy instruments in the areas of diplomacy, cooperation, development, conflict management and **peacekeeping**; stresses that CSDP **military and** civilian instruments cannot, under any circumstances, be the only solution to security issues **and that a** ‘comprehensive approach’ **should always be adopted; considers that only the use of all these instruments on the basis of a** ‘comprehensive approach’ **will provide the flexibility needed to effectively achieve the most ambitious security objectives;**

Amendment

36. Expects the Union to make effective use of all existing CSDP policy instruments in the areas of diplomacy, cooperation, development, **civil and peaceful** conflict management and **prevention**; stresses that CSDP civilian instruments cannot, under any circumstances, be the only solution to security issues; **strongly regrets therefore that the** ‘comprehensive approach’ **in its current formualtaion and implementation is de facto the subordination of all relevant policy areas and options under CSDP which at present principally represents the geostrategic and economic interests of certain EU entities and member states;**

Or. en

Amendment 291

Nathalie Loiseau, Javier Nart, Bernard Guetta, Petras Auštrevičius, Christophe Grudler, Ilhan Kyuchyuk, Urmas Paet, Hilde Vautmans, Klemen Grošelj, Malik Azmani

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36

Motion for a resolution

36. Expects the Union to make effective use of all existing CSDP policy instruments in the areas of diplomacy, cooperation, development, conflict management and peacekeeping; stresses that CSDP military and civilian instruments cannot, under any circumstances, be the only solution to security issues and that a ‘comprehensive approach’ should always be adopted; considers that only the use of all these instruments on the basis of a ‘comprehensive approach’ will provide the flexibility needed to effectively achieve the most ambitious security objectives;

Amendment

36. Expects the Union to make effective use of all existing CSDP policy instruments in the areas of diplomacy, cooperation, development, **humanitarian aid**, conflict management and peacekeeping; stresses that CSDP military and civilian instruments cannot, under any circumstances, be the only solution to security issues and that a ‘comprehensive approach’ should always be adopted; considers that only the use of all these instruments on the basis of a ‘comprehensive approach’ will provide the flexibility needed to effectively achieve the most ambitious security objectives;

Amendment 292**Michael Gahler****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 36***Motion for a resolution*

36. Expects the Union to make effective use of all existing CSDP policy instruments in the areas of diplomacy, cooperation, development, conflict management and peacekeeping; stresses that CSDP military and civilian instruments cannot, under any circumstances, be the only solution to security issues and that a ‘comprehensive approach’ should always be adopted; considers that only the use of all these instruments on the basis of a ‘comprehensive approach’ will provide the flexibility needed to effectively achieve the most ambitious security objectives;

Amendment

36. Expects the Union to make effective use of all existing **CFSP and** CSDP policy instruments in the areas of diplomacy, cooperation, development, conflict management and peacekeeping; stresses that CSDP military and civilian instruments cannot, under any circumstances, be the only solution to security issues and that a ‘comprehensive approach’ should always be adopted; considers that only the use of all these instruments on the basis of a ‘comprehensive approach’ will provide the flexibility needed to effectively achieve the most ambitious security objectives;

Or. de

Amendment 293**Vangelis Meimarakis, Loucas Fourlas, Stelios Kypourouopoulos, Georgios Kyrtos****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 36***Motion for a resolution*

36. Expects the Union to make effective use of all existing CSDP policy instruments in the areas of diplomacy, cooperation, development, conflict management and peacekeeping; stresses that CSDP military and civilian instruments cannot, under any circumstances, be the only solution to security issues and that **a ‘comprehensive approach’** should always be adopted;

Amendment

36. Expects the Union to make effective use of all existing CSDP policy instruments in the areas of diplomacy, cooperation, development, conflict management and peacekeeping; stresses that CSDP military and civilian instruments cannot, under any circumstances, be the only solution to security issues and that **an ‘integrated approach’** should always be adopted;

considers that only the use of all these instruments on the basis of *a* ‘**comprehensive**’ approach’ will provide the flexibility needed to effectively achieve the most ambitious security objectives;

considers that only the use of all these instruments on the basis of *an* ‘**integrated**’ approach’ will provide the flexibility needed to effectively achieve the most ambitious security objectives;

Or. en

Amendment 294

Hannah Neumann

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 36 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

36 a. Recalls the increased success of conflict resolution when gender parity and equality are respected along the process and calls for an increase of participation and managerial positions of women in such missions and to more systematically mainstream a gender perspective into CSDP missions, and to actively contribute to the implementation of UNSC Resolution 1325 on Women, Peace and Security; calls on the EEAS and Member states to initiate ambitious steps in view of increasing the representation of women among international experts at all levels of CSDP missions and operations, possibly through a dedicated Action Plan or targeted incentives and career planning for women or mechanisms in recruitment that ensure a better representation;

Or. en

Amendment 295

Martin Horwood, Phil Bennion, Klemen Grošelj

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 36 a (new)

36 a. Calls on the High Representative to regularly consult the European Parliament on urgent matters pertaining to the implementation of the CSDP; believes that the High Representative, or an appropriate EEAS official with direct oversight over CSDP command structures and involved in the design, implementation and appraisal of current civilian and military operations, should promptly inform Parliament of important changes to the structures of any such operations, particularly in regards to their overall nature, mandate, length or early termination;

Or. en

Amendment 296

Nathalie Loiseau, Javier Nart, Bernard Guetta, Petras Auštrevičius, Christophe Grudler, Ilhan Kyuchyuk, Urmas Paet, Hilde Vautmans, Martin Horwood, Klemen Grošelj

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36 a (new)**

36a. stresses the growing and essential role of women in peacekeeping missions and security and defence policy and calls on the VP/HR to enter into dialogue with the European Parliament on the instruments to be introduced and action to be taken;

Or. fr

Amendment 297

Hannah Neumann
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36 b (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

36 b. *Underlines the need for further developing the parliamentary and democratic character and dimension of CSDP; believes that an effective CSDP which is fit for the 21st century security challenges goes with a strong parliamentary scrutiny component and high transparency standards both at national level and at EU-level; is of the opinion that a stronger parliamentary dimension of CSDP correspond with the high demand of EU citizens for security, peace and more cooperation on security and defence amongst Member States;*

Or. en

Amendment 298

Hannah Neumann

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 37

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

37. *Stresses that strengthening European strategic autonomy will necessarily be based on increasing the Member States' capabilities and defence budgets, and on strengthening the European defence technological and industrial base;*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 299

Joachim Schuster

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 37

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

37. Stresses that strengthening European strategic autonomy will necessarily be based on increasing the Member States' capabilities and defence budgets, and on strengthening the European defence technological and industrial base;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 300

Pierfrancesco Majorino

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 37

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

37. Stresses that strengthening European strategic autonomy will necessarily be based on increasing the Member States' capabilities and defence budgets, and on strengthening the European defence technological and industrial base;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 301

Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 37

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

37. Stresses that strengthening European strategic autonomy will necessarily be based on increasing the Member States' capabilities and defence budgets, **and** on strengthening the European defence technological and industrial base;

37. Stresses that strengthening European strategic autonomy will necessarily be based on increasing the Member States' capabilities and defence budgets, on strengthening the European defence technological and industrial base **and on ensuring the ability of the Member States to achieve enhanced**

standardisation and interoperability to avoid duplication and wasted resources;

Or. it

Amendment 302

Kris Peeters

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 37

Motion for a resolution

37. Stresses that strengthening European strategic autonomy will necessarily be based on increasing the Member States' capabilities and defence budgets, and *on* strengthening the European defence technological and industrial base;

Amendment

37. Stresses that strengthening European strategic autonomy will necessarily be based on *the EU Capability Development Priorities which will entail an* increasing *of* the Member States' capabilities and defence budgets, and strengthening the European defence technological and industrial base;

Or. en

Amendment 303

Raphaël Glucksmann

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 37

Motion for a resolution

37. Stresses that *strengthening* European strategic autonomy will necessarily be based on increasing the Member States' capabilities and defence budgets, and on strengthening the European defence technological and industrial base;

Amendment

37. Stresses that *achieving* European strategic autonomy will necessarily be based on increasing the Member States' capabilities and defence budgets, and on strengthening the European defence technological and industrial base;

Or. en

Amendment 304

Rasa Juknevičienė, Andrius Kubilius, Sandra Kalnietė

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37

Motion for a resolution

37. Stresses that strengthening European strategic autonomy will ***necessarily*** be based on increasing the Member States' capabilities and defence budgets, and on strengthening the European defence technological and industrial base;

Amendment

37. Stresses that strengthening European strategic autonomy will be based on increasing the Member States' capabilities and defence budgets, and on strengthening the European defence technological and industrial base;

Or. en

Amendment 305

Christophe Grudler, Nathalie Loiseau, Petras Auštrevičius, Hilde Vautmans, Bernard Guetta, Klemen Grošelj

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

37a. Notes that the defence and space industries are facing unprecedented global competition and major technological changes with the emergence of advanced technologies (robotics, artificial intelligence, cyber, etc.);

Or. fr

Amendment 306
Joachim Schuster

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 38

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

38. Welcomes the significant reversal of the trend of cutting defence budgets; is of the opinion that this should be supported and encouraged at Union level;

deleted

Amendment 307

Attila Ara-Kovács, Giuliano Pisapia, Pierfrancesco Majorino, Nikos Androulakis

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 38

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

38. Welcomes the significant reversal of the trend of cutting defence budgets; is of the opinion that this should be supported and encouraged at Union level;

deleted

Amendment 308

Hannah Neumann

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 38

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

38. Welcomes the significant reversal of the trend of cutting defence budgets; is of the opinion that this *should be supported and encouraged at Union level*;

38. Notes reversal of the trend of cutting defence budgets; is of the opinion that this *will only benefit EU citizens and international peace and stability if it goes together with far-reaching pooling of national budgets and efforts for research, development, procurement, maintenance, and training at European level*;

Amendment 309

Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 38

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

38. Welcomes the significant reversal of the trend of cutting defence budgets; is of the opinion that this should be supported and encouraged at Union level;

38. Welcomes the significant reversal of the trend of cutting defence budgets; ***calls, in that connection, on the Member States to invest the additional funding intelligently in cooperative programmes;*** is of the opinion that this should be supported and encouraged at Union level;

Or. de

Amendment 310

Geoffrey Van Orden, Anna Fotyga, Mazaly Aguilar, Witold Jan Waszczykowski, Charlie Weimers

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 38

Motion for a resolution

38. Welcomes the significant reversal of the trend of cutting defence budgets; is of the opinion that this should be supported and encouraged at Union level;

Amendment

38. Welcomes the significant reversal of the trend of cutting defence budgets ***as a result of the Defence Investment Pledge made at the NATO Summit in Wales in 2014;*** is of the opinion that this should be supported and encouraged at Union level;

Or. en

Amendment 311

Urmas Paet, Hilde Vautmans, Petras Auštrevičius, Malik Azmani, Nathalie Loiseau, Michal Šimečka, Christophe Grudler

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 38

Motion for a resolution

38. Welcomes the significant reversal of the trend of cutting defence budgets; is of the opinion that this should be supported and encouraged at Union level;

Amendment

38. Welcomes the significant reversal of the trend of cutting defence budgets; is of the opinion that this should be supported and encouraged at Union level; ***encourages Member States to increase their defence spending to 2% of GDP;***

Or. en

Amendment 312

Mick Wallace, Idoia Villanueva Ruiz, Luke Ming Flanagan, Manu Pineda

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 38

Motion for a resolution

38. ***Welcomes*** the significant ***reversal of the trend of cutting*** defence budgets; is of the opinion that this should be supported ***and*** encouraged at Union level;

Amendment

38. ***Regrets*** the significant ***increases in*** defence budgets; is of the opinion that this should be ***not be*** supported ***or*** encouraged at Union level; ***adds that military personnel should be guaranteed a living wage;***

Or. en

Amendment 313

Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 38

Motion for a resolution

38. ***Welcomes*** the significant reversal of the trend of cutting defence budgets; is of the opinion that ***this*** should ***be supported and encouraged at Union level;***

Amendment

38. ***Notes*** the significant reversal of the trend of cutting defence budgets; is of the opinion that ***the Union*** should ***continue to encourage and support greater coordination between Member States in this area;***

Or. it

Amendment 314

Hannah Neumann

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 38 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

38 a. Believes that the EU's security and defence policy will only be effective if national decisions are coordinated and national arms exports decisions are compliant with the eight EU criteria in particular as regards human rights, regional stability, terrorism and the risk of diversion; welcomes the recent review of Common Position 2008/944/CFSP and calls for amore ambitious effort at EU level as regards monitoring and control of exports, which would take into account major developments in the sector such as EDIDP and EDF;

Or. en

Amendment 315

Jérôme Rivière, Thierry Mariani, Nicolas Bay

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 39

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

39. Welcomes the recent efforts by the European institutions and the Member States, following on from the publication of the 'EU Global Strategy', to breathe new life into the hitherto virtual instruments of the CSDP and to fully implement the provisions of the Lisbon Treaty; stresses that these promising ambitions must now be consolidated and followed up with practical action so that they make an effective contribution to security on the European continent;

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 316

Bernhard Zimniok, Lars Patrick Berg

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 39

39. ***Welcomes the recent efforts by the European institutions and the Member States, following on from the publication of the ‘EU Global Strategy’, to breathe new life into the hitherto virtual instruments of the CSDP and to fully implement the provisions of the Lisbon Treaty; stresses that these promising ambitions must now be consolidated and followed up with practical action so that they make an effective contribution to security on the European continent;***

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 317

Mick Wallace, Idoia Villanueva Ruiz, Luke Ming Flanagan, Manu Pineda

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 39

39. ***Welcomes*** the recent efforts by the European institutions and the Member States, following on from the publication of the ‘EU Global Strategy’, to breathe new life into the hitherto virtual instruments of the CSDP and to fully implement the provisions of the Lisbon Treaty; stresses that these ***promising*** ambitions must ***now*** be consolidated and followed up with practical action ***so that they make an effective contribution to security on the European continent;***

39. ***Regrets*** the recent efforts by the European institutions and the Member States, following on from the publication of the ‘EU Global Strategy’, to breathe new life into the hitherto virtual instruments of the CSDP and to fully implement the provisions of the Lisbon Treaty; stresses that these ambitions must ***not*** be consolidated and followed up with practical action;

Or. en

Amendment 318

Rasa Juknevičienė, Andrius Kubilius, Sandra Kalniete

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 39

Motion for a resolution

39. Welcomes the recent efforts by the European institutions and the Member States, following on from the publication of the 'EU Global Strategy', to breathe new life into the hitherto ***virtual*** instruments of the CSDP and to fully implement the provisions of the Lisbon Treaty; stresses that these promising ambitions must now be consolidated and followed up with practical action so that they make an effective contribution to security on the European continent;

Amendment

39. Welcomes the recent efforts by the European institutions and the Member States, following on from the publication of the 'EU Global Strategy', to breathe new life into the hitherto instruments of the CSDP and to fully implement the provisions of the Lisbon Treaty; stresses that these promising ambitions must now be consolidated and followed up with practical action so that they make an effective contribution to security on the European continent ***and in its immediate neighbourhood***;

Or. en

Amendment 319

Bernhard Zimniok, Lars Patrick Berg

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 40

Motion for a resolution

40. Notes with satisfaction the Commission's proposal of 2 May 2018 to establish a EUR 13 billion budget line for defence in the next multiannual financial framework (MFF) under the heading of industrial policy; notes that this proposal, which reflects an unprecedented commitment by the Commission, remains subject to the unanimous agreement of the Member States in the next MFF;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 320

Hannah Neumann

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 40

Motion for a resolution

40. Notes ***with satisfaction*** the Commission's proposal of 2 May 2018 to establish a EUR 13 billion budget line for defence in the next multiannual financial framework (MFF) under the heading of industrial policy; notes that this proposal, which reflects an unprecedented commitment by the Commission, remains subject to the unanimous agreement of the Member States in the next MFF;

Amendment

40. Notes the Commission's proposal of 2 May 2018 to establish a EUR 13 billion budget line for defence in the next multiannual financial framework (MFF) under the heading of industrial policy; notes that this proposal, which reflects an unprecedented commitment by the Commission, remains subject to the unanimous agreement of the Member States in the next MFF; ***deplores the fact that over seven years there will be no formal mechanisms for parliamentary scrutiny as regards the EDF priorities in the work programmes; believes that any efforts by the EU in the defence industrial sector should go hand in hand with a high level of transparency, accountability and ethical review in order to higher the chances to overcome existing structural problems of the sector;***

Or. en

Amendment 321

Jérôme Rivière, Thierry Mariani, Nicolas Bay

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 40

Motion for a resolution

40. Notes ***with satisfaction*** the Commission's proposal of 2 May 2018 to establish a EUR 13 billion budget line for defence in the next multiannual financial framework (MFF) under the heading of industrial policy; notes that this proposal, which reflects an unprecedented commitment by the Commission, remains subject to the unanimous agreement of the Member States in the next MFF;

Amendment

40. Notes the Commission's proposal of 2 May 2018 to establish a EUR 13 billion budget line for defence in the next multiannual financial framework (MFF) under the heading of industrial policy; notes that this proposal, which reflects an unprecedented commitment by the Commission, remains subject to the unanimous agreement of the Member States in the next MFF; ***calls for the funds to be allocated to suitable but not necessarily military cooperation programmes (for example, programmes involving satellites or intelligence cooperation, or tackling cybercrime or***

terrorism);

Or. fr

Amendment 322
Joachim Schuster

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 40

Motion for a resolution

40. *Notes with satisfaction* the Commission's proposal of 2 May 2018 to establish a EUR 13 billion budget line for defence in the next multiannual financial framework (MFF) under the heading of industrial policy; notes that this proposal, *which reflects an unprecedented commitment by the Commission*, remains subject to the unanimous agreement of the Member States in the next MFF;

Amendment

40. ***Reject*** the Commission's proposal of 2 May 2018 to establish a EUR 13 billion budget line for defence in the next multiannual financial framework (MFF) under the heading of industrial policy; notes that this proposal, ***still requires sufficient additional funding from and*** remains subject to the unanimous agreement of the Member States in the next MFF; ***reject any reallocation of funds from other budget lines in favour of defence;***

Or. en

Amendment 323
Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 40

Motion for a resolution

40. Notes with satisfaction the Commission's proposal of 2 May 2018 to establish a EUR 13 billion budget line ***for defence*** in the next multiannual financial framework (MFF) under the heading of industrial policy; notes that this proposal, which reflects an unprecedented commitment by the Commission, remains subject to the unanimous agreement of the Member States in the next MFF;

Amendment

40. Notes with satisfaction the Commission's proposal of 2 May 2018 to establish a EUR 13 billion budget line in the next multiannual financial framework (MFF) under the heading of industrial policy; notes that this proposal, which reflects an unprecedented commitment by the Commission, remains subject to the unanimous agreement of the Member States in the next MFF ***and subsequently the approval of the European Parliament;***

Amendment 324

Rasa Juknevičienė, Andrius Kubilius, Sandra Kalniete

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 40

Motion for a resolution

40. Notes with satisfaction the Commission's proposal of 2 May 2018 to establish a EUR 13 billion budget line for defence in the next multiannual financial framework (MFF) ***under the heading of industrial policy***; notes that this proposal, which reflects an unprecedented commitment by the Commission, remains subject to the unanimous agreement of the Member States in the next MFF;

Amendment

40. Notes with satisfaction the Commission's proposal of 2 May 2018 to establish a EUR 13 billion budget line for defence ***cooperation*** in the next multiannual financial framework (MFF) ***supporting collaborative defence research and capability development***; notes that this proposal, which reflects an unprecedented commitment by the Commission, remains subject to the unanimous agreement of the Member States in the next MFF;

Or. en

Amendment 325

Mick Wallace, Idoia Villanueva Ruiz, Luke Ming Flanagan, Manu Pineda

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 40

Motion for a resolution

40. ***Notes with satisfaction*** the Commission's proposal of 2 May 2018 to establish a EUR 13 billion budget line for defence in the next multiannual financial framework (MFF) under the heading of industrial policy; notes that this proposal, which reflects an unprecedented commitment by the Commission, remains subject to the unanimous agreement of the Member States in the next MFF;

Amendment

40. ***Deeply regrets*** the Commission's proposal of 2 May 2018 to establish a EUR 13 billion budget line for defence in the next multiannual financial framework (MFF) under the heading of industrial policy; notes that this proposal, which reflects an unprecedented commitment by the Commission, remains subject to the unanimous agreement of the Member States in the next MFF;

Or. en

Amendment 326
Pierfrancesco Majorino

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 40 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

40a. Considers that the instatement of a European budget line for defence must be brought about through a reallocation of corresponding national entries; opposes the diversion of funds from other budget lines towards defence;

Or. it